



INTERCOLLEGIATE POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST
1933 - 1947

BOOK II

INTERCOLLEGIATE POULTRY

JUDGING CONTESTS

1933 - 1947

14th ANNUAL INTERCOLLEGIATE POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST

Chicago, Illinois - October 14, 1933

Institution

Kansas State College
University of Missouri
Pennsylvania State College
Iowa State College
University of Illinois
Oklahoma A & M College
Nebraska University
Ohio State University
Texas A & M College

Coach

Professor H. M. Scott
Professor E. M. Funk
Professor D. R. Marble
Professor R. L. Cochran
Professor H. J. Sloan
Professor Robert Penquite
Professor H. E. Alder
Professor E. L. Dakan
Professor H. F. Munderlyn

Kansas State College Winning Team

Melvin Wilson; Tom B. Avery; Nevlyn A. Nelson; John Miller;
H. M. Scott, Coach; Clarence L. Gish



Fourteenth Midwest Intercollegiate

Poultry Judging Contest

1933

Packet contains:

1. Minutes of meeting of coaches October 13, 1933.
Dr. L. E. Card, University of Illinois, Contest
Manager presided.
2. Minutes of meeting of coaches October 14, 1933.
3. List of donors of trophies, medals and cash.

Make-up of Contest:

Division I

Exhibition Judging - Five four-bird rings

White Wyandotte Pullets
Barred Plymouth Rock Pullets
Rhode Island Red Pullets
Rhode Island Red Cockerels
White Leghorn Cockerels

Production Judging - Five four-bird rings

White Leghorn Yearling Hens
Barred Plymouth Rock Yearling Hens
White Plymouth Rock Yearling Hens
Rhode Island Red Yearling Hens
White Wyandotte Yearling Hens

Division II

Market Fowl - Five four-bird rings

Heavy Fowl
Light Fowl
Heavy Roasters
Light Roasters
Dressed Fowl

Market Eggs - Five four-plate rings (ten eggs per plate)

3 White Egg Rings
1 Brown Egg Ring
1 Mixed Colors

Scores of Division II were not included in official scores.

Minutes of teachers meeting - Hotel Crillon, 4:30 p. m. Oct. 13, 1933

Meeting called to order by Dr. Card. The following persons were present:

E. M. Funk, University of Missouri
H. M. Scott, Kansas State Agri. College
R. Penquite, Oklahoma A. & M. College
E. L. Dakan, Ohio State University
H. E. Alder, University of Nebraska
W. F. Munnerlyn, Texas A. & M. College
R. L. Cochran, Iowa State Agri. College
L. E. Card, University of Illinois
D. R. Marble, Penna. State College

RECEIVED

OCT 27 1933

AN. HUSB.

Drawings for team placings results as follows:

1. Illinois	6. Iowa
2. Penn State	7. Oklahoma
3. Missouri	8. Kansas
4. Texas	9. Nebraska
5. Ohio	

The schedule of judging was to be as follows:

Exhibition & Production	Market Eggs	Market Poultry
8 a. m. No. 1 man	No. 2 man	No. 3 man (idle one hour)
10 a. m. No. 2 man	No. 3 man	No. 1 man (idle one hour)
12 a. m. No. 3 man	No. 1 man	No. 2 man (idle one hour)

Discussion on market products division: Moved by Alder, seconded by Cochran that the two divisions be separate for this year's contest. Hence, individual awards for all-round judging would be based on exhibition and production classes only. Motion passed with 6 votes in favor, no votes in opposition and two men not voting. The question of eligibility next fall for boys who competed in the market products division this year was raised by Penquite. Motion was made by Funk, seconded by Dakan, that for the next year we continue to keep the two divisions separate that the written examination be included again as a part of the exhibition and production division. Motion passed. Boys competing in the markets products division this year would not be eligible for competition in the same division next year but would be eligible for competition in the other division of the contest.

The suggestion was made by Alder that in the market eggs classes the student judges be required to place or classify the eggs in accordance with the U. S. Standards for individual eggs.

Funk moved that the placings of the eggs as made by Mr. Neel of Hales and Hunter be accepted. Seconded by Dakan the motion was passed.

Meeting called to order by Dr. Card. The following persons were

present:

D. R. Marble, Penna. State College
L. E. Card, University of Illinois
R. L. Cochran, Iowa State Agri. College
J. F. Munnerlyn, Texas A. & M. College
A. E. Alder, University of Nebraska
E. L. Dakan, Ohio State University
R. Pennington, Oklahoma A. & M. College
H. M. Scott, Kansas State Agri. College
E. M. Frank, University of Missouri

RECORDED

OCT 27 1933

AM. HUSB

Drawings for team placings results as follows:

1. Illinois
2. Penn State
3. Missouri
4. Texas
5. Ohio
6. Iowa
7. Oklahoma
8. Kansas
9. Nebraska

The schedule of judging was to be as follows:

Exhibition & Production	Market Eggs	Market Poultry
8 a. m. No. 1 man	No. 2 man	No. 3 man (Idle one hour)
10 a. m. No. 2 man	No. 3 man	No. 1 man (Idle one hour)
12 a. m. No. 3 man	No. 1 man	No. 2 man (Idle one hour)

Discussion on market products division: Moved by Alder, seconded by Cochran that the two divisions be separate for this year's contest. Hence, individual awards for all-round judging would be based on exhibition and production classes only. Motion passed with 6 votes in favor, no votes in opposition and two men not voting. The question of eligibility next fall for boys who competed in the market products division this year was raised by Pennington. Motion was made by Frank, seconded by Dakan, that for the next year we continue to keep the two divisions separate that the written examination be included again as a part of the exhibition and production division. Motion passed. Boys competing in the market products division this year would not be eligible for competition in the same division next year but would be eligible for competition in the other division of the contest. The suggestion was made by Alder that in the market eggs classes the student judges be required to place or classify the eggs in accordance with the U. S. Standards for individual eggs. Frank moved that the placings of the eggs as made by Mr. Neal of Sales and Hunter be accepted. Seconded by Dakan the motion was passed.

Committees: Funk moved that the old grading committee be left intact insofar as the members were again available, and that the chairman select the balance of the committee. Seconded by Alder and passed unanimously. Since Dr. Waters, the chairman last year, was not present the secretary having served on the committee last year was requested by the chairman of the meeting to name the balance of the committee. Penquite was named to serve on the grading committee along with Card and Marble. Scott was nominated as chairman of the monitor committee by Munnerlyn. Funk seconded the nomination. Scott was elected.

The motion was made by Funk and seconded by Dakan that the plan which was used in 1932 be used for the selection of the production classes next fall. Motion passed. The 1932 plan read as follows:

"The production classes will consist of females that have finished their first year of production this fall. These classes will be placed on their record up to the time of the contest. Birds selected for the contest should be normal in every respect. The individuals selected for each class should have been hatched on approximately the same date and should have received the same care, feed and management. Other than these points, the sole basis of selection should rest on the trap nest record irrespective of whether the class is placeable or not as judged by the person responsible for the selection. Birds that are sickly or "unpleasing to the eye" should not be sent to the contest. The selection should be made by someone who has not assisted in training the team. It is suggested that a spread of 15 to 25 eggs exist between individuals in a class."

The banquet was announced for the Auditorium Hotel on the following evening.

D. R. Marble
Secretary

Committee: Frank moved that the old grading committee be left intact insofar as the members were again available, and that the chairman select the balance of the committee. Seconded by Alder and passed unanimously. Since Dr. Waters, the chairman last year, was not present the secretary having served on the committee last year, was requested by the chairman of the meeting to name the balance of the committee. Penquite was named to serve on the grading committee along with Card and Marble. Scott was nominated as chairman of the monitor committee and Marble. Frank seconded the nomination. Scott was elected.

The motion was made by Frank and seconded by Dakan that the plan which was used in 1932 be used for the selection of the production classes next fall. Motion passed. The 1932 plan read as follows:

"The production classes will consist of females that have finished their first year of production this fall. These classes will be placed on their record up to the time of the contest. Birds selected for the contest should be normal in every respect. The individuals selected for each class should have been hatched on approximately the same date and should have received the same care, feed and management. Other than these points, the sole basis of selection should rest on the trap nest record irrespective of whether the class is placeable or not as judged by the person responsible for the selection. Birds that are sickly or "unpleasing to the eye" should not be sent to the contest. The selection should be made by someone who has not assisted in training the team. It is suggested that a spread of 15 to 25 eggs exist between individuals in a class."

The banquet was announced for the Auditorium Hotel on the following evening.

D. R. Marble
Secretary

Minutes of Coaches Meeting - Auditorium Hotel, 9 p. m. Oct. 14, 1933

Meeting called to order by Dr. Card. The following persons were present:

L. E. Card	E. L. Dakan
E. M. Funk	O. B. Kent
W. F. Munnerlyn	R. L. Cochran
E. M. Funk	H. E. Alder
R. Penquite	D. R. Marble

RECEIVED

OCT 27 1933

AN. HUSB

Cochran suggested that signs be placed in conspicuous locations so that visitors at the show might obtain details of the contest. Cochran volunteered to take the responsibility of arranging for the signs for next year. Secretary to remind him.

Motion was made by Cochran that in all future contests all coaches not on official business remain away from the judging ring and if they are on official business they remain in the center. Seconded by Munnerlyn and passed unanimously.

Scott raised the question regarding the market classes being too easy. He expressed the feeling that they were still too easy, although they were more difficult than last year. The suggestion of grading according to U. S. Standards was again presented and discussed. Funk moved that next year we judge eggs by classifying them according to the U. S. Buying grades. Seconded by Scott and passed.

The suggestion was made that if possible the market poultry classes could be made more representative by arranging for them through some packing house outside of Chicago. Dakan moved that the proper person contact a packing house and arrange for the market poultry classes and at the same time send to each coach the basis for the selection of the classes as made by the packing house. Seconded by Funk and passed.

The sentiment of the group favored the plan suggested by Bittenbender for two dressed poultry classes and three live poultry classes. The suggestion was also made and met with approval that the dressed poultry classes be hung up next year.

The suggestion was made that effort to locate a new traveling cup be started in the near future rather than wait until next fall. Dakan suggested some other form of trophy in place of the cup.

A telegram from Bittenbender was read. Motion was made by Scott that the secretary draft a resolution to be sent to Bittenbender accepting his resignation with regrets.

Dr. L. E. Card was nominated for chairman next year by Funk. Seconded by Cochran. Dr. Card was unanimously elected.

The secretary has written the following persons thanking them in behalf of the coaches for the part they took in making the contest a success. It is suggested that the coaches add their personal thanks on behalf of their team.

Minutes of Coach Meeting - Auditorium Hotel, 9 p. m. Oct. 14, 1933
Meeting called to order by Dr. Card. The following persons were present:

RECEIVED
OCT 27 1933
AM. HUSB

Dr. L. E. Card
O. B. Fink
H. L. Cochran
H. E. Alder
D. R. Marble
H. E. Fink
H. E. Fink
H. E. Fink
H. E. Fink

Cochran suggested that signs be placed in conspicuous locations so that visitors at the show might obtain details of the contest. Cochran volunteered to take the responsibility of arranging for the signs for next year. Secretary to remain him.

Motion was made by Cochran that in all future contests all coaches not on official business remain away from the judging ring and if they are on official business they remain in the center. Seconded by Munnerlyn and passed unanimously.

Scott raised the question regarding the market classes being too easy. He expressed the feeling that they were still too easy, although they were more difficult than last year. The suggestion of grading according to U. S. Standards was again presented and discussed. Fink moved that next year we judge eggs by classifying them according to the U. S. Paving Grades. Seconded by Scott and passed.

The suggestion was made that it possible the market poultry classes could be made more representative by arranging for them through some packing house outside of Chicago. Baker moved that the proper person contact a packing house and arrange for the market poultry classes and at the same time send to each coach the basis for the selection of the classes as made by the packing house. Seconded by Fink and passed.

The sentiment of the group favored the plan suggested by Bittenbender for two dressed poultry classes and three live poultry classes. The suggestion was also made and met with approval that the dressed poultry classes be hung up next year.

The suggestion was made that effort be made to locate a new traveling camp be started in the near future rather than wait until next fall. Baker suggested some other form of trophy in place of the cup.

A telegram from Bittenbender was read. Motion was made by Scott that the secretary draft a resolution to be sent to Bittenbender accepting his resignation with regrets.

Dr. L. E. Card was nominated for chairman next year by Fink. Seconded by Cochran. Dr. Card was unanimously elected.

The secretary has written the following persons thanking them in behalf of the coaches for the part they took in making the contest a success. It is suggested that the coaches add their personal thanks on behalf of their team.

Challenge trophy cup - Institute of American Poultry Industries. The president is Mr. W. F. Jackson, General Produce Manager, Swift and Company, Union Stock Yards, Chicago, Ill. A copy should be sent to Mr. Paul Mandeville, Institute of American Poultry Industries 110 North Franklin St., Chicago, Illinois.

Permanent Cup - Mr. C. S. Johnson, Purina Mills, St. Louis, Mo.

Exhibition Cup - Mr. W. D. Barker, Keyes-Davis Co., Battle Creek, Mich.

Production Cup - Mr. O. A. Hanke and Mr. J. W. Watt, Poultry Tribune, Mount Morris, Ill.

Cash Awards - Mr. A. G. Phillips, Allied Mills, Inc., Board of Trade Building, Chicago, Ill.

Exhibition Medals - Mr. R. O. Bate, G. E. Conkey Co., Cleveland, Ohio

Production Medals - Mr. R. R. Hannas, American Poultry Journal, 536 South Clark St., Chicago, Ill.

Market Products Cup - Mr. Carl H. Shroeder, Larro Research Farm, Box 68, North End Station, Detroit, Mich.

Market Products medals - Mr. L. G. Neel, Hales and Hunter, 166 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Ill.

The following persons have contributed much in arranging for the contest and setting it up:

Dr. O. B. Kent, and A. A. Dennerlein, Quaker Oats Co., Chicago, Ill.

Mr. Harvey Wood, Coliseum Show Building, 1513 South Wabash Ave., Chicago, Ill.

Mr. L. J. Demberger, Stewartsville, Ind.

Mr. L. G. Neel, Hales and Hunter, Chicago, Ill.

The following persons were responsible for making the market inspection trip a success:

Mr. Paul Mandeville, 110 N. Franklin St., Chicago, Ill.

Mr. F. W. Morf, Sr., C. H. Weaver and Co., 45 S. Water Market, Chicago, Ill.

Mr. Clarence Henry, Board of Trade, 141 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Ill.

Mr. C. C. Trask, U.S. Cold Storage Co., 2101 Pershing Road, Chicago, Ill.

Mr. C. E. Droege, Produce Department, Swift & Co., Union Stock Yards, Chicago, Ill.

Mr. W. F. Jackson and Mr. Ferguson, Swift & Co., Union Stock Yards, Chicago

From Card

Fourteenth Annual Mid-West Intercollegiate Poultry Judging Contest

Chicago, October 14, 1933

Competing Teams in Order of Final Placing

	<u>Score</u>
1. Kansas State Agricultural College, Manhattan, Kan. (T.B.Avery, N.R.Nelson, G.L.Gish) H.M.Scott, Coach	2400
2. University of Missouri, Columbia, Mo. (C.Williams, J.F.Forward, H.Terrill) E.M. Funk, Coach	2370
3. Pennsylvania State College, State College, Pa. (R.M.Shoop, G. A. Ritchey, F. Yoder) D. R. Marble, Coach	2315
4. Iowa State College, Ames, Iowa. (O. Bolin, C. W. Meyer, L. C. Gardner) R. L. Cochran, Coach	2265
L 5. University of Illinois, Urbana, Ill. (F.A.Dahir, G.A.Johnson, H.E.Edwards) Supt. L. E. Card, Coach, H.J.Sloan	2160
6. Oklahoma A. & M. Stillwater, Okla. (H. Embry, S. G. Skelton, B. Kropp) Robert Penquite, Coach	2160
7. Nebraska University, Lincoln, Nebr. (M.McAllister, Wm. Donahue, R. Wilson) H. E. Alder, Coach	2140
8. Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio (S. Woodin, B.H. Holtzapple, E. J. Shaffer) E. L. Dakin, Coach	1980
9. Texas A. & M. College, College Station, Texas (O.K.Hoyle, P. G. Homeyer, G.H.Draper) H. F. Munderlyn, Coach	1935

Ten High Individuals

1. T.B. Avery, Kansas	860	6. J.F.Forward, Mo.	785
2. G.A.Rithey, Penn.	835	7. O. Bolin, Iowa	785
3. H. Terrill, Mo.	820	8. F.A.Dahir, Ill.	775
4. N. R. Nelson, Kan.	820	9. C.Williams, Mo.	765
5. H.Embry, Okla.	790	10. L.E.Gardner, Ia.	755

Fourteenth Annual Mid-west Intercollegiate Football Meeting Contest

Chicago, October 14, 1932

Guesting Teams in Order of Final Placing

Score

3400	1. Kansas State Agricultural College, Manhattan, Kan. (T. B. Avery, H. R. Nelson, C. J. Gish) H. R. Nelson, Coach
3370	2. University of Missouri, Columbia, Mo. (C. Williams, L. F. Forward, H. Terrell) L. F. Forward, Coach
3315	3. Pennsylvania State College, State College, Pa. (R. E. Moore, B. A. Risher, T. Yoder) B. A. Risher, Coach
3285	4. Iowa State College, Ames, Iowa (O. Pollin, O. W. Meyer, L. C. Gardner) L. C. Gardner, Coach
3160	5. University of Illinois, Urbana, Ill. (W. A. Bell, G. A. Johnson, H. E. Edwards) W. A. Bell, Coach
3100	6. Oklahoma A. & M. Stillwater, Okla. (E. Embry, G. O. Gleason, B. Knapp) B. Knapp, Coach
3140	7. Nebraska University, Lincoln, Neb. (M. Hollister, W. Johnson, K. Wilson) W. Johnson, Coach
1980	8. Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio (S. Woodin, E. H. Holmberg, K. J. Gaffney) E. H. Holmberg, Coach
1935	9. Texas A. & M. College, College Station, Texas (G. E. Doyle, F. O. Sawyer, G. E. Sawyer) G. E. Sawyer, Coach

Top High Individuals

785	1. T. B. Avery, Kansas 880
782	2. G. A. Risher, Penn. 885
775	3. H. Terrell, Mo. 830
765	4. H. R. Nelson, Kan. 830
755	5. R. E. Moore, Okla. 790
	6. L. F. Forward, Mo. 800
	7. O. Pollin, Iowa 800
	8. W. A. Bell, Ill. 800
	9. C. Williams, Mo. 800
	10. L. E. Gardner, Ia. 800

PRODUCTION JUDGING

Chicago, 1933

<u>Placing</u>	<u>Score</u>
1. Kansas State Agricultural College	1190
2. Pennsylvania State College	1170
3. Missouri College of Agriculture	1095
4. Iowa State College	1095
5. Nebraska	1065
6. Oklahoma A. & M. College	1050
7. University of Illinois	1035
8. Ohio State University	960
9. Texas A. & M. College	905

High Individuals

1. N. R. Nelson, Kansas	440
2. F. Yoder, Pa.	440
3. H. Terrill, Mo.	395
4. M. McAllister, Neb.	395
5. G. A. Ritchey, Pa.	395
6. T. B. Avery, Kan.	385
7. H. Embry, Okla.	380
8. (F.A. Dakir, Ill.	365
(O. Bolin, Iowa	365
(C.W. Meyer, Iowa	365
(L. C. Gardner, Iowa	365
(G. S. Gish, Kan.	365

L

PRODUCTION LEADING

Chicago, 1935

Place	Score
1. Kansas State Agricultural College	1190
2. Pennsylvania State College	1170
3. Missouri College of Agriculture	1095
4. Iowa State College	1095
5. Nebraska	1085
6. Oklahoma A. & M. College	1080
7. University of Illinois	1035
8. Ohio State University	990
9. Texas A. & M. College	905
High Individuals	
1. W. F. Nelson, Kansas	440
2. F. Yoder, Pa.	440
3. H. Terrill, Mo.	395
4. M. McAllister, Neb.	385
5. G. A. Ritchey, Pa.	385
6. T. S. Avery, Kan.	385
7. H. Embury, Okla.	380
8. (F. A. Darr, Ill.)	365
(C. Coffin, Iowa)	365
(C. W. Meyer, Iowa)	365
(L. C. Gardner, Iowa)	365
(O. S. Glap, Kan.)	365

PRODUCTION

Team	Name of Individual	VI.	VII.	VIII.	IX.	X.	Total Score	Indv. Placing	Team Placing
		White Leg.	B.P. Rocks	R.I. Reds	W.P. Rocks	White Wyand.			
Kan.	T.B. Avery	85	0	100	100	100	385	6	
	N.R. Nelson	100	40	100	100	100	440	1	
	C.L. Gish	85	25	100	85	70	365	8	
	Team	270	65	300	285	270	1190		1
Pa.	R.M. Shoop	85	25	85	85	55	335		
	G.A. Ritchey	70	70	85	85	85	395	5	
	F. Yoder	100	85	100	55	100	440	2	
	Team	255	180	270	225	240	1170		2
Mo.	C. Williams	70	25	100	70	85	350		
	J.F. Forward	70	55	100	55	70	350		
	H. Terril	85	25	100	85	100	395	3	
	Team	225	105	300	210	255	1095		3
Iowa	O. Bolin	70	70	40	100	85	365	8	
	C.W. Meyer	70	70	70	85	70	365	8	
	L.C. Gardner	55	40	100	85	85	365	8	
	Team	195	180	210	270	240	1095		4
Nebr.	M. McAllister	100	40	85	85	85	395	4	
	Wm. Donahue	70	40	85	55	70	320		
	R. Wilson	70	25	100	85	70	350		
	Team	240	105	270	225	225	1065		5
Okla.	H. Embry	100	40	85	70	85	380	7	
	S.G. Skelton	70	40	85	55	85	335		
	B. Kropp	85	25	85	55	85	335		
	Team	255	105	255	180	255	1050		6
Ill.	F.A. Dakir	70	40	100	70	85	365	8	
	G.A. Johnson	55	85	55	70	70	335		
	H.E. Edwards	70	40	100	55	70	335		
	Team	195	165	255	195	225	1035		7
Ohio	S. Woodin	70	40	85	55	70	320		
	B.H. Holtzapple								
		70	25	85	55	70	305		
	E.J. Shaffer	25	40	85	85	100	335		
	Team	165	105	255	195	240	960		8
Texas	O.K. Hoyle	70	0	85	40	70	265		
	P.G. Homeyer	55	55	85	25	70	290		
	G.H. Draper	70	40	85	55	100	350		
	Team	195	95	255	120	240	905		9

PRODUCTION

Team	Individual	Name of	White	Black	IX.	X.	Total	Inv.	Team
			White	Black	White	Black	Score	Place	Place
Kan.	T. B. Avery	95	0	100	100	100	385	8	
	W. R. Nelson	100	40	100	100	100	440	1	
	G. L. Gish	85	25	100	88	70	363	8	
	Team	280	65	300	288	270	1193		1
Pa.	R. M. Shoop	85	25	85	85	55	388		
	G. A. Ritchey	70	70	85	85	85	395	5	
	F. Yoder	100	85	100	85	100	440	3	
	Team	255	180	270	258	240	1170		2
Mo.	G. Williams	70	25	100	70	85	350		
	J. T. Forward	70	25	100	25	70	250		
	B. Terrell	85	25	100	85	100	395	3	
	Team	255	105	300	210	255	1095		3
Iowa	G. Bolin	70	70	40	100	85	365	8	
	G. W. Meyer	70	70	70	85	70	365	8	
	L. G. Gardner	85	40	100	85	85	395	8	
	Team	195	180	210	270	240	1095		4
Nebr.	R. McAlister	100	40	85	85	85	395	4	
	Wm. Donahue	70	40	85	85	70	330		
	B. Wilson	70	25	100	85	70	350		
	Team	240	105	270	258	235	1085		5
Okla.	R. Embury	100	40	85	70	85	380	7	
	B. G. Skelton	70	40	85	85	85	355		
	B. Kropp	85	25	85	85	85	355		
	Team	255	105	255	250	255	1050		6
Ill.	F. A. Dekin	70	40	100	70	85	365	8	
	G. A. Johnson	85	85	85	70	70	355		
	H. E. Edwards	70	40	100	85	70	355		
	Team	195	165	255	255	235	1050		7
Ohio	B. Woodin	70	40	85	85	70	350		
	B. H. Holtsinger	70	25	85	85	70	305		
	M. J. Shaffer	85	40	85	85	100	355		
	Team	195	105	255	255	240	950		8
Texas	O. K. Hoyie	70	0	85	40	70	285		
	P. G. Hensley	55	55	85	85	70	330		
	G. H. Dwyer	70	40	85	85	100	380		
	Team	195	95	255	250	240	905		9

EXHIBITION JUDGING

Chicago, 1933

	<u>Score</u>
1. Missouri University	1275
2. Kansas State Agricultural College	1210
3. Iowa State College	1170
4. Pennsylvania State College	1145
5. University of Illinois	1125
6. Oklahoma A. & M.	1110
7. University of Nebraska	1075
8. Texas A. & M.	1030
9. Ohio State University	1020

High Individuals

1. T. B. Avery, Kansas	475
2. G. A. Ritchey, Pa.	440
3. J. F. Forward, Mo.	435
4. H. Terrill, Mo.	425
5. O. Bolin, Iowa State	420
6. G. Williams, Mo.	415
7. H. Embry, Okla.	410
8. F. A. Bakir, Ill.	410
9. R. M. Shoop, Pa.	400
10. L. C. Gardner, Iowa State	390

October, 1933

MINISTERS' LIST

1933

1933

1933

1933

1933

1933

1933

1933

1933

1933

1933

1933

1933

1933

1933

1933

1933

1933

1933

1933

1933

1933

1933

1933

1933

1933

1933

EXHIBITION

Team	Name of Individual	I. W. Wyan.	II. W. Leg.	III. B. Rk.	IV. R. I. Red	V. R. I. Red	Total Score	Ind. Placing	Team Placing
		Plts.	Okls.	Plts.	Okls.	Plts.			
Mo.	C. Williams	70	90	85	85	85	415	6	
	J. F. Forward	100	90	85	60	100	435	3	
	H. Terrill	100	100	100	70	55	425	4	
	Team	270	280	270	215	240	1275		1
Kan.	T. B. Avery	85	100	100	90	100	475	1	
	N. R. Nelson	100	90	80	55	55	380		
	C. L. Gish	55	85	70	90	55	355		
	Team	240	275	250	235	210	1210		2
Iowa	O. Bolin	100	70	95	85	70	420	5	
	C. W. Meyer	100	55	80	100	25	360		
	L. C. Gardner	100	90	85	90	25	390	10	
	Team	300	215	260	275	120	1170		3
Pa.	R. M. Shoop	55	90	85	70	100	400	9	
	G. A. Ritchey	100	90	95	85	70	440	2	
	F. Yoder	55	70	100	55	25	305		
	Team	210	250	280	210	195	1145		4
Ill.	F. A. Dakir	70	90	95	70	85	410	8	
	G. A. Johnson	55	70	100	70	55	350		
	H. E. Edwards	85	70	70	70	70	365		
	Team	210	230	265	210	210	1125		5
Okla.	H. Embry	100	90	95	70	55	410	7	
	S. G. Skelton	65	70	95	75	85	390		
	B. Kropp	70	60	40	70	70	310		
	Team	235	220	230	215	210	1110		6
Neb.	M. McAllister	70	90	85	70	40	355		
	Wm. Donahue	55	90	95	85	25	350		
	R. Wilson	70	90	85	85	40	370		
	Team	195	270	265	240	105	1075		7
Texas	O. K. Hoyle	70	90	55	60	40	315		
	P. S. Homayer	55	90	95	70	55	365		
	G. H. Draper	70	70	55	85	70	350		
	Team	195	250	205	215	165	1030		8
Ohio	S. Woodin	55	85	55	90	100	385		
	B. H. Holtzapple	100	70	55	85	25	335		
	E. J. Shaffer	50	90	80	55	25	300		
	Team	205	245	190	230	150	1020		9

EXHIBITION

Team	Individual	I.	II.	III.	IV.	V.	Ind.	Team
No.	G. Williams	70	90	85	85	85	415	8
	L. F. Forward	100	90	85	90	100	435	3
	H. Torkill	100	100	100	70	85	435	4
Team		270	280	270	275	240	1285	I
Kan.	T. E. Avery	85	100	100	90	100	475	1
	H. F. Nelson	100	90	80	85	85	390	
	G. L. Olish	85	85	70	90	85	355	
Team		270	275	260	265	270	1210	2
Iowa	G. Holin	100	70	85	85	70	450	5
	G. F. Meyer	100	85	80	100	85	380	
	L. G. Gardner	100	90	85	90	85	390	10
Team		300	245	260	275	240	1225	3
Pa.	R. M. Shoop	85	90	85	70	100	400	8
	G. A. Ritchey	100	90	85	85	70	440	2
	E. Yoder	85	70	100	85	85	395	
Team		270	260	270	240	255	1175	4
Ill.	K. A. Dantz	70	90	85	70	85	410	8
	G. A. Johnson	85	70	100	70	85	350	
	H. E. Wengert	85	70	70	70	70	365	
Team		240	260	255	210	240	1125	5
Okla.	H. Embury	100	90	95	70	85	410	7
	S. O. Skelton	85	70	85	75	85	390	
	B. Kropp	70	60	40	70	70	210	
Team		255	220	220	215	230	1110	6
Nebr.	H. McCallister	70	90	85	70	40	355	
	W. Donahue	85	90	95	85	85	350	
	E. Wilson	70	90	85	85	40	370	
Team		195	270	265	230	165	1075	7
Texas	G. V. Hoyte	70	90	85	60	40	315	
	P. S. Hovater	85	90	95	70	85	365	
	G. E. Beyer	70	70	85	85	70	360	
Team		195	250	265	215	155	1030	8
Ohio	G. Woodin	85	85	85	90	100	365	
	R. H. Holtsapple	100	70	85	85	85	335	
	E. J. Spalter	80	90	80	85	85	300	
Team		265	245	190	260	180	1030	9

SUMMARY -

Chicago, 1933

Team	Name of Individual	Exb. Score	Placing	Prod. Score	Placing	Individual Grand Total	Final Place Ind.	Final Place Team
Kan.	T.B.Avery	475	1	385	6	860	1	
	N.R.Nelson	380		440	1	820	4	
	C.L.Gish	355		365	8	720		
	Team	1210		1190		2400		1
Mo.	C.Williams	415	6	350		765	9	
	J.F.Forward	435	3	350		785	6	
	H.Terrill	425	4	395	3	820	3	
	Team	1275		1095		2370		2
Pa.	R.M.Shoop	400	9	335		735		
	G.A.Ritchey	440	2	395	5	835	2	
	F.Yoder	305		440	2	745		
	Team	1145		1170		2315		3
Iowa	O.Bolin	420	5	365	8	785	7	
	C.W.Meyer	360		365	8	725		
	L.C.Gardner	390	10	365	8	755	10	
	Team	1170		1095		2265		4
Ill.	F.A.Dakir	410	8	365	8	775	8	
	G.A.Johnson	350		335		685		
	H.E.Edwards	365		335		700		
	Team	1125		1035		2160		5
Okla.	H.Embry	410	7	380	7	790	5	
	S.G.Skelton	390		335		725		
	B.Kropp	310		335		645		
	Team	1110		1050		2160		6
Neb.	M.McAllister	355		395	4	750		
	Wm.Donahue	350		320		670		
	R.Wilson	370		350		720		
	Team	1075		1065		2140		7
Ohio	S.Woodin	385		320		705		
	B.H.Holtzapple	335		305		640		
	E.J.Shaffer	300		335		635		
	Team	1020		960		1980		8
Texas	O.K.Hoyle	315		265		580		
	P.G.Homeyer	365		290		655		
	G.H.Draper	350		350		700		
	Team	1030		905		1935		9

SUMMARY -

October, 1933

State	Team	Individual Score	Place	Prod. Score	Place	Individual Time	Final Place
Kan.	T. S. Avery	475	1	385	5	880	1
	H. R. Nelson	380		440	1	830	4
	C. J. Gish	385		585	8	780	
	Team	1240		1190		2490	1
Mo.	O. Williams	415	5	350	5	785	5
	L. F. Forward	435	3	350	5	785	5
	H. Tettill	425	4	355	3	830	3
	Team	1275		1055		2400	3
Pa.	R. M. Shoop	400	5	335	5	735	
	G. A. Ritteney	440	3	395	3	635	3
	F. Yoder	305		440	3	745	
	Team	1145		1170		2315	3
Iowa	O. Bolin	480	5	365	5	785	7
	O. W. Meyer	380		385	5	755	
	L. G. Gardner	390	10	365	5	755	10
	Team	1150		1095		2585	4
Ill.	P. A. Deltz	410	8	365	5	775	8
	G. A. Johnson	350		335		685	
	H. W. Edwards	395		335		700	
	Team	1155		1035		2160	5
Ohio	H. Hardy	410	7	380	7	790	2
	E. O. Skelton	390		335		735	
	B. Kropp	310		335		645	
	Team	1110		1050		2180	6
Wep.	H. McAllister	355		355	4	750	
	Wm. Donahue	350		350		670	
	F. W. Scott	370		350		730	
	Team	1075		1055		2150	7
Ohio	G. Goodwin	355		350		705	
	E. H. Hofstetter	355		305		640	
	E. L. Shaffer	390		335		675	
	Team	1050		990		1960	8
Texas	G. K. Hoyle	315		365		680	
	F. B. Hoveler	355		390		655	
	G. E. Hatcher	350		350		700	
	Team	1020		905		1935	9

MARKET POULTRY

Team	Name of Individual	XI Heavy Fowl	XII Light Fowl	XIII Heavy Roasters	XIV Light Roasters	XV Dressed Fowl	Total Score	Indl Placing	Team Placing
Mo.	A. Meyers	100	70	100	70	70	410	6	
	J. F. Forward	100	85	100	70	85	440	1	
	C. Williams	85	85	85	85	100	440	1	
	Team	285	240	285	225	255	1290		1
Okla.	B. Kropp	100	85	70	85	100	440	1	
	S. G. Skelton	85	55	100	70	85	395	8	
	H. Embry	100	40	85	70	85	380		
	Team	285	180	255	225	270	1215		2
Neb.	R. Wilson	85	55	85	85	85	395	8	
	W. Donahue	85	70	100	55	85	395	8	
	M. English	100	55	85	85	100	425	5	
	Team	270	180	270	225	270	1215		3
Ill.	H. E. Edwards	100	70	85	100	40	395	8	
	G. A. Johnson	100	70	100	70	70	410	6	
	F. S. Hooper	100	85	100	40	70	395	8	
	Team	300	225	285	210	180	1200		4
Kan.	G. L. Gish	100	85	100	70	85	440	1	
	N. Nelson	100	70	85	25	70	350		
	T. B. Avery	100	55	85	55	85	380		
	Team	300	210	270	150	240	1170		5
Pa.	W. O. Johnson	100	70	100	55	70	395	8	
	G. A. Ritchey	100	55	100	40	70	365		
	R. M. Shoop	85	55	100	40	40	320		
	Team	285	180	300	135	180	1080		6
Ohio	B. E. Gamble	100	55	85	40	70	350		
	B. H. Holtzapple	85	55	100	70	25	335		
	S. Woodin	100	70	100	40	85	395	8	
	Team	285	180	285	150	180	1080		7
Texas	G. H. Draper	100	70	85	70	55	380		
	P. G. Homeyer	100	25	85	55	70	335		
	O. K. Hoyle	100	40	85	40	85	350		
	Team	300	135	255	165	210	1065		8
Iowa	D. Bowstead	100	70	85	70	70	395	8	
	G. W. Meyer	85	85	85	55	55	365		
	O. Bolin	100	55	85	0	55	295		
	Team	285	210	255	125	180	1055		9

MARKET POULTRY

Team	Individual	Heavy	Light	Heavy	Light	Heavy	Light	Team
Mo.	A. Meyers	100	70	100	70	70	410	8
	J. E. Toward	100	85	100	70	85	440	1
	O. Williams	85	85	85	85	100	440	1
Team		285	240	285	235	325	1290	1
Okla.	B. Kropp	100	85	70	85	100	440	1
	B. G. Skelton	85	85	100	70	85	395	8
	M. Embury	100	40	85	70	85	380	
Team		285	180	285	235	270	1215	8
Nebr.	H. Wilson	85	85	85	85	85	395	8
	T. Dornan	85	70	100	85	85	395	8
	W. Anglish	100	85	85	85	100	435	5
Team		270	180	270	235	270	1215	3
Ill.	E. W. Edwards	100	70	85	100	40	395	8
	G. A. Johnson	100	70	100	70	70	410	6
	T. H. Cooper	100	85	100	40	70	385	8
Team		300	235	285	210	180	1200	4
Kan.	O. L. Glash	100	85	100	70	85	440	1
	M. Nelson	100	70	85	85	70	350	
	T. B. Avery	100	85	85	85	85	380	
Team		300	210	270	150	240	1170	8
Pa.	W. O. Johnson	100	70	100	85	70	395	8
	G. A. Ritchey	100	85	100	40	70	395	
	E. M. Gibson	85	85	100	40	40	380	
Team		285	180	270	135	180	1080	8
Ohio	B. E. Gaudle	100	85	85	40	70	350	
	B. H. Hittsapple	85	85	100	70	85	335	
	S. Woodin	100	70	100	40	85	395	8
Team		285	180	235	150	180	1080	7
Texas	G. H. Brubaker	100	70	85	70	85	350	
	F. G. Hovener	100	85	85	85	70	335	
	G. K. Hovis	100	40	85	40	85	350	
Team		300	135	235	155	210	1085	8
Iowa	B. Sawatzka	100	70	85	70	85	395	8
	G. W. Meyer	85	85	85	85	85	395	
	O. Salin	100	85	85	0	85	395	
Team		285	210	235	135	180	1085	8

MARKET EGGS

		XVI	XVII	XVIII	XIX	XX			
Team	Name of Individual	White	Mixed	White	Brown	White	Total Score	Ind. Placing	Team Placing
Ill.	H.E. Edwards	100	100	85	100	70	455		
	G.A. Johnson	85	100	100	85	100	470	5	
	F.S. Hooper	100	100	85	100	100	485	1	
	Team	285	300	270	285	270	1410		1
Mo.	A. Meyers	100	100	85	100	85	470	5	
	J.F. Forward	85	100	85	100	85	455		
	G. Williams	100	100	85	100	85	470	5	
	Team	285	300	255	300	255	1395		2
Kan.	G.L. Gish	85	100	100	70	70	425		
	N. Nelson	100	100	100	100	70	470	5	
	T.B. Avery	100	100	85	100	100	485	1	
	Team	285	300	285	270	240	1380		3
Web.	R. Wilson	100	100	85	100	70	455		
	W. Donahue	100	100	85	100	85	470	5	
	M. English	100	85	70	100	85	440		
	Team	300	285	240	300	240	1365		4
Ohio	B.E. Gamble	100	100	70	100	85	455		
	B.H. Holtzapple	100	100	100	100	85	485	1	
	S. Woodin	100	85	70	70	85	410		
	Team	300	285	240	270	255	1350		5
Ia.	L. Bowstead	100	100	85	100	85	470	5	
	G.W. Meyer	70	100	85	85	85	425		
	O. Bolin	100	100	85	85	85	455		
	Team	270	300	255	270	255	1350		6
Texas	G.H. Draper	85	100	100	100	100	485	1	
	P.G. Homeyer	100	85	70	55	70	380		
	O.K. Hoyle	100	100	85	100	85	470	5	
	Team	285	285	255	255	255	1335		7
Pa.	W.D. Johnson	100	85	85	85	55	410		
	G.A. Ritchey	85	85	85	100	70	425		
	R.M. Shoop	70	100	85	100	85	440		
	Team	255	270	255	285	210	1275		8
Okla.	H. Embry	40	100	70	100	85	395		
	S.G. Skelton	85	100	85	100	85	455		
	B. Kropp	85	40	85	85	70	365		
	Team	210	240	240	285	240	1215		9

MARKET NOGS

Team	Individuals	White	Mixed	White	Brown	White	Total	Team
III.	E. E. Edwards	100	100	85	100	70	455	
	G. A. Johnson	85	100	100	85	100	470	5
	F. E. Rogers	100	100	85	100	100	485	1
Team		285	300	270	355	270	1410	I
IV.	A. Meyers	100	100	85	100	85	470	5
	J. W. Forward	85	100	85	100	85	455	
	G. Williams	100	100	85	100	85	470	5
Team		285	300	285	300	285	1385	3
V.	G. L. Gish	85	100	100	70	70	455	
	H. Nelson	100	100	100	100	70	470	5
	T. S. Avery	100	100	85	100	100	485	1
Team		285	300	285	270	240	1380	3
VI.	E. Wilson	100	100	85	100	70	455	
	W. Donahue	100	100	85	100	85	470	5
	W. English	100	85	70	100	85	440	
Team		300	285	240	300	240	1365	4
Ohio	E. F. Gamble	100	100	70	100	85	455	
	E. B. Hollingsdale	100	100	100	100	85	485	1
	S. Woodin	100	85	70	70	85	410	
Team		300	285	240	270	255	1350	5
Ind.	L. Bowstead	100	100	85	100	85	470	5
	G. W. Meyer	70	100	85	85	85	435	
	G. Bolin	100	100	85	85	85	455	
Team		270	300	255	270	255	1350	6
Texas	G. H. Draper	85	100	100	100	100	485	1
	F. A. Hoxmyer	100	85	70	85	70	330	
	G. K. Loyie	100	100	85	100	85	470	5
Team		285	285	255	325	255	1305	7
Pa.	W. D. Johnson	100	85	85	85	85	410	
	G. L. Witkovy	95	85	85	100	70	425	
	R. W. Groce	70	100	85	100	85	440	
Team		255	270	255	285	210	1275	8
Ohio	H. Embury	40	100	70	100	85	395	
	S. G. Skelton	85	100	85	100	85	455	
	E. Keeton	85	40	85	85	70	365	
Team		210	240	240	285	240	1215	9

SUMMARY
Market Eggs and Market Poultry

Team	Name of Individual	Individual Grand Total	Place	Team Grand Total	Team Place
Mo.	A. Meyers	880	4		
	J. F. Forward	895	2		
	C. Williams	910	1		
	Team	2685		2685	1
Ill.	H. E. Edwards	850			
	G. A. Johnson	880	5		
	F. S. Hooper	880	3		
	Team	2610		2610	2
Neb.	R. Wilson	850			
	W. Donahue	865	6		
	M. English	865	6		
	Team	2580		2580	3
Kan.	C. L. Gish	865	6		
	N. Nelson	820			
	T. B. Avery	865	6		
	Team	2550		2550	4
Ohio	B. E. Gamble	805			
	B. H. Holtzapple	820			
	S. Woodin	805			
	Team	2430		2430	5
Okla.	B. Kropp	835			
	B. G. Skelton	850			
	H. Embry	745			
	Team	2430		2430	6
Iowa	L. Bowstead	865	6		
	C. W. Meyer	790			
	O. Bolin	750			
	Team	2405		2405	7
Texas	G. H. Draper	865	6		
	P. G. Homeyer	715			
	O. K. Hoyle	820			
	Team	2400		2400	8
Pa.	W. O. Johnson	805			
	G. A. Ritchey	790			
	R. M. Shoop	760			
	Team	2355		2355	9

15th ANNUAL INTERCOLLEGIATE POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST

Chicago, Illinois - December 1, 1934

Institution

Iowa State College
Texas A & M College
Oklahoma A & M College
University of Arizona
University of Missouri
Kansas State College

Coach

Professor T. T. Milby
Professor W. F. Munnerlyn
Professor Robert Penquite
Professor H. Embleton
Professor E. M. Funk
Professor H. M. Scott

Iowa State College Winning Team

Casper Torkelson; Claude Hopkins; Prof. Thomas Milby, Coach;
Kenneth Wagner; Harold J. Stimson



Fifteenth Midwest Intercollegiate

Poultry Judging Contest

1934

Packet contains:

1. Instructions from Manager Dr. L. E. Card, Univ. of Illinois, to coaches of teams.
2. Minutes of meeting of coaches December 1, 1934.
3. Report on the 15th Midwest Intercollegiate Poultry Judging Contest.

Make-up of Contest:

Division I

Exhibition Judging - Five four-bird rings

Rhode Island Red Cockerels
Rhode Island Red Pullets
White Leghorn Cockerels
White Wyandotte Pullets
Barred Plymouth Rock Pullets

Production Judging - Five four-bird rings

White Wyandotte Yearling Hens
Barred Plymouth Rock Yearling Hens
White Leghorn Yearling Hens
White Leghorn Yearling Hens
Rhode Island Red Yearling Hens

Division II

Market Products - Five four-bird rings

Light Fowl
Heavy Roasters
Heavy Fowl
Dressed Fowl
Dressed Roasters

Market Eggs - Grading of 50 eggs according to the
U.S. Standard of Quality for Individual Eggs.

Scores of Division II, Market Products, were not included
in with Exhibition Production Judging Scores.

RESULTS OF MIDWEST INTERCOLLEGIATE POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST

December 1, 1934

Although early in the fall we had prospects of ten or twelve teams, the number which finally took part in the contest was only six. They placed in the following order:

	<u>School</u>	<u>Points</u>
1	Iowa State College	2 220
2	Texas A. and M. College	2 170
3	Oklahoma A. and M. College	2 140
4	University of Arizona	2 105
5	University of Missouri	2 065
6	Kansas State College	2 055

In exhibition judging the teams placed as follows:

Kansas	930
Iowa	915
Arizona	890
Oklahoma	880
Texas	865
Missouri	820

In production judging the order of placings was:

Texas	1 305
Iowa	1 305
Oklahoma	1 260
Missouri	1 245
Arizona	1 215
Kansas	1 125

RESULTS OF MIDWEST INTERCOLLEGIATE POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST

December 1, 1934

Although early in the fall we had prospects of ten or twelve teams, the number which finally took part in the contest was only six. They placed in the following order:

School	Points
Iowa State College	2 320
Texas A. and M. College	2 170
Oklahoma A. and M. College	2 140
University of Arizona	2 108
University of Missouri	2 068
Kansas State College	2 058

In exhibition judging the teams placed as follows:

Kansas	980
Iowa	918
Arizona	890
Oklahoma	880
Texas	858
Missouri	820

In production judging the order of placing was:

Texas	1 308
Iowa	1 308
Oklahoma	1 280
Missouri	1 248
Arizona	1 218
Kansas	1 128

The tie between Texas and Iowa was broken according to the rules of the contest.

The team from Iowa won a permanent cup awarded through the courtesy of Purina Mills of St. Louis and are to retain for one year the new challenge trophy provided by the Institute of American Poultry Industries of Chicago. The Texas team, by winning first place in production judging, secured title to a permanent trophy awarded through the courtesy of Poultry Tribune, Mount Morris, Illinois and the Kansas team similarly won a permanent trophy for exhibition judging, awarded by the Keyes-Davis Company of Battle Creek, Michigan.

The high individuals in all-round judging were:

1. W. Skousen, Arizona, 775
2. H. Stimson, Iowa, 775
3. C. Moore, Oklahoma 775
4. K. Wagner, Iowa 760
5. G. Roesner, Texas 745
6. N. O. Thompson, Kansas 730
7. R. Traw, Oklahoma 730
8. G. Logan, Texas 730
9. J. Fulkerson, Missouri 715
10. S. Lewis, Missouri 700

Cash awards were made to each of these men through the courtesy of Allied Mills, Inc. of Chicago and the Buckeye Incubator Company of Springfield, Ohio. In exhibition judging the three high individuals were:

- | | |
|--------------------|-----|
| R. Traw, Oklahoma | 350 |
| C. Moore, Oklahoma | 350 |
| H. Stimson, Iowa | 350 |

First, second and third place medals for exhibition judging were awarded to these

The tie between Texas and Iowa was broken according to the rules of the contest. The team from Iowa won a permanent cup awarded through the courtesy of Prairie Mills of St. Louis and are to retain for one year the new challenge trophy provided by the Institute of American Poultry Industries of Chicago. The Texas team, by winning first place in production judging, secured title to a permanent trophy awarded through the courtesy of Poultry Tribune, Mount Morris, Illinois and the Kansas team similarly won a permanent trophy for exhibition judging, awarded by the Keyes-Davis Company of Battle Creek, Michigan.

The high individuals in all-round judging were:

1. W. Skousen, Arizona, 775
2. H. Stinson, Iowa, 775
3. C. Moore, Oklahoma, 775
4. K. Wagner, Iowa, 760
5. G. Roemer, Texas, 745
6. H. O. Thompson, Kansas, 730
7. B. Trew, Oklahoma, 730
8. G. Logan, Texas, 730
9. J. Erikson, Missouri, 715
10. E. Lewis, Missouri, 700

Cash awards were made to each of these men through the courtesy of Allied Mills, Inc. of Chicago and the Buckeye Incubator Company of Springfield, Ohio. In exhibition judging the three high individuals were:

- | | |
|-----|--------------------|
| 850 | B. Trew, Oklahoma |
| 850 | C. Moore, Oklahoma |
| 850 | H. Stinson, Iowa |

First, second and third place medals for exhibition judging were awarded to these

men through the courtesy of the G. E. Conkey Company of Cleveland, Ohio.

The high individuals in production judging were:

G. Roesner, Texas	455
H. Wagner, Iowa	455
J. Denman, Oklahoma,	455

Medals were awarded to these three men through the courtesy of the American Poultry Journal, Chicago, Illinois.

In the market products contest in which the boys judged live poultry, dressed poultry, and market eggs, the order of placing of teams was:

Texas	2 435
Missouri	2 335
Iowa	2 280
Oklahoma	2 270
Arizona	2 230
Kansas	2 045

The Texas team won the permanent trophy given by General Mills, Inc. of Minneapolis.

The five high individuals were:

1. F. Bednarek, Texas	875
2. G. Logan, Texas	840
3. K. Wagner, Iowa	835
4. G. Tatum, Arizona	810
5. S. Lewis, Missouri	805

The three high men were awarded medals through the courtesy of Hales and Hunter Company of Chicago and cash prizes were awarded to the five high individuals, this cash being made available by Poultry Tribune, Mount Morris, Illinois; Keyes-Davis Company, Battle Creek, Michigan; and Allied Mills of Chicago.

Devis Company, Battle Creek, Michigan; and Allied Mills of Chicago.

this cash being made available by Poultry Tribune, Mount Morris, Illinois; Neys-Company of Chicago and cash prizes were awarded to the five high individuals.

The three high men were awarded medals through the courtesy of Hales and Hunter

5. S. Lewis, Missouri 808

4. G. Tatum, Arizona 810

3. K. Wagner, Iowa 835

2. G. Logan, Texas 840

1. F. Bednarek, Texas 873

The five high individuals were:

The Texas team won the permanent trophy given by General Mills, Inc. of Minneapolis.

Kansas 805

Arizona 820

Oklahoma 870

Iowa 880

Missouri 835

Texas 835

dressed poultry, and market eggs, the order of placing of teams was:

In the market produce contest in which the boys judged live poultry.

Poultry Journal, Chicago, Illinois.

Medals were awarded to these three men through the courtesy of the American

J. Bernman, Oklahoma, 455

H. Wagner, Iowa, 455

G. Rosemer, Texas, 455

The high individuals in production judging were:

men through the courtesy of the G. E. Conkey Company of Cleveland, Ohio.

INTERCOLLEGIATE POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST

Chicago, 1934

1. Iowa State College, Ames, Iowa (C. Torkelson, H. Stimson, K. Wagner) T. T. Milby, Coach	2220
2. Texas A. & M. College, College Station, Texas (G. Logan, G. Roesner, F. Bednarek) W. F. Munnerlyn, Coach	2170
3. Oklahoma A. & M. College, Stillwater, Oklahoma (R. Traw, J. Denman, C. Moore) R. Penquite, Coach	2140
4. University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona (B. Lines, G. Tatum, W. Skousen) H. Embleton, Coach	2105
5. University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri (J. Fulkerson, A. Meyers, S. Lewis) E. M. Funk, Coach	2065
6. Kansas State College, Manhattan, Kansas (L. Miller, N. O. Thompson, I. Hawk) H. M. Scott, Coach	2055

Ranking Individuals

1. W. Skousen, Arizona	775	6. N. O. Thompson, Kansas	730
2. H. Stimson, Iowa	775	7. R. Traw, Oklahoma	730
3. C. Moore, Oklahoma	775	8. G. Logan, Texas	730
4. K. Wagner, Iowa	760	9. J. Fulkerson, Missouri	715
5. G. Roesner, Texas	745	10. S. Lewis, Missouri	700

LECs

12-14-34

men through the courtesy of the G. E. Conkey Company of Cleveland, Ohio.

The high individuals in production judging were:

455	G. Roemer, Texas
455	H. Wagner, Iowa
455	J. Terman, Oklahoma

Medals were awarded to these three men through the courtesy of the American

Poultry Journal, Chicago, Illinois.

In the market products contest in which the boys judged live poultry,

dressed poultry, and market eggs, the order of placing of teams was:

2 435	Texas
2 335	Missouri
2 280	Iowa
2 270	Oklahoma
2 230	Arizona
2 045	Kansas

The Texas team won the permanent trophy given by General Mills, Inc. of Minneapolis.

The five high individuals were:

875	I. F. Bednarek, Texas
840	S. G. Logan, Texas
835	S. K. Wagner, Iowa
810	G. Tatum, Arizona
805	S. Lewis, Missouri

The three high men were awarded medals through the courtesy of Hales and Hunter

Company of Chicago and cash prizes were awarded to the five high individuals.

this cash being made available by Poultry Tribune, Mount Morris, Illinois; Hayes-

Devis Company, Battle Creek, Michigan; and Allied Mills of Chicago.

EXHIBITION JUDGING

1. Kansas State College	930
2. Iowa State College	915
3. University of Arizona	890
4. Oklahoma A. & M. College	880
5. Texas A. & M. College	865
6. University of Missouri	820

Ranking Individuals

1. R. Traw, Oklahoma	350	6. L. Miller, Kansas	320
2. C. Moore, Oklahoma	350	7. S. Lewis, Missouri	305
3. H. Stimson, Iowa	350	8. K. Wagner, Iowa	305
4. N. O. Thompson, Kansas	335	9. G. Logan, Texas	305
5. W. Skousen, Arizona	335	10. B. Lines, Arizona	295

PRODUCTION JUDGING

1. Texas A. & M. College	1305
2. Iowa State College	1305
3. Oklahoma A. & M. College	1260
4. University of Missouri	1245
5. University of Arizona	1215
6. Kansas State College	1125

Ranking Individuals

1. G. Roesner, Texas	455	5. C. Torkelson, Iowa	425
2. K. Wagner, Iowa	455	5. H. Stimson, Iowa	425
3. J. Denman, Oklahoma	455	5. C. Moore, Oklahoma	425
4. W. Skousen, Arizona	440	5. J. Fulkerson, Missouri	425
5. F. Bednarek, Texas	425	5. A. Meyers, Missouri	425

men through the courtesy of the G. E. Conkey Company of Cleveland, Ohio.

The high individuals in production judging were:

- 455 H. G. Roemer, Texas
- 455 H. Wagner, Iowa
- 455 J. Bernman, Oklahoma

Medals were awarded to these three men through the courtesy of the American

Poultry Journal, Chicago, Illinois.

In the market products contest in which the boys judged live poultry,

dressed poultry, and market eggs, the order of placing of teams was:

- 2 435 Texas
- 2 335 Missouri
- 2 280 Iowa
- 2 270 Oklahoma
- 2 230 Arizona
- 2 045 Kansas

The Texas team won the permanent trophy given by General Mills, Inc. of Minneapolis.

The five high individuals were:

- 875 I. F. Bednarek, Texas
- 840 S. G. Logan, Texas
- 835 S. K. Wagner, Iowa
- 810 A. G. Tatum, Arizona
- 805 S. S. Lewis, Missouri

The three high men were awarded medals through the courtesy of Hales and Hunter

Company of Chicago and cash prizes were awarded to the five high individuals.

this cash being made available by Poultry Tribune, Mount Morris, Illinois; Keyes-

Davis Company, Battle Creek, Michigan; and Allied Mills of Chicago.

MARKET POULTRY AND EGGS*

1. Texas A. & M. College	2435
(G. Logan, G. Roesner, F. Bednarek)	
W. F. Munnerlyn, Coach	
2. University of Missouri	2335
(H. Terrill, W. T. Moore, S. Lewis)	
E. M. Funk, Coach	
3. Iowa State College	2280
(C. Torkelson, H. Stimson, K. Wagner)	
T. T. Milby, Coach	
4. Oklahoma A. & M. College	2270
(R. Traw, J. Denman, C. Moore)	
R. Penquite, Coach	
5. University of Arizona	2230
(B. Lines, G. Tatum, J. Anderson)	
H. Embleton, Coach	
6. Kansas State College	2045
(L. Miller, L. Sconce, J. Patton)	
H. M. Scott, Coach	

Ranking Individuals

1. F. Bednarek, Texas	875	6. L. Miller, Kansas	795
2. G. Logan, Texas	840	7. H. Terrill, Missouri	795
3. K. Wagner, Iowa	835	8. R. Traw, Oklahoma	785
4. G. Tatum, Arizona	810	9. C. Moore, Oklahoma	755
5. S. Lewis, Missouri	805	10. W. T. Moore, Missouri	735

*According to the rules in effect this year, a coach was permitted to enter in the judging of market products one, two or three men who did not judge exhibition or production poultry. In some cases the same three men took part in both contests.

Davis Company, Battle Creek, Michigan; and Allied Mills of Chicago.

this cash being made available by Poultry Tribune, Mount Morris, Illinois; Hayes-Company of Chicago and cash prizes were awarded to the five high individuals.

The three high men were awarded medals through the courtesy of Hales and Hunter

5. S. Lewis, Missouri 808

4. G. Tatum, Arizona 810

3. K. Wagner, Iowa 835

2. G. Logan, Texas 840

1. F. Bohanek, Texas 875

The five high individuals were:

The Texas team won the permanent trophy given by General Mills, Inc. of Minneapolis.

Kansas 8045

Arizona 8280

Oklahoma 8270

Iowa 8380

Missouri 8335

Texas 8435

dressed poultry, and market eggs, the order of placing of teams was:

In the market products contest in which the boys judged live poultry,

Poultry Journal, Chicago, Illinois.

Medals were awarded to these three men through the courtesy of the American

1. Lemman, Oklahoma 455

H. Wagner, Iowa 455

W. G. Roemer, Texas 455

The high individuals in production judging were:

men through the courtesy of the G. E. Conkey Company of Cleveland, Ohio.

(1934)

EXHIBITION

Team	Name of individual	R.I.Red ekls.	R.I.Red pullets	W.Ryan. pullets	B.P.R. pullets	W.Leghorn ekls.	Total	Placing
ARIZONA	Lines, Boyce	70	85	70	70	0	295	10
	Tatum, Geo.	40	55	40	70	55	260	
	Skousen, W.	85	85	40	70	55	335	5
	Team	<u>195</u>	<u>225</u>	<u>150</u>	<u>210</u>	<u>110</u>	<u>590</u>	3
KANSAS	Miller, L.	70	55	85	40	70	320	6
	Thompson, N. O.	100	40	70	55	70	335	4
	Hawk, I.	85	55	25	55	55	275	
	Team	<u>255</u>	<u>150</u>	<u>150</u>	<u>150</u>	<u>195</u>	<u>950</u>	1
IOWA	Torkelson, Casper	55	85	40	40	40	260	
	Stimson, Harold	85	70	55	85	55	350	3
	Wagner, Kenneth	70	85	25	55	70	305	8
	Team	<u>210</u>	<u>240</u>	<u>120</u>	<u>180</u>	<u>165</u>	<u>915</u>	2
MISSOURI	Fulkerson, Jewett	70	40	55	25	100	290	
	Meyers, Alva	85	70	45	25	0	225	
	Lewis, Samuel	100	55	25	55	70	305	7
	Team	<u>255</u>	<u>165</u>	<u>125</u>	<u>105</u>	<u>170</u>	<u>820</u>	6
TEXAS	Logan, Geo.	85	70	70	55	25	305	9
	Roesner, Geo.	70	85	40	40	55	290	
	Bednarek, Frank	85	70	5	55	55	270	
	Team	<u>240</u>	<u>225</u>	<u>115</u>	<u>150</u>	<u>135</u>	<u>865</u>	5
OKLAHOMA	Traw, Ralph	70	55	70	55	100	350	1
	Denman, Joyce	25	55	5	25	70	180	
	Moore, Clyde	70	85	55	70	70	350	2
	Team	<u>165</u>	<u>195</u>	<u>130</u>	<u>150</u>	<u>240</u>	<u>880</u>	4

LECs

5-22-35

Davis Company, Battle Creek, Michigan; and Allied Mills of Chicago.

this cash being made available by Poultry Tribune, Mount Morris, Illinois; Hayes-Company of Chicago and cash prizes were awarded to the five high individuals.

The three high men were awarded medals through the courtesy of Hales and Hunter

- 5. S. Lewis, Missouri 808
- 4. G. Tatum, Arizona 810
- 3. K. Wagner, Iowa 835
- 2. G. Logan, Texas 840
- 1. T. Bednarek, Texas 875

The five high individuals were:

The Texas team won the permanent trophy given by General Mills, Inc. of Minneapolis.

- Kansas 2 045
- Arizona 2 230
- Oklahoma 2 270
- Iowa 2 280
- Missouri 2 335
- Texas 2 435

dressed poultry, and market eggs, the order of placing of teams was:

In the market products contest in which the boys judged live poultry, Poultry Journal, Chicago, Illinois.

Medals were awarded to these three men through the courtesy of the American

- J. Terman, Oklahoma 455
- H. Wagner, Iowa 455
- W. G. Roesser, Texas 455

The high individuals in production judging were:

men through the courtesy of the G. E. Conkey Company of Cleveland, Ohio.

PRODUCTION

	Name of individual						Plac- ing	Combined Scores	
		W.Wyan.	Kansas Leghorns	B.P.Rocks	Michigan Leghorns	R.I.Reds	Total	Exhibition & Production	Final placing
A	Lines, Boyce	85	85	70	70	85	395	690	
	Tatum, Geo.	85	85	40	70	100	380	640	
	Skousen, W.	100	100	100	70	70	440	775	1
	Team	270	270	210	210	255	1 215	2 105	4
S	Miller, L.	100	70	85	55	55	385	685	
	Thompson, W. O.	100	70	85	70	70	395	730	6
	Hawk, I.	85	40	85	70	85	365	640	
	Team	285	180	255	195	210	1 125	2 055	6
	Terkelson, Casper	100	100	85	70	70	425	685	
	Stimson, Harold	100	85	85	85	70	425	775	2
	Wagner, Kenneth	85	100	85	100	85	455	760	4
	Team	285	285	255	255	225	1 305	2 220	1
RI	Fulkerson, Jewett	85	85	100	70	85	425	715	9
	Meyers, Alva	100	85	85	70	85	425	650	
	Lewis, Samuel	85	85	70	85	70	395	700	10
	Team	270	255	255	225	240	1 245	2 065	5
	Logan, Geo.	85	100	85	70	85	425	730	8
	Roesner, Geo.	85	100	85	85	100	455	745	5
	Bednarek, Frank	100	85	85	70	85	425	695	
	Team	270	285	255	225	270	1 305	2 170	2
MA	Traw, Ralph	85	85	100	70	40	380	730	7
	Denman, Joyce	85	85	100	100	85	455	635	
	Moore, Clyde	85	85	85	85	85	425	775	3
	Team	255	255	285	255	210	1 260	2 140	3

Davis Company, Battle Creek, Michigan; and Allied Mills of Chicago.

this cash being made available by Poultry Tribune, Mount Morris, Illinois; Keyes-

Company of Chicago and cash prizes were awarded to the five high individuals.

The three high men were awarded medals through the courtesy of Hales and Hunter

5. S. Lewis, Missouri 805

4. G. Tatum, Arizona 810

3. E. Wagner, Iowa 835

2. G. Logan, Texas 840

1. F. Bednarek, Texas 875

The five high individuals were:

The Texas team won the permanent trophy given by General Mills, Inc. of Minneapolis.

Kansas 2 045

Arizona 2 230

Oklahoma 2 270

Iowa 2 280

Missouri 2 335

Texas 2 435

dressed poultry, and market eggs, the order of placing of teams was:

In the market products contest in which the boys judged live poultry.

Poultry Journal, Chicago, Illinois.

Medals were awarded to these three men through the courtesy of the American

1. Penman, Oklahoma 455

H. Wagner, Iowa 455

G. Roemer, Texas 455

The high individuals in production judging were:

men through the courtesy of the G. E. Conkey Company of Cleveland, Ohio.

MARKET PRODUCTS

	Name of individual	Light fowl	Heavy roasters	Heavy fowl	Dressed fowl	Dressed roasters	Total	Plac- ing	Eggs	Plac- ing	Grand total	Final placing
A	Lines, Boyce	70	90	55	85	55	355		345		700	
	Tatum, Geo.	70	60	85	100	100	415	6	395	6	810	4
	Anderson, James	100	45	70	70	70	355		365	10	720	
	Team	240	195	210	255	225	1 125	4	1 105	5	2 230	5
S	Miller, L.	100	55	85	100	100	440	2	355		795	6
	Seones, L.	100	25	85	40	55	305		230		535	
	Patton, J.	85	25	55	85	55	305		360		665	
	Team	285	105	225	225	210	1 060	6	995	5	2 045	6
	Terkelson, Casper	70	45	100	100	85	400	9	320		720	
	Stimson, Harold	70	100	85	100	85	440	4	285		725	
	Wagner, Kenneth	70	100	85	100	100	455	1	380	8	835	3
	Team	210	245	270	300	270	1 295	1	985	6	2 280	3
FRI	Terrill, Harold	55	70	85	70	70	350		445	2	795	7
	Moore, Wm. T.	40	70	70	70	85	335		400	4	735	10
	Lewis, Samuel	85	90	85	85	70	415	7	390	7	805	5
	Team	180	230	240	225	225	1 100	5	1 235	1	2 335	2
	Logan, Geo.	100	70	85	100	85	440	3	400	4	840	2
	Roesner, Geo.	60	45	85	100	55	345		375	9	720	
	Bednarek, Frank	70	70	85	100	100	425	8	450	1	875	1
	Team	230	185	255	300	240	1 210	2	1 225	2	2 435	1
MA	Traw, Ralph	70	45	100	100	55	370		415	3	785	8
	Donnan, Joyce	85	70	85	85	85	410	8	320		730	
	Moore, Clyde	85	45	85	100	85	400	10	355		755	9
	Team	240	160	270	285	225	1 180	3	1 090	4	2 270	4

16th ANNUAL INTERCOLLEGIATE POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST

Chicago, Illinois - November 30, 1935

Institution


Purdue University
Texas A & M College
University of Nebraska
University of Missouri
Iowa State College
Oklahoma A & M College
Kansas State College
University of Illinois

Coach

Professor E. E. Schnetzler
Professor W. F. Munnerlyn
Professor H. E. Alder
Professor E. M. Funk
Professor T. T. Milby
Professor Robert Penquite
Professor H. M. Scott
Professor H. J. Sloan

Purdue University Winning Team

James E. Price; A. H. Probst; John W. Mathews; H. P. Cooper;
E. E. Schnetzler, Coach



Sixteenth Midwest Intercollegiate

Poultry Judging Contest

1935

Packet contains:

1. Instructions to coaches from Manager Dr. L. E. Card, University of Illinois.
2. Minutes of meeting of coaches, Auditorium Hotel, November 30, 1935.
3. Poultry Judging Contest Rules and Regulations.

Make-up of Contest:

Exhibition Judging - Five four-bird rings

Rhode Island Red Pullets
Rhode Island Red Cockerels
White Wyandotte Pullets
Barred Plymouth Rock Pullets
White Leghorn Cockerels

Production Judging - Five four-bird rings

Rhode Island Red Yearling Hens
White Wyandotte Yearling Hens
White Leghorn Yearling Hens
Barred Plymouth Rock Yearling Hens
White Leghorn Yearling Hens

Market Products

Heavy Roasters - ring of four birds
Heavy Fowl - ring of four birds
Light Dressed Fowl - ring of four birds
Heavy Dressed Fowl - ring of four birds
Heavy Dressed Roasters - ring of four birds

Market Eggs - fifty eggs to be candled into U.S.
Grade for individual eggs.

Scores for Exhibition, Production, and Market Products were combined (equal weight to each division) in obtaining official placings of teams and individuals in the contest.

October 24, 1935

To Coaches of Poultry Judging Teams:

I have delayed writing to you about the 1935 Intercollegiate Poultry Judging Contest until I received some definite statement from those in charge of the International Live Stock Exposition on the matter of permission to stage the contest at the time of and in connection with the show. I have just this morning received a letter giving us permission to hold the contest in Dexter Park Pavilion, the same place where it was held last year. This space is also to be used for the 4-H Club Judging Contest on Monday, December 2 and this automatically sets the date of our contest as Saturday, November 30.

I am writing to Mr. Mandeville regarding the market inspection trip, suggesting that it be organized for the morning of Friday, November 29 which will correspond to the trip as held in previous years. I am also getting rates on rooms at the Hotel Crillon which has seemed to be reasonably satisfactory as headquarters, and will advise you of the results in the near future.

On the basis of action taken at the meeting of the coaches on December 1, 1934, copy of which was sent to all of those taking part last year, this year's contest is to be a 3-way affair, giving equal weight to exhibition judging, production judging, and the judging of market products. In other words, a separate market products contest is no longer to be scheduled. Each team will be expected to take part in all three divisions.

On the basis of information so far available it looks as if we can count on nine teams, these being from Nebraska, Iowa, Missouri, Kansas, Oklahoma, Texas, Indiana, Ohio, and Illinois. Minnesota and Wisconsin are definitely out as is Michigan although early prospects from Michigan were good and they are making definite plans for 1936. North Dakota is still to report.

The exhibition and production classes will, in so far as possible, correspond to what we have used in previous years. Something will have to be done about avoiding the objections raised last year in the placing of exhibition classes and we shall either take care of this by a committee of the coaches or, if possible, by having a licensed judge who is familiar with our problems make the placings.

The group voted to have the market poultry consist of three classes of dressed poultry and two classes of live poultry. The dressed birds will, therefore, be light or heavy roasters and light or heavy fowl. The live classes will depend somewhat upon what is obtainable.

October 24, 1935

To Coaches of Poetry Judging Teams:

I have delayed writing to you about the 1935 Intercollegiate Poetry Judging Contest until I received some definite statement from those in charge of the International Five Stock Exposition on the matter of permission to stage the contest at the time of and in connection with the show. I have just this morning received a letter giving us permission to hold the contest in Dexter Park Pavilion, the same place where it was held last year. This space is also to be used for the U.S. Club Judging Contest on Monday, December 2 and this automatically sets the date of our contest as Saturday, November 30.

I am writing to Mr. Nashville regarding the contest inspection trip, suggesting that it be organized for the morning of Friday, November 29 which will correspond to the trip as held in previous years. I am also getting rates on rooms at the Hotel Crillon which has seemed to be reasonably satisfactory as headquarters, and will advise you of the results in the near future.

On the basis of action taken at the meeting of the coaches on December 1, 1934, copy of which was sent to all of those taking part last year, this year's contest is to be a 3-way affair, giving equal weight to exhibition judging, production judging, and the judging of market products. In other words, a separate market products contest is no longer to be scheduled. Each team will be expected to take part in all three divisions.

On the basis of information so far available it looks as if we can count on nine teams, these being from Nebraska, Iowa, Minnesota, Kansas, Oklahoma, Texas, Indiana, Ohio, and Illinois. Minnesota are definitely out as is Michigan although early prospects from Michigan were good and they are making definite plans for 1935. North Dakota is still to report.

The exhibition and production classes will, in so far as possible, correspond to what we have used in previous years. Something will have to be done about excluding the objectionable raised pens in the placing of exhibition classes and we shall either take care of this by a committee at the contest or, if possible, by having a licensed judge who is familiar with our problems make the placings.

The group voted to have the market quality contest of three classes of dressed poultry and two classes of live poultry. The dressed birds will, therefore, be light or heavy roasters and light or heavy fowl. The live classes will depend somewhat upon what is available.

My notes are not absolutely clear on the matter of market eggs but it is my impression that the sense of the group was to follow the same plan as last year; namely, to place individual eggs in a lot of say 50, according to the United States standards with the proviso that care be taken to get eggs which show a wider spread in quality than did the eggs which we used in 1934.

It will be of decided help in completing final arrangements if each of you will make it a point to have some one from your department write me at once, indicating what classes you would be able to furnish. In production birds we could use Barred or White Plymouth Rocks, Rhode Island Reds, White Wyandottes, or White Leghorns. If any of you have exhibition stock of good quality we should be glad to consider Rhode Island Red cockerels or pullets, White Leghorn cockerels, Barred Plymouth Rock cockerels or pullets, and White Wyandotte pullets or hens.

Arrangements are under way for the banquet to be held on the evening of November 30 as usual. Passes to the stock show can probably be obtained for your students by making application to Mr. B. H. Heide, Manager, through the Dean of your college.

Suggestions, criticisms, or comments from any of you will be welcome. As you know, arranging this contest is not a particularly delightful nor easy job and all that can be done is to come as near as possible to meeting the wishes of all those who take part.

Very truly yours,

Professor of Poultry Husbandry

Sent to -

L. E. Card

8

F. E. Mussehl, Lincoln
 E. W. Henderson, Ames
 E. M. Funk, Columbia
 H. M. Scott, Manhattan
 Robert Penquite, Stillwater
 W. F. Munsterlyn, College Station (Tex.)
 E. E. Schnetzler, Lafayette
 E. L. Dakan, Columbus

... and ...

Manager, through the Dean of your college.

...the witness of all those who take part.

RECEIVED
JAN 21 1936
AN. HUSB.

January 13, 1936

To Coaches of Poultry Judging Teams:

MINUTES OF THE COACHES' MEETING AT THE AUDITORIUM HOTEL, CHICAGO

Saturday, November 30, 1935

Dr. L. E. Card, presiding

Professor Funk moved that Professor Munnerlyn be given the job of analyzing the results of the egg judging, and report his findings to the coaches. Motion seconded by Professor Alder. Carried.

Professor Alder suggested that some scheme be devised to obtain more consistent exhibition classes. (The trouble seems to be especially with the White Wyandottes.) It was also suggested that a committee of coaches be appointed to study this problem. Professor Funk suggested that classes be made up with more difference between individual birds than at present. After some discussion it appeared that the opinion of the coaches was that the colleges might supply all exhibition birds, either from their own flocks or by special arrangement. It was suggested that the coaches get together before the contest and place a class of five or six birds, weigh the results, and then make up the classes for the students. After further discussion Professor Alder moved that we proceed as in the past with regard to exhibition birds, adopting any changes which Dr. Card may see fit to make. Professor Funk seconded. Carried.

Professor Munnerlyn has the floor. Dr. Reid has a very important part in planning for the Texas Centennial, and he extends through Professor Munnerlyn a very earnest and cordial invitation to the coaches to hold the contest at the Dallas show next year. (The time of the contest would be the same, the Saturday after Thanksgiving.) After some discussion, it appeared that the majority of the coaches were in favor of accepting the invitation, subject to final approval at a later date.

For the benefit of the grading committee, Professor Munnerlyn asked what should be done when the student fails to grade one or more eggs. Professor Penquite moved that ten points be deducted for each egg not marked on the grade sheet. Professor Scott and Professor Sloan stated that the cut should be the maximum, after which Professor Penquite amended his motion by changing the cut to fifteen points. Motion seconded by Professor Sloan. Carried.

Dr. Card suggested that each coach write a personal letter to each of the men who helped to make the contest possible, making the letters more than simple words of thanks.

RECEIVED
JAN 21 1935
AN. HUSB

January 18, 1935

To Coaches of Poultry Judging Teams:

MINUTES OF THE COACHES' MEETING AT THE AUDITORIUM HOTEL, CHICAGO

Saturday, November 30, 1935

Dr. L. E. Gard, presiding

Professor Funk moved that Professor Munnerlyn be given the job of analyzing the results of the egg judging, and report his findings to the coaches. Motion seconded by Professor Alder. Carried.

Professor Alder suggested that some scheme be devised to obtain more constant exhibition classes. (The trouble seems to be especially with the White Wyandottes.) It was also suggested that a committee of coaches be appointed to study this problem. Professor Funk suggested that classes be made up with more difference between individual birds than at present. After some discussion it appeared that the opinion of the coaches was that the colleges might supply all exhibition birds, either from their own flocks or by special arrangement. It was suggested that the coaches get together before the contest and place a class of five or six birds, weigh the results, and then make up the classes for the students. After further discussion Professor Alder moved that we proceed as in the past with regard to exhibition birds, adopting any changes which Dr. Gard may see fit to make. Professor Funk seconded. Carried.


Professor Munnerlyn has the floor. Dr. Reid has a very important part in planning for the Texas Centennial, and he extends through Professor Munnerlyn a very earnest and cordial invitation to the coaches to hold the contest at the Dallas show next year. (The time of the contest would be the same, the Saturday after Thanksgiving.) After some discussion, it appeared that the majority of the coaches were in favor of accepting the invitation, subject to final approval at a later date.

For the benefit of the grading committee, Professor Munnerlyn asked what should be done when the student fails to grade one or more eggs. Professor Pendrite moved that ten points be deducted for each egg not marked on the grade sheet. Professor Scott and Professor Sloan stated that the cut should be the maximum, after which Professor Pendrite amended his motion by changing the cut to fifteen points. Motion seconded by Professor Sloan. Carried.

Dr. Gard suggested that each coach write a personal letter to each of the men who helped to make the contest possible, making the letters more than simple words of thanks.

Meeting adjourned.

Very sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "T. T. Milby". The signature is written in dark ink and has a long, sweeping horizontal stroke at the end.

T. T. Milby
Instructor
Poultry Husbandry
Iowa State College

TTM:GC

Meeting adjourned.

Very sincerely yours,

T. T. Milby

T. T. Milby
Instructor
Poultry Husbandry
Iowa State College

TTM:GC

Carried.

Carried.

Carried.

Carried.

Carried.

POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST
Rules and Regulations

Name

Midwest Intercollegiate Poultry Judging Contest.

Place and Date

Chicago, Illinois, on the Saturday after Thanksgiving, unless decided otherwise by a two-thirds vote of the institutions directly concerned.

Organization of the Contest

There shall be three divisions of the contest, and they shall have equal weight in determining all-around scores for individual and team placings. These divisions shall consist of the following:

- a) Exhibition poultry. Five rings of birds to be judged on the basis of exhibition quality in accordance with the Standard of Perfection. If suitable birds can be obtained, these rings shall consist of White Leghorn cockerels, Light Barred Plymouth Rock pullets, Rhode Island Red cockerels, Rhode Island Red pullets, and White Wyandotte pullets.
- b) Production poultry. Five rings of yearling hens to be judged for egg production. If suitable birds can be obtained, these rings shall consist of Rhode Island Reds, White Wyandottes, White Plymouth Rocks, Barred Plymouth Rocks, and White Leghorns. A second ring of White Leghorns may be included if some one of the other four varieties cannot be obtained.
- c) Market poultry and eggs. Two rings of live poultry and three rings of dressed poultry to be judged on the basis of market quality. The live poultry shall consist of heavy fowl and heavy roasters. The rings of dressed poultry shall be selected from heavy fowl, light fowl, heavy roasters, and light roasters. There shall be 50 eggs to be placed individually by candling, according to the United States Standards of quality for individual eggs, except size, shape, cleanliness, shell texture, and mobility of air cell.

Method of Determining Awards

Contestants' placings shall be scored on a basis of 100 for agreement with the official placing and a deduction of 15 points for inversion of any two birds. All pairs shall be considered to be "normal" unless designated as "close" or "very close" in the official placings. Close pairs shall be subject to a deduction of 10 points, and very close pairs 5 points, provided they are simply inverted and are not separated by another bird. In the latter case the regular 15-point cut shall apply.

The exhibition rings shall be placed by a committee of three coaches, whose decision shall be final.

POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST
Rules and Regulations

Name

Midwest Intercollegiate Poultry Judging Contest.

Place and Date

Chicago, Illinois, on the Saturday after Thanksgiving, unless decided otherwise by a two-thirds vote of the institutions directly concerned.

Organization of the Contest

There shall be three divisions of the contest, and they shall have equal weight in determining all-around scores for individual and team placings. These divisions shall consist of the following:

- a) Exhibition poultry. Five rings of birds to be judged on the basis of exhibition quality in accordance with the Standard of Perfection. If suitable birds can be obtained, these rings shall consist of White Leghorn cockerels, Light Barred Plymouth Rock pullets, Rhode Island Red cockerels, Rhode Island Red pullets, and White Wyandotte pullets.
- b) Production poultry. Five rings of yearling hens to be judged for egg production. If suitable birds can be obtained, these rings shall consist of Rhode Island Red, White Wyandottes, White Plymouth Rocks, Barred Plymouth Rocks, and White Leghorns. A second ring of White Leghorns may be included if some one of the other four varieties cannot be obtained.
- c) Market poultry and eggs. Two rings of live poultry and three rings of dressed poultry to be judged on the basis of market quality. The live poultry shall consist of heavy fowl and heavy roasters. The rings of dressed poultry shall be selected from heavy fowl, light fowl, heavy roasters, and light roasters. There shall be 50 eggs to be placed individually by candling, according to the United States Standards of quality for individual eggs, except size, shape, cleanliness, shell texture, and mobility of air cell.

Method of Determining Awards

Contestants' placings shall be scored on a basis of 100 for agreement with the official placing and a deduction of 15 points for inversion of any two birds. All pairs shall be considered to be "normal" unless designated as "close" or "very close" in the official placings. Close pairs shall be subject to a deduction of 10 points, and very close pairs 5 points, provided they are simply inverted and are not separated by another bird. In the latter case the regular 15-point cut shall apply.

The exhibition rings shall be placed by a committee of three coaches, whose decision shall be final.

The production rings shall be placed in the order of total egg production for the entire first laying year. Each rings shall have been selected to conform with the following specifications, in so far as possible:

- a) The four birds shall be hatched at about the same time, preferably within a range of two weeks.
- b) All four birds shall have started to lay within a range of one month.
- c) Each pair shall differ by a minimum of 20 eggs, and preferably 25 eggs, in total egg production.
- d) In the selection of hens, no attempt is to be made to choose "placeable" rings. Official placings are to be in the order of actual egg records, without regard to the appearance or handling quality of the birds, so long as all are in good physical condition.

The rings of market poultry shall be placed by the judging committee already mentioned, any close pairs to be indicated by the committee in accordance with their findings.

The market eggs shall be selected by a competent egg grader, and classified according to the U. S. standards of quality for individual eggs. The eggs in each lot shall be numbered from 1 to 50, and each contestant shall indicate the grade in which he believes each egg should fall. Five points shall be deducted for each egg placed one grade above or below its correct classification, and ten points for each egg placed two grades above or below, as well as for trades classed as inedibles or inedible eggs classed as trades.

The grades made by each contestant on market poultry and on market eggs shall be averaged to determine that contestant's score in the market products division of the contest. A perfect all-around individual score for the entire contest will, therefore, be 1500, and a perfect all-around team score will be 4500.

Tie scores shall be broken by the following considerations, in the order given:

- a) The largest number of 100 scores.
- b) The fewest scores of 55 or below.
- c) The largest number of top birds correctly placed.
- d) The largest number of bottom birds correctly placed.

Eligibility of Contestants

Each team shall consist of three students and one alternate. Membership on a team is open to any student regularly enrolled in the four-year agricultural course, who has been in attendance at the college he represents for at least one full school year, and who at the time of the competition is regularly enrolled in the college where he has completed one year's work, and whose work in his classes is of a passing grade. No student is eligible to compete in more

The production rings shall be placed in the order of total egg production for the entire first laying year. Each ring shall have been selected to conform with the following specifications, in so far as possible:

- a) The four birds shall be hatched at about the same time, preferably within a range of two weeks.
- b) All four birds shall have started to lay within a range of one month.
- c) Each pair shall differ by a minimum of 20 eggs, and preferably 25 eggs, in total egg production.
- d) In the selection of hens, no attempt is to be made to choose "placeable" rings. Official placings are to be in the order of actual egg records, without regard to the appearance or handling quality of the birds, so long as all are in good physical condition.

The rings of market poultry shall be placed by the judging committee already mentioned, any close pairs to be indicated by the committee in accordance with their findings.

The market eggs shall be selected by a competent egg grader, and classified according to the U. S. standards of quality for individual eggs. The eggs in each lot shall be numbered from 1 to 50, and each contestant shall indicate the grade in which he believes each egg should fall. Five points shall be deducted for each egg placed one grade above or below its correct classification, and ten points for each egg placed two grades above or below, as well as for grades classed as inedibles or inedible eggs classed as grades.

The grades made by each contestant on market poultry and on market eggs shall be averaged to determine that contestant's score in the market products division of the contest. A perfect all-around individual score for the entire contest will, therefore, be 1500, and a perfect all-around team score will be 4500.

The scores shall be broken by the following considerations, in the order given:

- a) The largest number of 100 scores.
- b) The fewest scores of 25 or below.
- c) The largest number of top birds correctly placed.
- d) The largest number of bottom birds correctly placed.

Eligibility of Contestants

Each team shall consist of three students and one alternate. Membership on a team is open to any student regularly enrolled in the four-year agricultural course, who has been in attendance at the college he represents for at least one full school year, and who at the time of the competition is regularly enrolled in the college where he has completed one year's work, and whose work in his classes is of a passing grade. No student is eligible to compete in more

than one school year. The instructor or coach in charge of each team shall be responsible for the eligibility and credentials of that team.

Contest Procedure

The contest will commence at 8:00 a.m. on the date chosen. Contestants will be allowed 12 minutes for completing the judging of each ring, and one hour for judging the eggs. A warning will be given two minutes before the end of each 12-minute period.

Each contestant shall be required to write his placings on a card which will be provided. He shall also indicate on the card his name, his team, and the class of birds being judged. The card shall be handed to the monitor at the end of the 12-minute period as the student shifts to the next ring.

After the contest has started, no student judge shall be permitted to communicate with any person except the monitor in charge of his group.

As placing cards are turned in by contestants at the close of each 12-minute period, they shall be delivered to the grading committee for scoring and tabulating. No persons other than the members of the grading committee and the manager of the contest shall have access to any of the scores or placings until they have been prepared for public announcement.

Committees

The manager of the contest shall appoint the following committees of coaches or other college representatives, whose duties shall be as indicated:

- 1) A judging committee of three members who shall make the official placings of the exhibition and market poultry rings. The membership of this committee shall rotate from year to year, the general plan being for one member to be replaced each year, and for each new member to serve for a three-year term.
- 2) A committee of monitors who shall have supervision of the contestants during the contest period. It shall be their duty to see that no communication takes place between contestants or between contestants and outside persons, except in the presence of a monitor or the contest manager, and then only for unusual reasons. The chairman of this committee shall designate one member to act as time-keeper. He shall also arrange for delivery of the placing cards to the grading committee as they are turned in from time to time during the contest.
- 3) An egg committee of two members, who shall prepare the several lots of eggs and the candling equipment for use by the contestants.
- 4) A grading committee of three members who shall score each placing card, and tabulate the individual and team scores by divisions and for the contest as a whole. This committee shall consider its proceedings as "closed" to all persons except the contest manager until such time as the results are ready for public announcement.

than one school year. The instructor or coach in charge of each team shall be responsible for the eligibility and credentials of that team.

Contest Procedure

The contest will commence at 8:00 a.m. on the date chosen. Contestants will be allowed 15 minutes for completing the judging of each ring, and one hour for judging the eggs. A warning will be given two minutes before the end of each 15-minute period.

Each contestant shall be required to write his placings on a card which will be provided. He shall also indicate on the card his name, his team, and the class of birds being judged. The card shall be handed to the monitor at the end of the 15-minute period as the student shifts to the next ring.

After the contest has started, no student judge shall be permitted to communicate with any person except the monitor in charge of his group.

As placing cards are turned in by contestants at the close of each 15-minute period, they shall be delivered to the grading committee for scoring and tabulating. No persons other than the members of the grading committee and the manager of the contest shall have access to any of the scores or placings until they have been prepared for public announcement.

Committees

The manager of the contest shall appoint the following committees of coaches or other college representatives, whose duties shall be as indicated:

- (1) A judging committee of three members who shall make the official placings of the exhibition and market poultry rings. The membership of this committee shall rotate from year to year, the general plan being for one member to be replaced each year, and for each new member to serve for a three-year term.
- (2) A committee of monitors who shall have supervision of the contestants during the contest period. It shall be their duty to see that no communication takes place between contestants or between contestants and outside persons, except in the presence of a monitor or the contest manager, and then only for unusual reasons. The chairman of this committee shall designate one member to act as time-keeper. He shall also arrange for delivery of the placing cards to the grading committee as they are turned in from time to time during the contest.
- (3) An egg committee of two members, who shall prepare the several lots of eggs and the candling equipment for use by the contestants.
- (4) A grading committee of three members who shall score each placing card, and tabulate the individual and team scores by divisions and for the contest as a whole. This committee shall consider its proceedings as "closed" to all persons except the contest manager until such time as the results are ready for public announcement.

- 5) A committee on arrangements consisting of the contest manager and as many others as may seem necessary or desirable, to make all arrangements for the contest, including the exact place, necessary tables and coops, rings of poultry, market eggs, prizes, headquarters hotel for the teams, the market inspection trip on the day preceding the contest, and the banquet following the contest.

Amendments

These rules may be amended by a two-thirds vote of the coaches present at a regular meeting which shall be held annually following the banquet held at the close of the contest. They may also be amended by a two-thirds vote by mail of the institutions to be represented in any contest, provided such vote is completed in time to be announced to all competing institutions at least thirty days prior to the contest.

17th ANNUAL INTERCOLLEGIATE POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST

Chicago, Illinois - November 28, 1936

Institution

University of Minnesota
Texas A & M College
University of Missouri
Purdue University
Iowa State College
Oklahoma A & M College

Coach

Professor T. H. Canfield
Professor W. F. Munnerlyn
Professor E. M. Funk
Professor E. E. Schnetzler
Professor T. T. Milby
Professor Robert Penquite

University of Minnesota Winning Team

Front row: Arne Nordskog; Stanley Gregor; Wallace Jerome
Back row: T. H. Canfield, Coach; Clarence Hemming



Seventeenth Midwest Intercollegiate

Poultry Judging Contest

1936

Packet contains:

1. Minutes of meeting of coaches, November 28, 1936, Dr. L. E. Card, University of Illinois, Manager of Contest, presiding.
2. Report from Professor W. F. Munnerlyn, Texas A & M College, on the analysis of the egg grading scores with respect to the effect (1) of using different groups of eggs, and (2) of grading the eggs at different hours of the day.

Make-up of Contest:

Exhibition Judging - ~~Five~~ four-bird rings

White Wyandotte Pullets
Barred Plymouth Rock Pullets
Rhode Island Red Pullets
Rhode Island Red Cockerels
White Leghorn Cockerels (not available)

Production Judging - Five four-bird rings

White Plymouth Rock Yearling Hens
Barred Plymouth Rock Yearling Hens
White Leghorn Yearling Hens
Rhode Island Red Yearling Hens
White Leghorn Yearling Hens

Market Products:

Market Poultry - Five four-bird rings

Live Roasters
Live Fowl
Heavy Dressed Fowl
Light Dressed Fowl
Dressed Roasters

Market Eggs - Fifty eggs to be graded according to
U.S. Standards of Quality for Individual Eggs.

Each division of the contest given equal weight in final scoring of individuals and teams.

FINAL PLACINGS

SEVENTEENTH ANNUAL INTERCOLLEGIATE POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST

Chicago, November 28, 1936

1. University of Minnesota, St. Paul, Minnesota (Wallace Jerome, Arne Nordskog, Stanley Gregor) (T. H. Canfield, Coach)	3300
2. Texas A. & M. College, College Station, Texas (W. B. Griffith, R. L. McClung, Gilbert Nagel) (W. F. Munnerlyn, Coach)	3260
3. University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri (Donald Tucker, Allen Purdy, Harold Wright) (E. M. Funk, Coach)	3252.5
4. Purdue University, Lafayette, Indiana (M. V. Flock, A. Cantwell, E. W. Anderson) (E. E. Schnetzler, Coach)	3212.5
5. Iowa State College, Ames, Iowa (J. K. Lungren, Gordon Hunting, R. O. White) (T. T. Milby, Coach)	3035
6. Oklahoma A. & M. College, Stillwater, Oklahoma (Chas. Widaman, Paul Boyett, Luther England) (R. Penquite, Coach)	2962.5

TEN HIGH INDIVIDUALS

1. R. L. McClung, Texas	1145	6. Allen Purdy, Missouri	1097.5
2. A. Cantwell, Purdue	1130	7. Gordon Hunting, Iowa	1090
3. Harold Wright, Missouri	1120	8. E. W. Anderson, Purdue	1072.5
4. Stanley Gregor, Minn.	1115	9. Wallace Jerome, Minn.	1072.5
5. Arne Nordskog, Minn.	1112.5	10. W. B. Griffith, Texas	1060

TEAM PLACINGS AND SCORES IN THE THREE DIVISIONS

<u>Exhibition</u>		<u>Production</u>		<u>Market Products</u>	
1. Minnesota	880	Missouri	1255	Purdue	1202.5
2. Texas A. & M.	835	Iowa State	1250	Missouri	1202.5
3. Missouri	795	Texas A. & M.	1235	Texas A. & M.	1190
4. Purdue	785	Minnesota	1235	Minnesota	1185
5. Oklahoma	755	Purdue	1225	Iowa State	1140
6. Iowa State	645	Oklahoma	1165	Oklahoma	1042.5

WINNING INDIVIDUALS

Exhibition Judging

1. Arne Nordskog, Minnesota	325
2. R. L. McClung, Texas	320
3. A. Cantwell, Purdue	310

Production Judging

1. Gordon Hunting, Iowa	450
2. Allen Purdy, Missouri	440
3. Chas. Widaman, Oklahoma	425

Judging Market Products

1. R. L. McClung, Texas	420
2. Allen Purdy, Missouri	417.5
3. A. Cantwell, Purdue	415

PRODUCTION

MARKET PRODUCTS

Name of individ.	W.P.Rock	Missouri W.Leghorn	R.I.Red	Purdue W.Leghorn	B.P.Rock	Live roasters	Live fowl	Heavy fowl (dr.)	Light fowl (dr.)	Dressed roasters	Eggs	Total + 2
Lungren	95	70	100	85	70	55	85	100	100	40	360	370
Hunting	95	85	100	85	85	100	70	100	100	55	355	390
White	70	70	100	85	55	40	85	100	100	70	365	380
Flock	100	70	70	100	55	85	70	100	100	40	355	375
Cantwell	95	85	70	85	70	70	85	100	100	55	420	415
Anderson	100	85	70	100	70	70	85	100	100	85	385	412.5
Tucker	65	85	100	85	70	85	85	100	100	40	360	385
Purdy	85	85	100	100	70	85	85	100	100	70	395	417.5
Wright	70	85	100	85	70	85	85	85	100	70	375	400
Widaman	70	70	100	100	85	55	85	100	85	40	395	380
Boyett	65	70	100	70	40	70	85	100	85	0	285	312.5
England	70	85	100	85	55	85	70	100	100	85	260	350
Griffith	70	100	85	85	70	55	70	100	100	55	360	370
McClung	65	85	100	85	70	85	70	100	100	85	400	420
Nagel	95	70	100	85	70	85	70	100	100	55	390	400
Jerome	95	85	70	100	55	70	85	100	100	70	380	402.5
Nordskog	95	85	70	85	85	70	70	100	100	40	355	367.5
Gregor	100	70	85	85	70	70	85	100	100	70	405	415

COMBINED SCORES OF THREE DIVISIONS

EXHIBITION

	Name of contestant	Exhibition		Production		Mkt. Prod.		Grand		Final	W. Leg. ckls.	W.Wyan. pullets	B.P.R. pullets	R.I.Red pullets	R.I.Red ckls.
		Total	Pl.	Total	Pl.	Total	Pl.	Total	Pl.						
	Lungren, J. Keith	200		420	6	370		990				65	25	55	55
	Hunting, Gordon	250	10	450	1	390	9	1090	7			40	40	85	85
	White, Robert O.	<u>195</u>		<u>380</u>		<u>380</u>		<u>955</u>				70	0	70	55
	Team	645	6	1250	2	1140	5	3035	5						
	Flock, M. V.	240		395		375		1010				100	0	85	55
	Cantwell, A.	310	3	405		415	3	1130	2			100	70	85	55
	Anderson, E. W.	<u>235</u>		<u>425</u>	4	<u>412.5</u>	5	<u>1072.5</u>	8			85	40	85	25
	Team	785	4	1225	5	1202.5	1	3212.5	4						
	Tucker, Donald	245		405		385	10	1035				80	40	70	55
RI	Purdy, Allen	240		440	2	417.5	2	1097.5	6			85	0	85	70
	Wright, Harold	<u>310</u>	4	<u>410</u>	9	<u>400</u>	8	<u>1120</u>	3			70	85	70	85
	Team	795	3	1255	1	1202.5	2	3252.5	3						
	Widaman, Chas.	240		425	3	380		1045				95	70	25	50
MA	Boyett, Paul	250	9	345		312.5		907.5				55	70	55	70
	England, Luther	<u>265</u>	8	<u>395</u>		<u>350</u>		<u>1010</u>				85	25	70	85
	Team	755	5	1165	6	1042.5	6	2962.5	6						
	Griffith, W. B.	280	6	410	8	370		1060	10			100	25	85	70
	McClung, R. L.	320	2	405		420	1	1145	1			70	85	85	80
	Nagel, Gilbert	<u>235</u>		<u>420</u>	5	<u>400</u>	7	<u>1055</u>				70	25	85	55
	Team	835	2	1235	3	1190	3	3260	2						
	Jerome, Wallace	265	7	405		402.5	6	1072.5	9			70	70	55	70
OTA	Nordskog, Arne	325	1	420	7	367.5		1112.5	5			70	70	100	85
	Gregor, Stanley	<u>290</u>	5	<u>410</u>	9	<u>415</u>	4	<u>1115</u>	4			95	40	70	85
	Team	880	1	1235	4	1185	4	3300	1						

18th ANNUAL INTERCOLLEGIATE POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST

Chicago, Illinois - November 27, 1937

Institution

Purdue University
University of Missouri
University of Nebraska
University of Minnesota
Iowa State College
Oklahoma A & M College
Kansas State College
Texas A & M College
South Dakota State College
University of Arizona

Coach

Professor E. E. Schnetzler
Professor E. M. Funk
Professor H. E. Alder
Professor T. H. Canfield
Professor H. L. Wilcke
Professor Robert Penquite
Professor T. B. Avery
Professor W. F. Munnerlyn
Professor W.O. Wilson
Professor H. Embleton

Purdue University Winning Team

J. C. Dibble, S. B. Swann, R. B. Davis, E. D. Kendall and
E. E. Schnetzler, Coach



Eighteenth Midwest Intercollegiate

Poultry Judging Contest

1937

Packet contains:

1. Instructions to coaches from Contest Manager, Dr. L. E. Card, University of Illinois.
2. Minutes of the coaches meeting Nov. 27, 1937.
3. Score card for placing dressed poultry.
4. Rules and Regulations.
5. List of commercial companies making financial contributions to the contest.

Make-up of contest:

Exhibition Judging - Five four-bird rings

White Wyandotte Pullets
Barred Plymouth Rock Pullets
Rhode Island Red Pullets
Rhode Island Red Cockerels
White Leghorn Cockerels

Production Judging - Five four-bird rings

White Plymouth Rock Yearling Hens
Barred Plymouth Rock Yearling Hens
White Leghorn Yearling Hens
Rhode Island Red Yearling Hens
White Leghorn Yearling Hens

Market Products:

Market Poultry - Five four-bird rings

Live Roasters
Live Fowl
Heavy Dressed Fowl
Light Dressed Fowl
Dressed Roasters

Market Eggs - Fifty eggs to be graded according to
U.S. Standards of Quality for Individual Eggs

Each division of the contest given equal weight in final
placing of individuals and teams.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS

November 15, 1937

To the Coaches of Poultry Judging Teams:

Changes have been made from time to time in our contest procedure and in the rules and regulations under which we have operated, but not since 1923 have we had the entire plan set up for distribution.

Because of the questions which some of you have asked about certain procedures, it seems to me that we might well give some attention to the plan as a whole, with the purpose of defining all the regulations as clearly as possible.

I am therefore sending to each of you a copy of the material which was distributed in mimeographed form in 1923, together with a tentative draft of a revision which is intended to bring the regulations up to date and to make them conform with our present practices.

If you approve the idea of attempting to put our present rules and regulations in writing, will you examine this tentative draft as critically as possible and make any suggestions which you think will improve it. Probably we can find time to consider this at the coaches' meeting in Chicago, and it will be helpful if any suggestions for major changes or additions are submitted in written form.

Cordially yours,

L. E. Card
Contest Manager

LECd
enc.

Intercollegiate Poultry Judging Coaches Meeting
Hotel Crillon, Chicago, Illinois, Nov. 27, 1937

Dr. Card called the meeting to order. E. M. Funk was elected secretary. Dr. Card raised the question of the place for headquarters. Wilcke moved and Canfield seconded a motion to change the headquarters to a downtown hotel. Motion carried. Dr. Card raised the question of holding the Poultry Judging Contest in conjunction with the Midwest Poultry Exposition. The coaches voiced opinions favoring the holding of the contest near November 10 (4), near December 10 (5), with Arizona favoring the Thanksgiving holidays.

Dr. Kent offered the facilities of the Quaker Oats Company in Chicago for holding the contest if it is not held in connection with the Midwest Poultry Exposition.

Munnerlyn moved and Schnetzler seconded a motion that the new rules and regulations specify two Leghorn production classes. Motion carried.

Motion by Wilcke seconded by Penquite that the U. S. Egg standards relative to blood and meat spots be used. Carried.

Motion by Penquite and seconded by Canfield that the new regulations as prepared by Dr. Card be referred to a committee and the committee to submit it to the coaches for adoption. Carried. The committee appointed was:

Schnetzler, Chairman
Funk
Wilson

Dr. Card suggested that the donors be sent letters of appreciation by members of the team. Motion by Munnerlyn that Dr. Card be thanked for his splendid management of the contest and that the meeting be adjourned. Motion unanimously adopted.

E. M. Funk, Secy.

Score Card for Dressed Poultry

Appearance - 35 points

Properly killed, bled, plucked, shaped and dressed	10
Freedom from bruises	10
Absence of pin-feathers	5
Straight, long breast bone	10

Quality - 30 points

Fineness of skin and scale on legs	5
Texture and grain of meat	5
Age and condition of carcass	5
Size and pliability of spur	5
Well fattened	10

Fleshing - 35 points

Covering of breast bone	10
Depth and width of breast	10
Covering of back	5
Covering of pubic bones	5
Absence of pathiness, especially in fowl	5

Total	100
-----------------	-----

POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST
Rules and Regulations

Name

Midwest Intercollegiate Poultry Judging Contest.

Place and Date

Chicago, Illinois, on the Saturday after Thanksgiving, unless decided otherwise by a two-thirds vote of the institutions directly concerned.

Organization of the Contest

There shall be three divisions of the contest, and they shall have equal weight in determining all-around scores for individual and team placings. These divisions shall consist of the following:

- a) Exhibition poultry. Five rings of birds to be judged on the basis of exhibition quality in accordance with the Standard of Perfection. If suitable birds can be obtained, these rings shall consist of White Leghorn cockerels, Light Barred Plymouth Rock pullets, Rhode Island Red cockerels, Rhode Island Red pullets, and White Wyandotte pullets.
- b) Production poultry. Five rings of yearling hens to be judged for egg production. If suitable birds can be obtained, there shall be two rings of White Leghorns, and the other three rings, one each of either Rhode Island Reds, White Wyandottes, White Plymouth Rocks, or Barred Plymouth Rocks.
- c) Market poultry and eggs. Two rings of live poultry and three rings of dressed poultry to be judged on the basis of market quality. The live poultry shall consist of heavy fowl and heavy roasters. The rings of dressed poultry shall be selected from heavy fowl, light fowl, heavy roasters, and light roasters. There shall be 50 eggs to be placed individually by candling. These eggs shall be divided into four classes, "U.S. Extras", "U.S. Standards", "U.S. Trades", and "Inedibles", according to the United States Standards of quality for individual eggs, except that size, shape, cleanliness, shell texture, and mobility of air cell, shall not be considered.

Method of Determining Awards

Contestants' placing shall be scored on a basis of 100 for agreement with the official placing and a deduction of 15 points for inversion of any two birds. All pairs shall be considered to be "normal" unless designated as "close" or "very close" in the official placings. Close pairs shall be subject to a deduction of 10 points, and very close pairs 5 points, provided they are simply inverted and are not separated by another bird. In the latter case the regular 15-point cut shall apply.

The exhibition rings shall be placed by a committee of three coaches, whose decision shall be final.

The production rings shall be placed in the order of total egg production, including all eggs from the time of first egg until shipped to contest. Each ring shall have been selected to conform with the following specifications, in so far as possible:

- a) The four birds shall be hatched at about the same time, preferably within a range of two weeks.
- b) All four birds shall have started to lay within a range of one month.
- c) All four birds shall have been given similar rations and housing conditions.
- d) Each pair shall differ by a minimum of 20 eggs, and preferably 25 eggs, in total egg production.
- e) In the selection of hens, no attempt is to be made to choose "placeable" rings. Official placings are to be in the order of actual egg records, without regard to the appearance or handling quality of the birds, so long as all are in good physical condition.

The rings of market poultry shall be placed by the judging committee already mentioned, any close pairs to be indicated by the committee in accordance with their findings.

The market eggs shall be selected by a competent egg grader, and classified according to the U. S. Standards of Quality for individual eggs. The eggs in each lot shall be numbered from 1 to 50, and each contestant shall indicate the grade in which he believes each egg should fall. Five points shall be deducted for each egg placed one grade above or below its correct classification, and ten points for each egg placed two grades above or below, as well as for trades classed as in-edibles or inedible eggs classed as trades.

The grades made by each contestant on market poultry and on market eggs shall be averaged to determine that contestant's score in the market products division of the contest. A perfect all-around individual score for the entire contest will, therefore, be 1500, and a perfect all-around team score will be 4500.

Tie scores shall be broken by the following considerations, in the order given:

- a) The largest number of 100 scores.
- b) The fewest scores of 55 or below.
- c) The largest number of top birds correctly placed.
- d) The largest number of bottom birds correctly placed.

Eligibility of Contestants

Each team shall consist of three students and one alternate. Membership on a team is open to any student regularly enrolled in the four-year agricultural course, who has been in attendance at the college he represents for at least one full school year, and who at the time of the competition is regularly enrolled in the college where he has completed one year's work, and whose work in his classes is of a passing grade. No student is eligible to compete more than one school year. The instructor or coach in charge of each team shall be responsible for the eligibility and credentials of that team.

Contest Procedure

The contest will commence at 8:00 a.m. on the date chosen. Contestants will be allowed 12 minutes for completing the judging of each ring, and one hour for judging the eggs. A warning will be given two minutes before the end of each 12-minute period.

Each contestant shall be required to write his placings on a card which will be provided. He shall also indicate on the card his name, his team, and the class of birds being judged. The card shall be handed to the monitor at the end of the 12-minute period as the student shifts to the next ring.

After the contest has started, no student judge shall be permitted to communicate with any person except the monitor in charge of his group.

As placing cards are turned in by contestants at the close of each 12-minute period, they shall be delivered to the grading committee for scoring and tabulating. No persons other than the members of the grading committee and the manager of the contest shall have access to any of the scores or placings until they have been prepared for public announcement.

Committees

The manager of the contest shall appoint the following committee of coaches or other college representatives, whose duties shall be as indicated:

- 1) A judging committee of three members who shall make the official placings of the exhibition and market poultry rings. The membership of this committee shall rotate from year to year, the general plan being for one member to be replaced each year, and for each new member to serve for a three-year term.
- 2) A committee of monitors who shall have supervision of the contestants during the contest period. It shall be their duty to see that no communication takes place between contestants or between contestants and outside persons, except in the presence of a monitor or the contest manager, and then only for unusual reasons. The chairman of this committee shall designate one member to act as time-keeper. He shall also arrange for delivery of the placing cards to the grading committee as they are turned in from time to time during the contest.
- 3) An egg committee of two members, who shall prepare the several lots of eggs and the candling equipment for use by the contestants.

- 4) A grading committee of three members who shall score each placing card, and tabulate the individual and team scores by divisions and for the contest as a whole. This committee shall consider its proceedings as "closed" to all persons except the contest manager until such time as the results are ready for public announcement.
- 5) A committee on arrangements consisting of the contest manager and as many others as may seem necessary or desirable to make all arrangements for the contest, including the exact place, necessary tables and coops, rings of poultry, market eggs, prizes, headquarters hotel for the teams, the market inspection trip on the day preceding the contest, and the banquet following the contest.

Amendments

These rules may be amended by a two-thirds vote of the coaches present at a regular meeting which shall be held annually following the banquet held at the close of the contest. They may also be amended by a two-thirds vote by mail of the institutions to be represented in any contest, provided such vote is completed in time to be announced to all competing institutions at least thirty days prior to the contest.

December 6, 1937

To Coaches of Poultry Judging Teams:

Enclosed for your information is a mimeographed summary of the recent judging contest as it has been sent to donors of prizes.

I understand that Professor Funk has sent each of you a copy of the minutes of our meeting Saturday night.

One of my responsibilities was to send a list of those who contributed to prize awards. They are as follows:

1. Institute of American Poultry Industries, 110 North Franklin Street, Chicago, Illinois. F. L. Stockard, President; Paul Mandeville, Vice-president. (Circulating trophy)
2. Purina Mills, St. Louis, Missouri. Earl A. Sindecuse, Educational Service Division. (Permanent trophy for winning team)
3. Keyes Davis Company, Battle Creek, Michigan. W. D. Barker, Treasurer. (Trophy for best team in exhibition)
4. Poultry Tribune, Mt. Morris, Illinois. J. W. Watt, Publisher; O. A. Hanke, Editor. (Trophy for best team in production)
5. Cudahy Packing Company, 221 North LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois. J. W. McElligott, Vice-President; D. R. Long, Produce Department. (Trophy for best team in market products)
6. G. E. Conkey Company, Cleveland, Ohio. R. O. Bate, Advertising Manager. (Medals for exhibition judging)
7. American Poultry Journal, 536 South Clark Street, Chicago, Illinois. R. R. Hannas, Editor. (Medals for production judging)
8. Hales and Hunter Company, 166 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois. L. G. Neel, Manager, Poultry Mash Department. (Medals for market products)
9. Allied Mills, Inc., Board of Trade Building, Chicago, Illinois. A. G. Philips, Manager of Sales. (Cash prizes)

Dr. O. B. Kent and Mr. A. A. Dennerlein of the Quaker Oats Company made arrangements for the banquet and provided the paper hats, balloons, and squawkers. They also arranged for the piano player.

As you all know, Mr. Paul Mandeville made the arrangements for our tour on Friday, November 26.

Letters of appreciation should go to each of the foregoing, letting them know that you and your boys do appreciate their efforts and their support.

If you have criticisms of the way any of these things were handled, I suggest that they be sent to me so that we can endeavor to change the plans for next year so that we may come as nearly as possible to suiting everyone who will be in attendance.

Very truly yours,

(signed) L. E. Card
Professor of Poultry Husbandry

L. E. Card
enc
s

FINAL PLACINGS

EIGHTEENTH ANNUAL INTERCOLLEGIATE POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST

Chicago, November 27, 1937

1. Purdue University, Lafayette, Indiana (S. B. Swann, R. B. Davis, J. C. Dibble) (E. E. Schnetzler, Coach)	3435
2. University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri (Dale Knight, Paul Hagans, Lester Williams) (E. M. Funk, Coach)	3420
3. University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska (Glenn Thacker, R. A. Cimfel, Marvin Vaughn) (H. E. Alder, Coach)	3395
4. University of Minnesota, St. Paul, Minnesota (Goodwin Sonstegard, Chester Ahlin, Roy Carlson) (T. H. Canfield, Coach)	3372.5
5. Iowa State College, Ames, Iowa (P. A. Spence, Carl Baker, George Thompson) (H. L. Wilcke, Coach)	3340
6. Oklahoma A. & M. College, Stillwater, Oklahoma (J. C. Gilbreath, Steen Lemon, Carl Morehart) (R. Penquite, Coach)	3237.5
7. Kansas State College, Manhattan, Kansas (James Mugglestone, I. A. Miller, Clyde Mueller) (T. B. Avery, Coach)	3157.5
8. Texas A. & M. College, College Station, Texas (C. W. Carter, O. B. Schwertner, E. R. Carter) (W. F. Munnerlyn, Coach)	3152.5
9. South Dakota State College, Brookings, South Dakota (Ralph Mernaugh, Raphael Brandreit, James Hughes) (W. O. Wilson, Coach)	3107.5
10. University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona (Delbert Pierce, Joe Ison, Emil Rovey) (H. Embleton, Coach)	3087.5

TEN HIGH INDIVIDUALS

1. Steen Lemon, Okla.	1195	6. G. Sonstegard, Minn.	1165
2. Marvin Vaughn, Nebr.	1192.5	7. Clyde Mueller, Kansas	1157.5
3. Carl Baker, Iowa	1175	8. Chester Ahlin, Minn.	1152.5
4. S. B. Swann, Purdue	1172.5	9. R. B. Davis, Purdue	1150
5. Dale Knight, Missouri	1170	10. Paul Hagans, Missouri	1145

TEAM PLACINGS AND SCORES IN THE THREE DIVISIONS

<u>Exhibition</u>		<u>Production</u>		<u>Market Products</u>	
1.	Nebraska	1065	Nebraska	1260	Missouri 1230
2.	Oklahoma	1030	Purdue	1245	Minnesota 1227.5
3.	Purdue	980	Minnesota	1230	Purdue 1210
4.	Iowa	965	Missouri	1230	Texas 1167.5
5.	Missouri	960	Iowa	1210	Iowa 1165
6.	South Dakota	950	Arizona	1140	Kansas 1147.5
7.	Kansas	925	Kansas	1085	Oklahoma 1147.5
8.	Texas	915	Texas	1070	Arizona 1097.5
9.	Minnesota	915	South Dakota	1065	South Dakota 1092.5
10.	Arizona	850	Oklahoma	1060	Nebraska 1070

WINNING INDIVIDUALS

Exhibition

1.	Clyde Mueller, Kansas	395
2.	Marvin Vaughn, Nebraska	390
3.	Steen Lemon, Oklahoma	380

Production

1.	J. C. Dibble, Purdue	440
2.	R. A. Cimfel, Nebraska	440
3.	Dale Knight, Missouri	440

Market Products

1.	Lester Williams, Missouri	430
2.	R. B. Davis, Purdue	425
3.	Goodwin Sonstegard, Minnesota	425

Name Exhibitor	EXHIBITION					PRODUCTION					MARKET PRODUCTS						Total + 2
	W.Wyan pullets	W.Leg. ckls.	R.I.Red pullets	B.P.R. pullets	R.I.Red ckls.	R.I.Red	B.P.R.	W.Wyan.	W.Leg.	W.Leg.	Live fowl	Live roasters	Dressed fowl	Light roasters	Heavy roasters	Eggs	
er, C.W.	80	100	65	70	40	100	85	70	85	70	85	55	85	25	85	410	372.5
ertner	70	10	70	85	40	85	85	85	70	70	55	70	55	55	100	410	372.5
er, E.R.	65	40	55	100	25	85	55	70	100	55	85	55	100	70	100	435	422.5
lestone	85	50	55	40	25	70	25	70	85	90	40	90	100	100	100	355	392.5
er	100	10	70	70	25	100	55	85	70	55	70	70	100	85	85	335	372.5
ler	100	85	85	85	40	100	70	70	70	70	70	70	85	85	100	355	382.5
ce	40	70	40	85	55	85	70	100	85	55	85	70	85	70	85	385	390.
er	80	40	55	100	70	100	100	100	70	50	55	55	100	100	85	425	410.
apson	70	65	85	70	40	70	85	100	85	55	55	60	100	55	70	390	365.
reath	85	35	100	100	40	70	70	70	100	35	75	70	100	55	85	355	370.
on	65	65	80	100	70	85	70	85	100	55	70	70	100	85	70	445	420.
hart	55	65	85	85	0	70	70	70	85	25	85	70	70	40	55	395	357.5
tegard	40	70	95	55	70	55	100	85	100	70	90	70	100	85	85	420	425.
in	70	70	70	70	70	85	85	85	85	55	55	85	100	85	70	420	407.5
son	40	70	55	70	0	85	85	85	100	70	40	55	100	85	85	425	395.
an	95	70	70	85	40	70	100	85	85	70	40	55	100	100	85	425	402.5
ls	85	85	50	70	40	100	70	85	70	70	55	90	100	85	85	435	425.
ble	40	55	85	70	40	85	100	100	85	70	55	55	100	70	85	400	382.5
ce	40	50	95	55	40	25	40	85	85	70	45	55	100	85	70	385	370.
h	40	55	80	55	55	85	100	85	100	55	85	70	100	85	55	340	367.5
ey	35	55	100	70	25	70	100	70	100	70	55	90	100	55	85	335	360.
ght	95	50	100	85	0	85	100	100	85	70	85	70	100	55	55	435	400.
ans	100	40	100	85	25	70	85	85	100	55	40	70	100	100	85	405	400.
iams	80	35	70	70	25	85	85	85	85	55	85	90	100	85	55	445	430.
ough	85	85	55	70	25	40	70	85	70	40	90	70	100	40	85	330	357.5
adriet	65	55	80	70	25	85	85	70	70	55	70	90	85	85	70	355	377.5
es	85	55	70	85	40	70	85	85	100	55	45	70	100	70	70	360	357.5
ker	85	70	80	85	25	70	70	85	100	70	75	40	100	70	85	315	342.5
el	95	55	55	85	40	85	100	100	85	70	55	70	100	70	85	320	350.
hn	85	100	95	85	25	85	85	100	85	70	100	40	85	85	85	360	377.5

COMBINED SCORES OF THREE DIVISIONS

Team	Name of contestant	Exhibition		Production		Mkt. Prod.		Grand total	Final pl.
		Total	Pl.	Total	Pl.	Total	Pl.		
TEXAS	Carter, C. W.	355	6	310		372.5		1037.5	
	Schwertner, O. B.	275		395		372.5		1042.5	
	Carter, E. R.	<u>285</u>		<u>365</u>		<u>422.5</u>	4	<u>1072.5</u>	
	Team	915	8	1070	8	1167.5	4	3152.5	8
KANSAS	Mugglestone, J.	255		340		392.5		987.5	
	Miller, I. A.	275		365		372.5		1012.5	
	Mueller, C.	<u>395</u>	1	<u>380</u>		<u>382.5</u>		<u>1157.5</u>	7
	Team	925	7	1085	7	1147.5	6	3157.5	7
IOWA	Spence, P. A.	290		395		390		1075	
	Baker, C.	345	9	420	7	410	6	1175	3
	Thompson, G.	<u>330</u>		<u>395</u>		<u>365</u>		<u>1090</u>	
	Team	965	4	1210	5	1165	5	3340	5
OKLAHOMA	Gilbreath, J. C.	360	4	345		370		1075	
	Lemon, S.	380	3	395		420	5	1195	1
	Morehart, C.	<u>290</u>		<u>320</u>		<u>357.5</u>		<u>967.5</u>	
	Team	1030	2	1060	10	1147.5	7	3237.5	6
MINNESOTA	Sonstegard, G.	330		410	8	425	3	1165	6
	Ahlin, C.	350	8	395		407.5	7	1152.5	8
	Carlson, R.	<u>235</u>		<u>425</u>	5	<u>395</u>		<u>1055</u>	
	Team	915	9	1230	3	1227.5	2	3372.5	4
PURDUE	Swann, S. B.	360	5	410	8	402.5	8	1172.5	4
	Davis, R. B.	330		395		425	2	1150	9
	Dibble, J. C.	<u>290</u>		<u>440</u>	1	<u>382.5</u>		<u>1112.5</u>	
	Team	980	3	1245	2	1210	3	3435	1
ARIZONA	Pierce, D.	280		305		370		955	
	Ison, J.	285		425	4	367.5		1077.5	
	Rovey, E.	<u>285</u>		<u>410</u>	8	<u>360</u>		<u>1055</u>	
	Team	850	10	1140	6	1097.5	8	3087.5	10
MISSOURI	Knight, D.	330		440	3	400	9	1170	5
	Hagans, P.	350	7	395		400	9	1145	10
	Williams, L.	<u>280</u>		<u>395</u>		<u>430</u>	1	<u>1105</u>	
	Team	960	5	1230	4	1230	1	3420	2
S. DAKOTA	Mernaugh, R.	320		305		357.5		982.5	
	Brandriet, R.	295		365		377.5		1037.5	
	Hughes, J.	<u>335</u>		<u>395</u>		<u>357.5</u>		<u>1087.5</u>	
	Team	950	6	1065	9	1092.5	9	3107.5	9
NEBRASKA	Thacker, G.	345	10	395		342.5		1082.5	
	Cimfel, R. A.	330		440	2	350		1120	
	Vaughn, M.	<u>390</u>	2	<u>425</u>	5	<u>377.5</u>		<u>1192.5</u>	2
	Team	1065	1	1260	1	1070	10	3395	3

19th ANNUAL INTERCOLLEGIATE POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST

Chicago, Illinois - November 26, 1938

Institution

Kansas State College
Oklahoma A & M College
Purdue University
Texas A & M College
University of Minnesota
University of Missouri
University of Nebraska
Iowa State College
South Dakota State College

Coach

Professor H. M. Scott
Professor Robert Penquite
Professor E. E. Schnetzler
Professor E. D. Parnell
Professor T. H. Canfield
Professor E. M. Funk
Professor H. E. Alder
Professor R. E. Phillips
Professor W. O. Wilson

Kansas State College Winning Team

Front row: A. W. Brant, alternate; K. R. Jameson; C. R. Robinson
Back row: H. M. Scott, coach; R. N. Schoffner



Nineteenth Midwest Intercollegiate

Poultry Judging Contest

1938

Packet contains:

1. Minutes of meeting of coaches November 26, 1938, Dr. L. E. Card, Manager of Contest, presiding.
2. Schedule of Market Tour.
3. Rules and Regulations for the operation of contest.

Make-up of Contest:

Exhibition Judging - Five four-bird rings

White Leghorn Cockerels
Rhode Island Red Cockerels
Rhode Island Red Pullets
White Wyandotte Pullets
Barred Plymouth Rock Pullets

Production Judging - Five four-bird rings

White Leghorn Yearling Hens
Barred Plymouth Rock Yearling Hens
Barred Plymouth Rock Yearling Hens
Rhode Island Red Yearling Hens
White Leghorn Yearling Hens

Market Products:

Market Poultry - Five four-bird rings

Live Fowl
Live Roasters
Light Dressed Fowl
Heavy Dressed Fowl
Heavy Dressed Roasters

Market Eggs - Fifty eggs graded according to U.S.
Standards of Quality for Individual
Eggs.

FINAL PLACINGS

NINETEENTH ANNUAL INTERCOLLEGIATE POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST

Chicago, November 26, 1938

- | | |
|---|--------|
| 1. Kansas State College, Manhattan, Kansas
(R. N. Shoffner, K. R. Jameson, C. R. Robinson)
(H. M. Scott, Coach) | 3705 |
| 2. Oklahoma A. & M. College, Stillwater, Oklahoma
(Carl Williams, Harry Hurry, Cecil Barnett)
(R. Penquite, Coach) | 3600 |
| 3. Purdue University, Lafayette, Indiana
(A. E. Buckthal, H. D. Gates, L. R. Ralston)
(E. E. Schnetzler, Coach) | 3500 |
| 4. Texas A. & M. College, College Station, Texas
(Louis Jurcak, Alexander Warren, Ted Martin)
(E. D. Parnell, Coach) | 3492.5 |
| 5. University of Minnesota, St. Paul, Minnesota
(Don Hotchkiss, Merlin Rost, Kent Jacobson)
(T. H. Canfield, Coach) | 3457.5 |
| 6. University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri
(Billy Kerr, James Hamilton, Watts Newell)
(E. M. Funk, Coach) | 3422.5 |
| 7. University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska
(Raymond Doyle, Lloyd Schmadeke, Dean Edeal)
(H. E. Alder, Coach) | 3342.5 |
| 8. Iowa State College, Ames, Iowa
(Lewis Nelson, George Wengert, Earl Ellis)
(R. E. Phillips, Coach) | 3310 |
| 9. South Dakota State College, Brookings, South Dakota
(Bill Bianchi, Vernon Noordsy, George Reed, Jr.)
(W. O. Wilson, Coach) | 3142.5 |

TEN HIGH INDIVIDUALS

- | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------|------------------------------|--------|
| 1. C. R. Robinson, Kansas | 1252.5 | 6. K. R. Jameson, Kansas | 1212.5 |
| 2. R. N. Shoffner, Kansas | 1240 | 7. Dean Edeal, Nebraska | 1200 |
| 3. Louis Jurcak, Texas | 1237.5 | 8. Cecil Barnett, Oklahoma | 1195 |
| 4. L. R. Ralston, Purdue | 1227.5 | 9. Don Hotchkiss, Minnesota | 1187.5 |
| 5. Carl Williams, Oklahoma | 1217.5 | 10. James Hamilton, Missouri | 1187.5 |
| | | Harry Hurry, Oklahoma | |

TEAM PLACINGS AND SCORES IN THE THREE DIVISIONS

<u>Exhibition</u>		<u>Production</u>		<u>Market Products</u>	
1.	Kansas	1380	Iowa	1185	Missouri 1227.5
2.	Oklahoma	1290	Purdue	1155	Kansas 1200
3.	Texas	1235	Oklahoma	1155	Minnesota 1162.5
4.	Purdue	1185	Texas	1155	Purdue 1160
5.	Minnesota	1170	Minnesota	1125	Oklahoma 1155
6.	Nebraska	1145	Kansas	1125	Texas 1102.5
7.	Missouri	1130	Nebraska	1110	Iowa 1090
8.	South Dakota	1125	Missouri	1065	Nebraska 1087.5
9.	Iowa	1035	South Dakota	980	South Dakota 1037.5

WINNING INDIVIDUALS

Exhibition

1.	C. R. Robinson, Kansas	470
2.	R. N. Shoffner, Kansas	455
3.	K. R. Jameson, Kansas	455

Production

1.	Louis Jurcak, Texas	425
2.	L. R. Ralston, Purdue	410
3.	Dean Edeal, Nebraska	410

Market Products

1.	Watts Newell, Missouri	417.5
2.	C. R. Robinson, Kansas	417.5
3.	Don Hotchkiss, Minnesota	412.5

		EXHIBITION					PRODUCTION					MARKET PRODUCTS						Total + 2
Team & Name of contestant		W. Leg. ckls.	R.I.Red pullets	R.I.Red ckls.	W.Wyan. pullets	B.P.R. pullets	W.Leg.	B.P.R.	R.I.Red	W.Leg.	B.P.R.	Heavy fowl	Heavy roasters	Light fowl	Live roasters	Live fowl	Eggs	
Kans.	Shoffner	100	100	100	100	55	70	70	70	85	85	85	70	85	70	85	415	405
	Jameson	85	100	85	85	100	85	100	40	70	85	70	70	85	85	55	390	377.5
	Robinson	100	100	100	70	100	55	70	70	85	85	85	70	85	100	85	410	417.5
Okla.	Williams	100	85	85	85	100	40	100	55	85	100	70	55	85	70	85	400	382.5
	Hurry	100	100	85	55	85	40	70	100	85	85	70	85	85	40	85	400	382.5
	Barnett	100	85	85	55	85	85	85	85	55	85	55	70	90	85	100	380	390
Purdue	Buckthal	25	85	85	70	85	40	55	100	100	85	70	100	85	50	70	405	390
	Gates	55	100	85	85	85	70	55	100	55	85	70	85	55	85	70	390	377.5
	Ralston	85	100	100	70	70	70	55	100	100	85	85	85	85	85	85	360	392.5
Texas	Jurcak	85	85	85	100	70	70	55	100	100	100	70	55	85	65	100	400	387.5
	Warren	85	75	100	70	100	55	55	85	85	85	70	100	85	50	85	325	357.5
	Martin	85	85	100	40	70	55	70	85	85	70	85	85	85	50	85	315	357.5
Minn.	Hotchkiss	85	55	100	100	70	55	55	100	70	85	70	70	90	100	70	425	412.5
	Rost	70	85	100	70	70	70	55	100	85	85	85	55	85	55	70	375	362.5
	Jacobson	85	85	85	70	40	40	55	85	100	85	100	85	70	65	55	400	387.5
Mo.	Kerr	100	85	55	55	70	55	40	85	85	70	100	100	70	70	70	390	400
	Hamilton	100	90	85	55	40	55	55	100	55	100	70	85	85	65	85	430	410
	Newell	70	85	100	70	70	70	85	85	40	85	100	100	100	50	85	400	417.5
Neb.	Doyle	85	75	100	55	40	55	55	85	55	85	85	40	70	95	40	410	370
	Schmadeke	70	100	55	70	85	40	85	85	55	100	55	70	75	40	70	365	337.5
	Edeal	100	100	70	55	85	55	70	100	85	100	85	55	85	100	55	380	380
Iowa	Nelson	85	70	70	70	70	85	85	70	55	100	70	100	75	70	85	305	352.5
	Wingert	40	100	85	70	70	55	100	85	85	85	70	55	85	70	70	390	370
	Ellis	55	40	85	55	70	40	100	70	85	85	70	70	70	95	85	345	367.5
S.Dak.	Bianchi	100	70	70	55	70	40	25	70	70	0	85	70	70	70	70	310	337.5
	Nordsy	85	55	85	70	70	55	55	85	100	100	70	70	55	95	85	350	362.5
	Reed	85	85	85	55	85	55	85	85	85	70	85	70	85	55	40	340	337.5

COMBINED SCORES OF THREE DIVISIONS

Team	Name of contestant	Exhibition Total	Pl.	Production Total	Pl.	Mkt. Prod. Total	Pl.	Grand total	Final pl.
KANSAS	Shoffner, R. N.	455	2	380	9	405	5	1240	2
	Jameson, K. R.	455	3	380	9	377.5		1212.5	6
	Robinson, C. R.	470	1	365		417.5	2	1252.5	1
	Team	1380	1	1125	6	1200	2	3705	1
OKLAHOMA	Williams, Carl	455	4	380	9	382.5		1217.5	5
	Hurry, Harry	425	6	380	9	382.5		1187.5	10
	Barnett, Cecil	410	9	395	5	390	8	1195	8
	Team	1290	2	1155	3	1155	5	3600	2
PURDUE	Buckthal, A. E.	350		380	9	390	8	1120	
	Gates, H. D.	410	9	365		377.5		1152.5	
	Ralston, L. R.	425	6	410	2	392.5	7	1227.5	4
	Team	1185	4	1155	2	1160	4	3500	3
TEXAS	Jurcak, Louis	425	6	425	1	387.5	10	1237.5	3
	Warren, A. G.	430	5	365		357.5		1152.5	
	Martin, Ted	380		365		357.5		1102.5	
	Team	1235	3	1155	4	1102.5	6	3492.5	4
MINNESOTA	Hotchkiss, Don	410	9	365		412.5	3	1187.5	9
	Rost, Merlin	395		395	5	362.5		1152.5	
	Jacobson, Kent	365		365		387.5	10	1117.5	
	Team	1170	5	1125	5	1162.5	3	3457.5	5
MISSOURI	Kerr, Billy	365		335		400	6	1100	
	Hamilton, James	370		365		410	4	1145	
	Newell, Watts	395		365		417.5	1	1177.5	
	Team	1130	7	1065	8	1227.5	1	3422.5	6
NEBRASKA	Doyle, Raymond	355		335		370		1060	
	Schmadeke, Lloyd	380		365		337.5		1082.5	
	Edeal, Dean	410	9	410	3	380		1200	7
	Team	1145	6	1110	7	1087.5	8	3342.5	7
IOWA	Nelson, Lewis	365		395	5	352.5		1112.5	
	Wingert, Geo.	365		410	4	370		1145	
	Ellis, Earl	305		380	9	367.5		1052.5	
	Team	1035	9	1185	1	1090	7	3310	8
S. DAKOTA	Bianchi, Bill	365		205		337.5		907.5	
	Norday, Vernon	365		395	5	362.5		1122.5	
	Reed, Geo.	395		380	9	337.5		1112.5	
	Team	1125	8	980	9	1037.5	9	3142.5	9

LECs

12-18-39

20th ANNUAL INTERCOLLEGIATE POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST

Chicago, Illinois - December 2, 1939

Institution

University of Illinois
University of Minnesota
Mississippi State College
Texas A & M College
Kansas State College
South Dakota State College
Oklahoma A & M College
University of Missouri
Purdue University
Iowa State College
University of Wisconsin

Coach

Professor T. B. Avery
Professor T. H. Canfield
Professor H. D. Polk
Professor E. D. Parnell
Professor H. M. Scott
Professor W. O. Wilson
Professor Robert Penquite
Professor E. M. Funk
Professor E. E. Schnetzler
Professor R. E. Phillips
Professor M. H. Meshew

University of Illinois Winning Team

Front row: J. S. Cummins; R. E. Smith; G. F. Combs; S. F. Ridlen
Back row: W. W. Redmon; H. D. Smith; C. R. Worfield; E. E. Harless;
R. N. Schlesinger



Twentieth Midwest Intercollegiate

Poultry Judging Contest

1939

Packet contains:

1. Minutes of special meeting of coaches of Midwest Intercollegiate Poultry Judging Contest, held August 2, 1939 during World's Poultry Congress.
2. Letter to Dr. L. E. Card, Manager of Contest, giving report of committee instructed to revise the Market Products Division.
3. Instructions regarding selection of birds for production rings.
4. Instructions to coaches.
5. Information for contestants.
6. List of donors of trophies, medals, and cash.
7. Rules and regulations.

Make-up of Contest:

Exhibition Judging - Five four-bird rings

White Leghorn Cockerels
Rhode Island Red Cockerels
Rhode Island Red Pullets
White Wyandotte Pullets
Barred Plymouth Rock Pullets

Production Judging - Five four-bird rings

Barred Plymouth Rock Yearling Hens
White Leghorn Yearling Hens
White Leghorn Yearling Hens
Barred Plymouth Rock Yearling Hens
Rhode Island Red Yearling Hens

Market Products

Dressed Light Fowl - ring of four birds
Dressed Heavy Fowl - ring of four birds
Dressed Roasters - ring of four birds

Twenty Live Market Fowl to be graded into four U.S. Grades A, B, C, and rejects according to U.S. Grades for Live Poultry.

Market Eggs - fifty eggs candled and graded according to U.S. Grades for Individual Eggs.

Minutes of Special Meeting of Coaches
of Collegiate Poultry Judging Team

RECEIVED
SEP 21 1939
AM 11:12

A special meeting of coaches of the Mid-west Intercollegiate Poultry Judging teams was held August 2, 1939 to consider changes in the set-up of the contest. Coaches of the teams of the Eastern contest were invited to sit at the meeting and their suggestions and criticisms were obtained.

Most of the discussion was centered around whether or not comparative judging of classes in market poultry should not be discontinued and instead have each contestant grade the market poultry into the U. S. Grades, similar to the plan followed at present with eggs. There was some discussion also of whether or not the contest should include the "rejection" or "keeping" of a group of fowls similar to the set-up used in the World's Poultry Congress contest.

Motion made by Dr. Card that a committee be appointed to consider changes in the set-up of the contest and submit them as soon as possible. Also, that the committee submit a tentative plan of revision of the market products judging to be used in this year's contest. Motion carried.

Nominations for members of the committee were received and Penquite (chairman), Cenfield, and Schnetzler elected.

OKLAHOMA
AGRICULTURAL AND MECHANICAL COLLEGE

SCHOOL OF AGRICULTURE
AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION

STILLWATER

September 29, 1939

Dr. L. E. Card
Poultry Department
University of Illinois
Urbana, Illinois

Dear Dr. Card:

The committee appointed at Cleveland, Ohio, during the World's Poultry Congress to revise the Market Judging Division of the Midwest Intercollegiate Poultry Judging Contest subject to the approval of the coaches submits the following recommendations:

Market Poultry and Eggs. Three rings of dressed poultry to be judged on the basis of market quality. Twenty heavy market fowls to be divided into four classes, "U. S. Grade A", "U. S. Grade B", "U. S. Grade C", and rejects according to the United States Standards for Grades for Live Poultry. There shall be 50 eggs to be placed individually by candling. These eggs shall be divided into four classes, "U. S. Extras", "U. S. Standards", "U. S. Trades", and "Inedibles", according to the United States Standards of quality for individual eggs, issued June 1935, except that size, shape, cleanliness, shell texture, and mobility of air cell shall not be considered.

*ok file
10/7/39*

The committee was instructed that if the majority of the coaches accept this proposed outline it will be used at Chicago. We would appreciate hearing from you by return mail so that the committee may mail the decision to you as soon as possible. Please address all correspondence to Robert Penquite, Poultry Department, Oklahoma A. & M. College, Stillwater, Oklahoma.

Very truly yours,

Robert Penquite

Committee: T. H. Canfield
E.E.Schnetzler
Robert Penquite

RP:bz
104

The production rings shall be placed in the order of total egg production, including all eggs from the time of first egg until shipped to contest. Each ring shall have been selected to conform with the following specifications, in so far as possible:

- a) The four birds shall be hatched at about the same time, preferably within a range of two weeks.
- b) All four birds shall have started to lay within a range of one month.
- c) All four birds shall have been given similar rations and housing conditions.
- d) Each pair shall differ by a minimum of 20 eggs, and preferably 25 eggs, in total egg production.
- e) In the selection of hens, no attempt is to be made to choose "placeable" rings. Official placings are to be in the order of actual egg records, without regard to the appearance or handling quality of the birds, so long as all are in good physical condition.

f - 125 egg count

The production rings shall be placed in the order of total egg production, including all eggs from the time of first egg until shipped to market. Each ring shall have been selected to conform with the following specifications, in so far as possible:

- a) The four birds shall be hatched at about the same time, preferably within a range of two weeks.
- b) All four birds shall have started to lay within a range of one month.
- c) All four birds shall have been given similar treatment and housing conditions.
- d) Each pair shall differ by a minimum of 20 eggs, and preferably 25 eggs, in total egg production.
- e) In the selection of hens, no attempt is to be made to choose "production" rings. Official standards are to be in the order of actual egg records, without regard to the appearance or handling quality of the birds, so long as all are in good physical condition.

L. E. Card

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS

November 16, 1939

To Coaches of Poultry Judging Teams:

This is the final letter regarding the contest on December 2, 1939.

The vote was unanimous in favor of proposal "a" in my letter of November 1, which means that judging will be over about 2:00 p.m.

So that you may all know who your opponents are, here is a list of institutions and coaches:

Illinois - T. B. Avery	Nebraska - H. E. Alder
Iowa - R. E. Phillips	Oklahoma - R. Penquite
Kansas - H. M. Scott	Purdue - E. E. Schnetzler
Minnesota - T. H. Canfield	S. Dakota - W. O. Wilson
Mississippi - H. D. Polk	Texas - E. D. Parnell
Missouri - E. M. Funk	Wisconsin - M. H. Meshew

Committee Chairmen:

Judging - H. M. Scott
Grading - T. H. Canfield
Eggs - E. E. Schnetzler
Monitor - E. D. Parnell

Coaches' meeting in Room 989, Hotel Chicagoan, 4:00 p.m. on Friday, December 1.

Mr. E. B. Heaton of the Institute of American Poultry Industries is arranging the itinerary for the market trip on Friday. We expect to have mimeographed schedules available for you when you arrive in Chicago. I am asking Professor Penquite to be responsible for rounding up the group Friday morning at the hotel, and giving the signal to go. Please report to him in the lobby. The trip will be completed about 3:00 p.m.

The banquet will be held at 6:30 p.m., Saturday, on Floor A at the Hotel Chicagoan. Every contestant is expected to attend. Alternates and guests will be welcome, provided the number who will attend can be determined by the time of the coaches' meeting on Friday.

Very truly yours,

Contest Manager

L. E. Card

s

Contest Manager

Very truly yours,

by the time of the coaches' meeting on Friday.
Guests will be welcome, provided the number who will attend can be determined
Hotel Chicagoan. Every contestant is expected to attend. Alternates and
The banquet will be held at 6:30 p.m., Saturday, on floor A at the
the lobby. The trip will be completed about 3:00 p.m.

asking Professor Fendrite to be responsible for rounding up the group Friday
morning at the hotel, and giving the signal to go. Please report to him in
arranging the itinerary for the market trip on Friday. We expect to have
Mr. E. B. Heston of the Institute of American Poultry Industries is
December 1.

Coaches' meeting in Room 989, Hotel Chicagoan, 4:00 p.m. on Friday.

Monitor - E. D. Farnell
Eggs - E. E. Schnetzler
Grading - T. H. Canfield
Judging - H. M. Scott

Committee Chairman:

Missouri - E. M. Funn	Wisconsin - M. H. Mesher
Mississippi - H. D. Polk	Texas - E. D. Farnell
Minnesota - T. H. Canfield	S. Dakota - W. O. Wilson
Kansas - H. M. Scott	Purdue - E. E. Schnetzler
Iowa - R. E. Phillips	Oklahoma - R. Fendrite
Illinois - T. B. Avery	Nebraska - H. E. Alder

institutions and coaches:
So that you may all know who your opponents are, here is a list of

November 1, which means that judging will be over about 2:00 p.m.
The vote was unanimous in favor of proposal "a" in my letter of

This is the final letter regarding the contest on December 2, 1939.

To Coaches of Poultry Judging Teams:

November 16, 1939

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS

L. E. Card

L. E. Card

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS

November 1, 1939

To Coaches of Poultry Judging Teams:

A problem which we have anticipated for several years has actually arisen this year. There will be twelve teams in the contest, and some change in procedure must be made in order to accommodate a total of twenty-four contestants at one time.

I am recommending proposal "a" for your consideration, with "b" as an alternative. Please indicate your preference.

"a" Twelve minutes per ring in exhibition and production. Contestants from Team 6 to work with contestants from another team chosen by lot from Nos. 1 to 5, and contestants from Team 12 to work with contestants from another team chosen by lot from Nos. 7 to 11, when judging the exhibition and production rings. This means that four contestants in each group will work individually on all ten rings, the other two will work together on all ten rings. With this arrangement, each contestant will have one hour for judging the eggs and one hour for the live and dressed poultry.

"b" Twelve minutes per ring. Each contestant to work alone on each ring in exhibition and production, with one contestant idle during each 12-minute period. This arrangement will automatically lengthen the contest by 72 minutes and will allow 72 minutes each for eggs and market poultry.

If we hold to the 12-minute minimum time for each production and exhibition ring, and if we hold to the plan of letting each contestant work alone on each ring, the total time required to run off the contest will be increased by 24 minutes in each of three shifts, or 72 minutes in all. The grading committee has none too much time to complete its work even when the contest is over shortly after two o'clock. To extend the judging period to 3:12 or later would increase the difficulty of getting all grades checked and totaled in time for the banquet. It would also mean that one man on production and one man on exhibition would be idle each 12-minute period. The time on market products would be increased to 72 minutes each for eggs and for market poultry. It has been my observation that an hour was long enough for judging the eggs, and I believe an hour will be sufficient for judging three rings of dressed poultry and grading 20 live fowl.

It appears inevitable that three contestants from three different teams will be working together on the group of 20 live fowl. If we adopt proposal "a", it will be necessary to reduce the time on each ring of dressed poultry to 10 minutes in order to equalize the time between dressed and live poultry. This is not likely to work any hardship, as the dressed poultry has always been placed rather quickly. If the vote is for proposal "b", there will be 12 minutes for each ring of dressed poultry, 36 minutes for the live fowl, and 72 minutes for the eggs.

Any other suggestions from any coach who sees a better solution will be welcome, but it does not seem feasible to set up six rings each in production and exhibition. A prompt reply will be appreciated.

Very truly yours,

Contest Manager

L. E. Card

November 1, 1939

To Coaches of Poultry Judging Teams:

A problem which we have anticipated for several years has actually arisen this year. There will be twelve teams in the contest, and some change in procedure must be made in order to accommodate a total of twenty-four contestants at one time.

I am recommending proposal "a" for your consideration, with "b" as an alternative. Please indicate your preference.

"a" Twelve minutes per ring in exhibition and production. Contestants from Team 6 to work with contestants from another team chosen by lot from Nos. 1 to 5, and contestants from Team 12 to work with contestants from another team chosen by lot from Nos. 7 to 11, when judging the exhibition and production rings. This means that four contestants in each group will work individually on all ten rings, the other two will work together on all ten rings. With this arrangement, each contestant will have one hour for judging the eggs and one hour for the live and dressed poultry.

"b" Twelve minutes per ring. Each contestant to work alone on each ring in exhibition and production, with one contestant idle during each 12-minute period. This arrangement will automatically lengthen the contest by 72 minutes and will allow 72 minutes each for eggs and market poultry.

If we hold to the 12-minute minimum time for each production and exhibition ring, and if we hold to the plan of letting each contestant work alone on each ring, the total time required to run off the contest will be increased by 24 minutes in each of three shifts, or 72 minutes in all. The grading committee has none too much time to complete its work even when the contest is over shortly after two o'clock. To extend the judging period to 3:12 or later would increase the difficulty of getting all grades checked and totaled in time for the banquet. It would also mean that one man on production and one man on exhibition would be idle each 12-minute period. The time on market products would be increased to 72 minutes each for eggs and for market poultry. It has been my observation that an hour was long enough for judging the eggs, and I believe an hour will be sufficient for judging three rings of dressed poultry and grading 20 live fowl.

It appears inevitable that three contestants from three different teams will be working together on the group of 20 live fowl. If we adopt proposal "a", it will be necessary to reduce the time on each ring of dressed poultry to 10 minutes in order to equalize the time between dressed and live poultry. This is not likely to work any hardship, as the dressed poultry has always been placed rather quickly. If the vote is for proposal "b", there will be 12 minutes for each ring of dressed poultry, 36 minutes for the live fowl, and 72 minutes for the eggs.

Any other suggestions from any coach who sees a better solution will be welcome, but it does not seem feasible to set up six rings each in production and exhibition. A prompt reply will be appreciated.

Very truly yours,

Contest Manager

L. E. Gerd

INTERCOLLEGIATE POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST

Chicago - December 2, 1939

Information for Contestants

Place: Quaker Oats Co. Research Laboratory, 345 East 25th Street, on the corner of 25th Street and Cottage Grove Avenue. Please use the east door on Cottage Grove Avenue. Board a southbound Cottage Grove or Stony Island street car at Madison and Wabash and get off at 25th Street.

Time: Report promptly at 7:30 a.m. for instructions. Judging will start at 8:00 a.m. and continue until 2:00 p.m.

Order of Judging: The following schedule will apply to all teams.

Period	Man No. 1	Man No. 2	Man No. 3
8:00 - 10:00	Exhibition & Production	---	Market Products
10:00 - 12:00	Market Products	Exhibition & Production	---
12:00 - 2:00	---	Market Products	Exhibition & Production

Team number is determined by lot. Contestant's number on a team is determined by his coach. When judging exhibition and production rings, the men from Teams 1 to 6 will work on exhibition during the first hour and on production during the second hour. Men from Teams 7 to 12 will do just the reverse. This means that all exhibition rings will be judged before going to production, or vice versa. Each contestant will have 12 minutes to judge each ring and record his placing. A warning will be given two minutes before each shift. Be sure to record the ring number, the kind of birds, your name, and your team, on every card turned in.

When judging market products, the men from Teams 1 to 6 will work on poultry during the first hour and on eggs during the second hour. The men from Teams 7 to 12 will reverse this order. Ten minutes will be allowed for judging each ring of dressed poultry, thirty minutes for grading the live fowl, and one hour for candling and grading the eggs. There will be several lots of eggs, no lot to be handled by more than six men. All members of any team will work on the same lot of eggs. Rough handling of eggs by any contestant will therefore penalize his own team-mates.

Every contestant is expected to attend the banquet at 6:30 p.m. on Floor A in the Hotel Chicagoan. Results will be announced and the awards made at that time.

-oOo-

INTERNATIONAL POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST Chicago - December 2, 1934

Information for Contestants

Place: Chicago Gate Co., Research Laboratory, 215 West 18th Street, at the corner of 18th Street and Cottage Grove Avenue. Please use the back door on Cottage Grove Avenue. Board a southbound Cottage Grove car on 18th Street and get off at 25th Street.

Time: Report promptly at 7:30 a.m. for instructions. Judging will start at 8:00 a.m. and continue until 2:00 p.m.

Order of Judging: The following schedule will apply to all teams.

Period	Team No. 1	Team No. 2	Team No. 3
8:00 - 10:00	Exhibition & Production	---	Exhibition & Production
10:00 - 12:00	Market Products	Exhibition & Production	---
12:00 - 2:00	---	Market Products	Exhibition & Production

Team number is determined by lot. Contestants should be at the place at least 15 minutes before the first hour and on eggs during the second hour. The men from Teams 1 to 3 will work on exhibition and production during the first hour and on production during the second hour. The men from Teams 4 to 6 will do both the exhibition and production during the first hour and on production during the second hour. All exhibition rings will be judged before going to production, as vice versa. Each contestant will have 12 minutes to judge each ring and record his placing. A water ring will be given two minutes before each shift. Be sure to record the ring number, the kind of birds, your name, and your team, on every card turned in.

When judging market products, the men from Teams 1 to 3 will work on poultry during the first hour and on eggs during the second hour. The men from Teams 4 to 6 will reverse this order. Ten minutes will be allowed for judging each ring of dressed poultry, thirty minutes for judging the live poultry, and ten minutes for judging and grading the eggs. There will be separate lots of eggs, live poultry for judging and grading the eggs. All members of any team will vote on the lot to be handled by more than six men. Rough handling of eggs by any contestant will disqualify the contestant. Some lot of eggs. Rough handling of eggs by any contestant will disqualify the contestant. In own comments.

Every contestant is expected to attend the banquet at 6:00 p.m. on Night 2 in the Hotel Chicagoan. Results will be announced and the awards made at that time.

CONTRIBUTORS OF PRIZE AWARDS
in the
TWENTIETH ANNUAL MIDWEST INTERCOLLEGIATE POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST
Chicago - December 2, 1939

1. Institute of American Poultry Industries
110 North Franklin Street, Chicago
Dr. R. F. Eagle, President
E. B. Heaton, Managing Director
(Circulating Trophy)
2. Purina Mills
St. Louis, Missouri
Earl A. Sindecuse
Educational Service Division
(Permanent Trophy for winning team)
3. Allied Mills, Incorporated
Board of Trade Building
Chicago, Illinois
A. G. Philips, Manager of Sales
(Trophy for best team in exhibition)
4. Poultry Tribune
Mount Morris, Illinois
J. W. Watt, Publisher
O. A. Hanke, Editor
(Trophy for best team in production)
5. Cudahy Packing Company
221 N. LaSalle Street, Chicago
J. W. McElligott, Vice-President
D. R. Long, Produce Department
(Trophy for best team in market products)
6. G. E. Conkey Company
Cleveland, Ohio
R. O. Bate, Advertising Manager
(Medals for exhibition judging)
7. American Poultry Journal
536 South Clark Street, Chicago
R. R. Hannas, Editor
(Medals for production judging)
8. Hales and Hunter Company
166 W. Jackson Boulevard, Chicago
L. G. Neel, Poultry Mash Department
(Medals for market products)
9. Makomb Steel Products Company
Macomb, Illinois
D. B. Tolley, Sales Manager
(Six electric clocks)

CONTRIBUTORS OF PRIZE AWARDS
in the
TWENTIETH ANNUAL MIDWEST INTERCOLLEGIATE POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST
Chicago - December 2, 1939

1. Institute of American Poultry Industries
110 North Franklin Street, Chicago
Dr. R. F. Eagle, President
E. B. Heston, Managing Director
(Circulating Trophy)
2. Purina Mills
St. Louis, Missouri
Earl A. Sindensee
Educational Service Division
(Permanent Trophy for winning team)
3. Allied Mills, Incorporated
Board of Trade Building
Chicago, Illinois
A. G. Phillips, Manager of Sales
(Trophy for best team in exhibition)
4. Poultry Tribune
Mount Morris, Illinois
J. W. Watt, Publisher
O. A. Hanks, Editor
(Trophy for best team in production)
5. Cudahy Packing Company
221 W. LaSalle Street, Chicago
J. W. McEligott, Vice-President
D. R. Long, Produce Department
(Trophy for best team in market products)
6. G. E. Conkey Company
Cleveland, Ohio
R. O. Bates, Advertising Manager
(Medals for exhibition judging)
7. American Poultry Journal
536 South Clark Street, Chicago
R. R. Hannas, Editor
(Medals for production judging)
8. Hales and Hunter Company
166 W. Jackson Boulevard, Chicago
L. G. Neel, Poultry Mash Department
(Medals for market products)
9. Makamp Steel Products Company
Macomb, Illinois
D. B. Tolley, Sales Manager
(Six electric clocks)

10. Contributors of Cash -- \$10 to \$25 each

Norb Schaefer, General Manager
Anderson Box Company
700 W. Morris Street
Indianapolis, Indiana

W. L. Krapp, President
The National Ideal Company
914 Summit Street
Toledo, Ohio
(Credit to be shared with D. A. Strauss, Treasurer
Warner Brooder and Appliance Corporation, North
Manchester, Indiana)

W. J. Westerman
Oyster Shell Products Corp.
3615 Olive Street
St. Louis, Missouri

T. G. Wilkinson
Ward Milk Products Division
Kraft-Phenix Cheese Corporation
500 Peshtigo Court
Chicago, Illinois

E. F. Smith, President
Mid-West Metal Products Company
Muncie, Indiana

Geo. A. Spencer, Sales Manager
The Oakes Manufacturing Company, Inc.
Tipton, Indiana

Vernon Dawe
Dawe's Vitamelk Company
4800 South Richmond Avenue
Chicago, Illinois

C. A. Mazzuchelli
Carus Chemical Company, Inc.
1375 Eighth Street
LaSalle, Illinois

W. D. Walker
Arcady Farms Milling Co.
223 West Jackson Boulevard
Chicago, Illinois

Seth S. Barker
Barker Poultry Equipment Company
Ottumwa, Iowa

Seth S. Barker
Barker Poultry Equipment Company
Ottumwa, Iowa

W. D. Walker
Arcady Farms Milling Co.
223 West Jackson Boulevard
Chicago, Illinois

C. A. Mazzuchelli
Carna Chemical Company, Inc.
1375 Fifth Street
Jasalle, Illinois

Vernon Dawe
Dawe's Vitameik Company
4800 South Richmond Avenue
Chicago, Illinois

Geo. A. Spencer, Sales Manager
The Oakes Manufacturing Company, Inc.
Tipton, Indiana

E. F. Smith, President
Mid-West Metal Products Company
Muncie, Indiana

T. G. Wilkinson
Ward Milk Products Division
Kraft-Phenix Cheese Corporation
500 Pershing Court
Chicago, Illinois

W. J. Westerman
Oyster Shell Products Corp.
3615 Olive Street
St. Louis, Missouri

Manchester, Indiana)
Warner Brooder and Appliance Corporation, North
(Credit to be shared with D. A. Strauss, Treasurer
Toledo, Ohio
914 Summit Street
The National Ideal Company
W. I. Krapp, President

Indianapolis, Indiana
700 W. Morris Street
Anderson Box Company
North Schaefer, General Manager

10. Contributors of Cash -- \$10 to \$25 each

E. C. Faust
The Cyclone Manufacturing Company
Urbana, Indiana

G. E. Drawe
Perfection Egg Grader Co., Inc.
Capac, Michigan

Chas. L. Davidson
Stone Mountain Grit Co., Inc.
Lithonia, Georgia

M. L. Rushmore
Merck & Company
Rahway, New Jersey

A. E. Miller
Wabash Fibre Box Company
Terre Haute, Indiana

M. M. Bowman, Vice-President
American Butter Company
2438 Broadway
Kansas City, Missouri

B. F. Zaffke, Manager
Poultry Division
James Manufacturing Company
Fort Atkinson, Wisconsin

E. C. Faust
The Cyclone Manufacturing Company
Urbana, Indiana

G. E. Drows
Perfection Egg Grader Co., Inc.
Capeo, Michigan

Chas. L. Davidson
Stone Mountain Grit Co., Inc.
Lithonia, Georgia

M. L. Rushmore
Merck & Company
Rahway, New Jersey

A. E. Miller
Wabash Fibre Box Company
Terre Haute, Indiana

M. M. Bowman, Vice-President
American Butter Company
2438 Broadway
Kansas City, Missouri

E. F. Lafluke, Manager
Poultry Division
James Manufacturing Company
Fort Atkinson, Wisconsin

Wm. A. Spencer, Sales Manager
The Coker Manufacturing Company, Inc.
Chicago, Indiana

Wm. A. Spencer, Sales Manager
The Coker Manufacturing Company, Inc.
Chicago, Indiana

Wm. A. Spencer, Sales Manager
The Coker Manufacturing Company, Inc.
Chicago, Indiana

Wm. A. Spencer, Sales Manager
The Coker Manufacturing Company, Inc.
Chicago, Indiana

Wm. A. Spencer, Sales Manager
The Coker Manufacturing Company, Inc.
Chicago, Indiana

11-30-39

Intercollegiate Poultry Judging Contest
Coaches Meeting - Chicago, Illinois
Saturday, Dec. 2, 1939

RECEIVED

DEC 11 1939

AM. MUSE.

Dr. Card called the meeting to order. Motion made, seconded and carried that the reading of last year's minutes be omitted.

Dr. Card raised the question of grading dressed birds according to government grades of A, B, C and reject instead of placing such birds. Moved by Canfield and seconded by Wilson that such a change be made. Motion carried.


Mr. Henry Hamann, representative of the Bureau of Agricultural Economics suggested that the conditions for candling eggs be improved by using a set of eggs for each team and that better lights and benches be used.

Motion made by Schnetzler and seconded by Mesheu that a committee, consisting of Penquite, Chairman, Canfield, and Funk, be instructed to consider changes in the contest. Motion carried.

Wilson suggested that both live fowl and live roasters be used in next year's contest. The coaches favored this suggestion. It was suggested by coaches that production classes be selected with relative differences in production of 15 to 20 per cent and with a minimum of 125 eggs and that 6 birds be sent to Chicago so that a coaches committee can select placeable classes of four birds.

Dr. Card suggested that letters of appreciation be sent to donors of prizes. Each coach should write to Dr. F. N. Peters, Quaker Oats Company, Board of Trade Bldg., Chicago, Illinois, expressing an appreciation for the use of their research laboratory for the contest.

Adjourned


E. M. Funk, Secretary

P. S. The committee appointed to consider changes in the rules of the contest will welcome suggestions at your earliest convenience.

1939
POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST
Rules and Regulations

Last years rules.

Name

Midwest Intercollegiate Poultry Judging Contest.

Place and Date

Chicago, Illinois, on the Saturday after Thanksgiving, unless decided otherwise by a two-thirds vote of the institutions directly concerned.

Organization of the Contest

There shall be three divisions of the contest, and they shall have equal weight in determining all-around scores for individual and team placings. These divisions shall consist of the following:

- a) Exhibition poultry. Five rings of birds to be judged on the basis of exhibition quality in accordance with the Standard of Perfection. If suitable birds can be obtained, these rings shall consist of White Leghorn cockerels, Light Barred Plymouth Rock pullets, Rhode Island Red cockerels, Rhode Island Red pullets, and White Wyandotte pullets.
- b) Production poultry. Five rings of yearling hens to be judged for egg production. If suitable birds can be obtained, there shall be two rings of White Leghorns, and the other three rings, one each of either Rhode Island Reds, White Wyandottes, White Plymouth Rocks, or Barred Plymouth Rocks.
- c) Market poultry and eggs. Two rings of live poultry and three rings of dressed poultry to be judged on the basis of market quality. The live poultry shall consist of heavy fowl and heavy roasters. The rings of dressed poultry shall be selected from heavy fowl, light fowl, heavy roasters, and light roasters. There shall be 50 eggs to be placed individually by candling. These eggs shall be divided into four classes, "U.S. Extras", "U.S. Standards", "U.S. Trades", and "Inedibles", according to the United States Standards of quality for individual eggs, except that size, shape, cleanliness, shell texture, and mobility of air cell, shall not be considered.

Method of Determining Awards

Contestants' placings shall be scored on a basis of 100 for agreement with the official placing and a deduction of 15 points for inversion of any two birds. All pairs shall be considered to be "normal" unless designated as "close" or "very close" in the official placings. Close pairs shall be subject to a deduction of 10 points, and very close pairs 5 points, provided they are simply inverted and are not separated by another bird. In the latter case the regular 15-point cut shall apply.

Midwest Intercollegiate Poultry Judging Contest

Place and Date

Chicago, Illinois, on the Saturday after Thanksgiving, unless otherwise by a two-thirds vote of the institutions directly concerned.

Organization of the Contest

There shall be three divisions of the contest, and they shall have equal weight in determining all-around scores for individual and team placings. These divisions shall consist of the following:

- a) Exhibition poultry. Five rings of birds to be judged on the basis of exhibition quality in accordance with the Standard of Perfection. If suitable birds can be obtained, these rings shall consist of White Leghorn cock-erels, light barred Plymouth Rock pullets, Rhode Island Red cockerels, Rhode Island Red pullets, and White Wyandotte pullets.
- b) Production poultry. Five rings of yearling hens to be judged on egg production. If suitable birds can be obtained, there shall be two rings of White Leghorns, and the other three rings, one each of either Rhode Island Red, White Wyandotte, White Plymouth Rock, or Barred Plymouth Rock.
- c) Market poultry and eggs. Two rings of live poultry and three rings of dressed poultry to be judged on the basis of market quality. The live poultry shall consist of heavy fowl and heavy roasters. The rings of dressed poultry shall be selected from heavy fowl, light fowl, heavy roaster, and light roaster. There shall be 50 eggs to be placed individually by candling. These eggs shall be divided into four classes, "U.S. Extra", "U.S. Standard", "U.S. Choice", and "inedible", according to the United States Standards of quality for individual eggs, except that size, shape, cleanliness, shell texture, and mobility of air cell, shall not be considered.

Method of Determining Awards

Contestants' placings shall be scored on a basis of 100 for agreement with the official placing and a deduction of 15 points for inversion of any two birds. All pairs shall be considered to be "normal" unless designated as "close" or "very close" in the official placings. Close pairs shall be subject to a deduction of 10 points, and very close pairs 5 points, provided they are singly inverted and are not separated by another bird. In the latter case the regular 15-point cut shall apply.

The exhibition rings shall be placed by a committee of three coaches, whose decision shall be final.

The production rings shall be placed in the order of total egg production, including all eggs from the time of first egg until shipped to contest. Each ring shall have been selected to conform with the following specifications, in so far as possible:

- a) The four birds shall be hatched at about the same time, preferably within a range of two weeks.
- b) All four birds shall have started to lay within a range of one month.
- c) All four birds shall have been given similar rations and housing conditions.
- d) Each pair shall differ by a minimum of 20 eggs, and preferably 25 eggs, in total egg production.
- e) In the selection of hens, no attempt is to be made to choose "placeable" rings. Official placings are to be in the order of actual egg records, without regard to the appearance or handling quality of the birds, so long as all are in good physical condition.

The rings of market poultry shall be placed by the judging committee already mentioned, any close pairs to be indicated by the committee in accordance with their findings.

The market eggs shall be selected by a competent egg grader, and classified according to the U. S. Standards of quality for individual eggs. The eggs in each lot shall be numbered from 1 to 50, and each contestant shall indicate the grade in which he believes each egg should fall. Five points shall be deducted for each egg placed one grade above or below its correct classification, and ten points for each egg placed two grades above or below, as well as for trades classed as inedibles or inedible eggs classed as trades.

The grades made by each contestant on market poultry and on market eggs shall be averaged to determine that contestant's score in the market products division of the contest. A perfect all-around individual score for the entire contest will, therefore, be 1500, and a perfect all-round team score will be 4500.

The scores shall be broken by the following considerations, in the order given:

- a) The largest number of 100 scores.
- b) The fewest scores of 55 or below.
- c) The largest number of top birds correctly placed.
- d) The largest number of bottom birds correctly placed.

Midwest Interstate Poultry Judging Contest.

Place and Date

Chicago, Illinois, on the Saturday after Thanksgiving, unless decided otherwise by a two-thirds vote of the institutions directly concerned.

Organization of the Contest

There shall be three divisions of the contest, and they shall have equal weight in determining all-around scores for individual and team placings. These divisions shall consist of the following:

a) Exhibition poultry. Five rings of birds to be judged on the basis of exhibition quality in accordance with the Standard of Perfection. If suitable birds can be obtained, these rings shall consist of White Leghorn cock-erels, light barred Plymouth Rock pullets, Rhode Island Red cockerels, Rhode Island Red pullets, and White Wyandotte pullets.

b) Production poultry. Five rings of yearling hens to be judged for egg production. If suitable birds can be obtained, there shall be two rings of White Leghorns, and the other three rings, one each of either Rhode Island Red, White Wyandotte, White Plymouth Rocks, or Barred Plymouth Rocks.

c) Market poultry and eggs. Two rings of live poultry and three rings of dressed poultry to be judged on the basis of market quality. The live poultry shall consist of heavy fowl and heavy roasters. The rings of dressed poultry shall be selected from heavy fowl, light fowl, heavy roasters, and light roasters. There shall be 50 eggs to be placed individually by candling. These eggs shall be divided into four classes, "U. S. Extra", "U. S. Standard", "U. S. Choice", and "inferior", according to the United States Standard of quality for individual eggs, except that size, shape, cleanliness, shell texture, and mobility of air cell, shall not be considered.

Method of Determining Awards

Contestants' placings shall be scored on a basis of 100 for agreement with the official placing and a deduction of 15 points for inversion of any two birds. All pairs shall be considered to be "normal" unless designated as "close" or "very close" in the official placings. Close pairs shall be subject to a deduction of 10 points, and very close pairs 5 points, provided they are singly inverted and are not separated by another bird. In the latter case the regular 15-point cut shall apply.

Eligibility of Contestants

Each team shall consist of three students and one alternate. Membership on the team is open to any student regularly enrolled in the four-year course of the institution, who has been in attendance at the institution he represents for at least one full year, and who at the time of the competition is regularly enrolled in the college where he has completed one year's work, and whose work in his classes is of a passing grade. No student is eligible to compete more than one school year. The instructor or coach in charge of each team shall be responsible for the eligibility and credentials of that team.

Contest Procedure

The contest will commence at 8:00 a.m. on the date chosen. Contestants will be allowed 12 minutes for completing the judging of each ring, and one hour for judging the eggs. A warning will be given two minutes before the end of each 12-minute period.

Each contestant shall be required to write his placings on a card which will be provided. He shall also indicate on the card his name, his team, and the class of birds being judged. The card shall be handed to the monitor at the end of the 12-minute period as the student shifts to the next ring.

After the contest has started, no student judge shall be permitted to communicate with any person except the monitor in charge of his group.

As placing cards are turned in by contestants at the close of each 12-minute period they shall be delivered to the grading committee for scoring and tabulating. No persons other than the members of the grading committee and the manager of the contest shall have access to any of the scores or placings until they have been prepared for public announcement.

Committees

The manager of the contest shall appoint the following committee of coaches or other college representatives, whose duties shall be as indicated:

- 1) A judging committee of three members who shall make the official placings of the exhibition and market poultry rings. The membership of this committee shall rotate from year to year, the general plan being for one member to be replaced each year, and for each new member to serve for a three-year term.
- 2) A committee of monitors who shall have supervision of the contestants during the contest period. It shall be their duty to see that no communication takes place between contestants or between contestants and outside persons, except in the presence of a monitor or the contest manager, and then only for unusual reasons. The chairman of this committee shall designate one member to act as time-keeper. He shall also arrange for delivery of the placing cards to the grading

Eligibility of Contestants

Each team shall consist of three students and one alternate. Membership on the team is open to any student regularly enrolled in the four-year course of the institution, who has been in attendance at the institution for at least one full year, and who at the time of the competition is regularly enrolled in the college where he has completed one year's work, and whose work in his classes is of a passing grade. No student is eligible to compete more than one school year. The instructor or coach in charge of each team shall be responsible for the eligibility and credentials of that team.

Contest Procedure

The contest will commence at 8:00 a.m. on the date chosen. Contestants will be allowed 15 minutes for completing the judging of each ring, and one hour for judging the eggs. A warning will be given two minutes before the end of each 15-minute period.

Each contestant shall be required to write his placings on a card which will be provided. He shall also indicate on the card his name, his team, and the class of birds being judged. The card shall be handed to the monitor at the end of the 15-minute period as the student enters to the next ring.

After the contest has started, no student judge shall be permitted to communicate with any person except the monitor in charge of his group.

As placing cards are turned in by contestants at the close of each 15-minute period they shall be delivered to the grading committee for scoring and tabulating. No persons other than the members of the grading committee and the manager of the contest shall have access to any of the scores or placings until they have been prepared for public announcement.

Committees

The manager of the contest shall appoint the following committee of coaches or other college representatives, whose duties shall be as indicated:

1) A judging committee of three members who shall make the official placings of the exhibition and make the necessary rings. The membership of this committee shall rotate from year to year, the general plan being for one member to be replaced each year, and for each new member to serve for a three-year term.

2) A committee of monitors who shall have supervision of the contestants during the contest period. It shall be their duty to see that no communication takes place between contestants or between contestants and outside persons, except in the presence of a monitor or the contest manager, and then only for unusual reasons. The chairman of this committee shall designate one member to act as time-keeper. He shall also arrange for delivery of the placing cards to the grading

committee as they are turned in from time to time during the contest.

- 3) An egg committee of two members, who shall prepare the several lots of eggs and the candling equipment for use by the contestants.
- 4) A grading committee of three members who shall score each placing card, and tabulate the individual and team scores by divisions and for the contest as a whole. This committee shall consider its proceedings as "closed" to all persons except the contest manager until such time as the results are ready for public announcement.
- 5) A committee on arrangements consisting of the contest manager and as many others as may seem necessary or desirable, to make all arrangements for the contest, including the exact place, necessary tables and coops, rings of poultry, market eggs, prizes, headquarters hotel for the teams, the market inspection trip on the day preceding the contest, and the banquet following the contest.

Amendments

These rules may be amended by a two-third vote of the coaches present at a regular meeting which shall be held annually following the banquet held at the close of the contest. They may also be amended by a two-thirds vote by mail of the institutions to be represented in any contest, provided such vote is completed in time to be announced to all competing institutions at least thirty days prior to the contest.

committee as they are turned in from time to time during the contest.

3) An egg committee of two members, who shall prepare the several lots of eggs and the candling equipment for use by the contestants.

4) A grading committee of three members who shall score each placing card, and tabulate the individual and team scores by divisions and for the contest as a whole. This committee shall consider the proceedings as "closed" to all persons except the contest manager until such time as the results are ready for public announcement.

5) A committee on arrangements consisting of the contest manager and as many others as may seem necessary or desirable, to make all arrangements for the contest, including the exact place, necessary tables and stools, rings of poultry, market eggs, prizes, headquarters hotel for the teams, the market inspection trip on the day preceding the contest, and the banquet following the contest.

Amendments

These rules may be amended by a two-third vote of the coaches present at a regular meeting which shall be held annually following the banquet held at the close of the contest. They may also be amended by a two-thirds vote by mail of the institutions to be represented in any contest, provided such vote is completed in time to be announced to all competing institutions at least thirty days prior to the contest.

FINAL PLACINGS

TWENTIETH ANNUAL INTERCOLLEGIATE POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST

Chicago, December 2, 1939

1.	University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois (G. F. Combs, R. S. Smith, S. F. Ridlen) (T. B. Avery, Coach)	3387.5
2.	University of Minnesota, St. Paul, Minnesota (O. Sonstegard, O. Swenson, E. Grathwohl) (T. H. Canfield, Coach)	3265
3.	Mississippi State College, State College, Mississippi (J. T. Smith-Vaniz, H. E. Clark, J. C. Rankin) (H. D. Polk, Coach)	3242.5
4.	Texas A. & M. College, College Station, Texas (O. L. Davis, E. Roesner, F. Swallow) (E. D. Parnell, Coach)	3145
5.	Kansas State College, Manhattan, Kansas (D. H. Long, P. Sanford, W. Brant) (H. M. Scott, Coach)	3107.5
6.	South Dakota State College, Brookings, South Dakota (V. Johnson, M. Vrooman, D. Cochrane) (W. O. Wilson, Coach)	3100
7.	Oklahoma A. & M. College, Stillwater, Oklahoma (H. Hathaway, E. Johnson, R. Butler) (R. Penquite, Coach)	3055
8.	University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri (L. Kennedy, F. Haden, R. Hellensmith) (E. M. Funk, Coach)	3052.5
9.	Purdue University, Lafayette, Indiana (R. E. Swanson, F. C. Reichart, M. C. Huber) (E. E. Schnetzler, Coach)	3020
10.	Iowa State College, Ames, Iowa (R. Kattman, M. Groeneveld, R. Moses) (R. E. Phillips, Coach)	2970
11.	University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin (D. V. Jensen, J. T. Schmidling, L. Meyer) (M. H. Meshew, Coach)	2867.5

TEN HIGH INDIVIDUALS

1.	R. S. Smith, Illinois	1145	6.	J. C. Rankin, Mississippi	1102.5
2.	O. Sonstegard, Minnesota	1145	7.	S. F. Ridlen, Illinois	1102.5
3.	G. F. Combs, Illinois	1140	8.	R. E. Swanson, Purdue	1100
4.	J. T. Smith-Vaniz, Mississippi	1135	9.	R. Butler, Oklahoma	1097.5
5.	V. Johnson, South Dakota	1110	10.	R. Hellensmith, Missouri	1095

TEAM PLACINGS AND SCORES IN THE THREE DIVISIONS

<u>Exhibition</u>		<u>Production</u>		<u>Market Products</u>	
1.	Illinois 1185	South Dakota	1050	Illinois	1242.5
2.	Mississippi 1170	Minnesota	990	Mississippi	1212.5
3.	Minnesota 1140	Illinois	960	Missouri	1177.5
4.	Kansas 1110	Texas	920	Iowa	1155
5.	Oklahoma 1110	Purdue	900	Texas	1145
6.	Texas 1080	Missouri	885	Purdue	1145
7.	Wisconsin 1050	Kansas	870	Minnesota	1135
8.	Iowa 1020	Mississippi	860	Oklahoma	1130
9.	Missouri 990	Wisconsin	825	Kansas	1127.5
10.	Purdue 975	Oklahoma	815	South Dakota	1105
11.	South Dakota 945	Iowa	795	Wisconsin	992.5

WINNING INDIVIDUALS

Exhibition

1. R. S. Smith, Illinois 440
2. J. T. Smith-Vaniz, Mississippi 440
3. G. F. Combs, Illinois 410

Production

1. D. Cochrane, South Dakota 365
2. V. Johnson, South Dakota 365
3. O. Sonstegard, Minnesota 350

Market Products

1. S. F. Ridlen, Illinois 417.5
2. R. S. Smith, Illinois 415
3. G. F. Combs, Illinois 410

COMBINED SCORES OF THREE DIVISIONS

Team	Name of contestant	Exhibition		Production		Mkt. Prod.		Grand	Final
		Total	Pl.	Total	Pl.	Total	Pl.	total	pl.
ILLINOIS	Combs, Gerald	410	3	320	8	820	3	1140	3
	Smith, Ralph	440	1	290		830	2	1145	1
	Ridlen, Sam	335		350	5	835	1	1102.5	7
	Team	1185	1	960	3	1242.5	1	3387.5	1
MINNESOTA	Sonstegard, Oleen	410	4	350	3	770		1145	2
	Swenson, Orville	350		335	7	705		1037.5	
	Grathwohl, Elmer	380	10	305		795		1082.5	
	Team	1140	3	990	2	1135	7	3265	2
MISSISSIPPI	Smith-Vaniz, J. T.	440	2	290		810	6	1135	4
	Clark, H. E.	365		235		810	5	1005	
	Rankin, J. C.	365		335		805	8	1102.5	6
	Team	1170	2	860		1212.5	2	3242.5	3
TEXAS	Davis, O. L.	395	5	280		770		1060	
	Roesner, Earl	350		290		745		1012.5	
	Swallow, Fred	335		350	4	775		1072.5	
	Team	1080	6	920	4	1145	5	3145	4
KANSAS	Long, D. H.	350		290		810	7	1045	
	Sanford, Paul	395	5	290		690		1030	
	Brant, Wade	365		290		755		1032.5	
	Team	1110	4	870	7	1127.5	9	3107.5	5
S. DAKOTA	Johnson, Vernon	380	10	365	2	730		1110	5
	Vrooman, Milford	290		320	8	805	8	1012.5	
	Cochrane, Donald	275		365	1	675		977.5	
	Team	945	11	1050	1	1105	10	3100	6
OKLAHOMA	Hathaway, Harry	395	5	260		755		1032.5	
	Johnson, Elton	320		235		740		925	
	Butler, Raymond	395	5	320	8	765		1097.5	9
	Team	1110	5	815	10	1130	8	3055	7
MISSOURI	Kennedy, Leo	305		305		795		1007.5	
	Haden, Floyd	320		260		740		950	
	Hellensmith, Russel	365		320	8	820	4	1095	10
	Team	990	9	885	6	1177.5	3	3052.5	8
PURDUE	Swanson, R. E.	380	10	320	8	800	10	1100	8
	Huber, M. C.	260		275		745		907.5	
	Reichart, F. C.	335		305		745		1012.5	
	Team	975	10	900	5	1145	6	3020	9
IOWA	Cattman, Roy	335		260		760		975	
	Groeneveld, Meno	335		260		785		987.5	
	Moses, Ronald	350		275		765		1007.5	
	Team	1020	8	795	11	1155	4	2970	10
WISCONSIN	Jensen, Donald V.	395	5	230		780		1015	
	Schmidling, Jos. T.	335		335	6	620		980	
	Meyer, LeRoy	320		260		585		872.5	
	Team	1050	7	825	9	992.5	11	2867.5	11

Team & Name of contestant		W.Leg. ckls.	R.I.Red ckls.	W.Wyan. pullets	R.I.Red pullets	B.P.R. pullets	B.P.R.	W.Leg.	B.P.R.	W.Leg.	R.I.Red	Light fowl	Heavy fowl	Roasters	Live fowl	Eggs	To +
Ill.	Combs	85	85	70	70	100	55	55	100	40	70	40	55	100	180	445	41
	Smith	70	85	100	85	100	40	70	40	55	85	85	85	100	165	395	41
	Ridlen	40	70	55	85	85	70	70	85	55	70	40	85	100	170	440	41
Minn.	Sonstegard	70	100	70	85	85	55	100	55	70	70	70	70	70	145	415	38
	Swenson	40	85	55	70	100	40	85	85	55	70	55	55	85	155	355	35
	Grathwohl	40	100	55	85	100	40	55	55	70	85	70	25	85	175	440	39
Miss.	Smith-Vaniz	100	85	70	85	100	55	40	40	70	85	70	85	100	155	400	40
	Clark	85	25	70	100	85	55	55	70	0	55	70	85	100	135	420	40
	Rankin	100	55	85	70	55	55	85	85	25	85	70	70	100	150	415	40
Texas	Davis	100	70	70	85	70	40	0	100	70	70	55	25	100	185	405	38
	Roesner	70	55	70	70	85	40	25	85	70	70	85	85	85	185	305	37
	Swallow	70	85	25	85	70	25	85	85	70	85	100	40	70	185	380	38
Kans.	Long	85	85	70	70	40	40	100	25	55	70	70	70	85	170	415	40
	Sanford	70	85	70	85	85	40	100	25	55	70	40	55	100	155	340	34
	Brant	85	40	55	85	100	40	85	55	40	70	0	100	100	160	395	37
S.Dak.	Johnson, V.	100	55	55	70	100	40	100	70	70	85	55	40	100	170	365	36
	Vrooman	85	25	40	55	85	40	85	85	40	70	85	70	100	165	385	40
	Cochrane	25	40	55	85	70	40	100	85	55	85	70	85	85	155	280	33
Okla.	Hathaway	85	85	55	85	85	40	40	70	40	70	85	85	85	170	330	37
	Johnson, E.	70	40	55	85	70	55	25	85	0	70	70	70	100	140	360	37
	Butler	85	85	70	85	70	55	40	85	70	70	55	100	100	140	370	38
Mo.	Kennedy	55	25	40	85	100	55	40	85	40	85	85	70	85	180	375	39
	Haden	70	40	70	70	70	55	40	55	25	85	55	70	70	180	365	37
	Hellensmith	55	70	70	85	85	40	70	70	70	70	70	85	85	185	395	41
Purdue	Swanson	85	55	85	70	85	55	70	55	40	100	70	85	85	175	385	40
	Huber	40	40	55	85	40	40	40	40	70	85	70	85	100	155	335	37
	Reichart	40	70	40	85	100	40	40	85	55	85	55	55	100	155	380	37
Iowa	Cattman	70	70	40	55	100	40	40	70	40	70	55	55	100	175	375	38
	Groeneveld	85	55	55	55	85	55	40	70	25	70	55	85	100	170	375	39
	Moses	85	70	40	55	100	55	55	55	40	70	70	70	85	180	360	38
Wis.	Jensen	85	85	55	85	85	25	25	70	40	70	70	55	100	165	390	39
	Schmidling	85	40	70	85	55	40	40	100	85	70	40	70	100	150	260	31
	Meyer	70	55	85	55	55	25	25	70	55	85	40	40	100	150	255	29

21st ANNUAL INTERCOLLEGIATE POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST

Chicago, Illinois - November 30, 1940

Institution

Kansas State College
Purdue University
University of Illinois
Texas A & M College
University of Nebraska
University of Minnesota
University of Missouri
University of Arizona
South Dakota State College
University of Arkansas
Pennsylvania State College
Oklahoma A & M College
Michigan State College
Mississippi State College
University of Wisconsin

Coach

Professor H. M. Scott
Professor E. E. Schnetzler
Professor T. B. Avery
Professor E. D. Parnell
Professor H. E. Alder
Professor T. H. Canfield
Professor E. M. Funk
Professor Harry Embleton
Professor W. O. Wilson
Professor R. M. Smith
Professor P. H. Margolf
Professor Robert Penquite
Professor F. N. Barrett
Professor G. R. Sipe
Professor C. E. Holmes

Kansas State College Winning Team

Front row: Howard Carnahan; William Winner; Ray Morrison
Back row: H. M. Scott, Coach; Wilbert Greer



Twenty-first Midwest Intercollegiate

Poultry Judging Contest

1940

Packet contains:

1. Instructions to coaches and contestants from Dr. L. E. Card, University of Illinois, Contest Manager.
2. Minutes of meeting of the coaches November 29, 1940, Hotel Chicagoan.
3. Minutes of meeting of the coaches November 30, 1940.
4. Schedule of Marketing Tour.
5. List of donors of trophies, medals, and cash.
6. Amendments of Rules and Regulations.
7. Rules and Regulations.

Make-up of Contest:

Exhibition Judging - Five four-bird rings

White Wyandotte Pullets
White Leghorn Cockerels
Rhode Island Red Cockerels
Rhode Island Red Pullets
Barred Plymouth Rock Pullets

Production Judging - Five four-bird rings

Barred Plymouth Rock Yearling Hens
White Leghorn Yearling Hens
Rhode Island Red Yearling Hens
White Leghorn Yearling Hens
White Plymouth Rock Yearling Hens

Market Products

Live Market Fowl - 25 fowl to be graded according
to U.S. Grades for Live Poultry

Dressed Market Fowl - 25 fowl and 25 roasters graded
according to U.S. Grades

Market Eggs - 50 eggs to be candled and graded accord-
ing to U.S. Standards for Individual Eggs

INTERCOLLEGIATE POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST
Chicago - November 30, 1940

Information for Contestants

Place: Quaker Oats Co. Research Laboratory, 345 East 25th Street, on the corner of 25th Street and Cottage Grove Avenue. Please use the east door on Cottage Grove Avenue. Board a southbound Cottage Grove or Stony Island street car at Madison and Wabash and get off at 25th Street.

Time: Report promptly at 7:45 a.m. for instructions. Judging will start at 8:00 a.m. and continue until 2:00 p.m.

Order of Judging: The following schedule will apply to all teams.

Period	Man No. 1	Man No. 2	Man No. 3
8:00 - 10:00	Exhibition & Production	-----	Market Products
10:00 - 12:00	Market Products	Exhibition & Production	-----
12:00 - 2:00	-----	Market Products	Exhibition & Production

Team number is determined by lot. Contestant's number on a team is determined by his coach. When judging exhibition and production rings, the men from Teams 1 to 5 and 11 to 15 will work on exhibition during the first hour and on production during the second hour. Men from Teams 6 to 10 will do just the reverse. This means that all exhibition rings will be judged before going to production, or vice versa. Each contestant will have 12 minutes to judge each ring and record his placing. A warning will be given two minutes before each shift. Be sure to record the ring number, the kind of birds, your name and number, and your team, on every card turned in.

When judging market products, the men from Teams 1 to 5 and 11 to 15 will work on poultry during the first hour and on eggs during the second hour. The men from Teams 6 to 10 will reverse this order. Thirty minutes will be allowed for grading the dressed poultry, thirty minutes for grading the live poultry, and one hour for candling and grading the eggs. All members of any team will work on the same lot of eggs. Rough handling of eggs by any contestant will therefore penalize his own team-mates.

Every contestant is expected to attend the banquet at 6:30 p.m. on Floor A in the Hotel Chicagoan. Results will be announced and the awards made at that time.

-000-

LECs1
11-18-40
AH952

INTERMEDIATE POWER JUDGING CONTEST Chicago - November 30, 1940

Information for Contestants

Place: James Otto Co., Research Laboratory, 242 East 75th Street, on the corner of 82nd Street and Cottage Grove Avenue. Please use the east door on Cottage Grove Avenue. Board a southbound Cottage Grove or Bloor Island street car at Madison and Wabash and get off at 82nd Street.

Time: Report promptly at 7:45 a.m. for instructions. Judging will start at 8:00 a.m. and continue until 2:00 p.m.

Order of Judging: The following schedule will apply to all teams.

Period	Team No. 1	Team No. 2	Team No. 3
8:00 - 10:00	Exhibition & Production		Market Products
10:00 - 12:00	Market Products	Exhibition & Production	
12:00 - 2:00		Market Products	Exhibition & Production

Team number is determined by lot. Contestants number on a team is determined by his coach. When judging exhibition and production rings, the first team to 5 and 11 to 12 will work on exhibition during the first hour and on production during the second hour. Then from Team 6 to 10 will do just the reverse. This means that all exhibition rings will be judged before going to production, or vice versa. Each contestant will have 15 minutes to judge each ring and record his placing. A warning will be given two minutes before each shift. Be sure to record the ring number, the kind of birds, your name and number, and your team, on every card turned in.

When judging market products, the men from Team 1 to 5 and 11 to 12 will work on poultry during the first hour and on eggs during the second hour. The men from Team 6 to 10 will reverse this order. Thirty minutes will be allowed for grading the dressed poultry. Thirty minutes for grading the live poultry, and one hour for canning and grading the eggs. All members of any team will work on the same lot of eggs. Rough handling of eggs by any contestant will eliminate his team from the contest.

Every contestant is expected to attend the banquet at 6:30 p.m. on Friday in the Hotel Chalmers. Banquet will be announced and the awards made at that time.

Coaches Meeting - Intercollegiate Poultry Judging Contest.
Hotel Chicagoan, Chicago, Ill.
Nov. 29, 1940

Meeting called to order by Dr. L. E. Card at 4:15 p.m.
Fifteen Coaches were present at the meeting. Discussion
of the rules of the contest, etc.

Alder moved and Penquite seconded that in grading live and
dressed poultry Mr. Hamann's judgement be accepted as final.
It was announced by Canfield that in judging the dressed
market classes open crops were to be disregarded. Sipe
moved and Parnell seconded that in judging exhibition classes
a two-minute interval be allowed at the beginning and at the
end of the period when the birds must be left in the coops.
Motion carried.

Moved by Embleton and seconded by Barrett that for the
production classes a two-minute interval be allowed at the
beginning of the period when the birds must be left in the
coops. Motion carried.

Moved by Parnell and seconded by Schnetzler that the Hotel
Chicagoan be used as headquarters for the poultry judging
contest in 1941. Motion carried.

Moved by Schnetzler and seconded by Alder that for 1940
in evaluating the market division eggs count one-half,
live market one-fourth, dressed market one-fourth and that
the market division count one-third in the total contest.

Adjourned.

E. M. Funk, Secretary

Coaches Meeting - International Poultry Judging Contest.
Hotel Chicago, Chicago, Ill.
Nov. 29, 1940

Meeting called to order by Dr. L. E. Gerd at 4:15 p.m.
Fifteen Coaches were present at the meeting. Discussion
of the rules of the contest, etc.

Alder moved and Pendleton seconded that in grading live and
dressed poultry Mr. Kershner's judgment be accepted as final.
It was announced by Garfield that in judging the dressed
market classes open crops were to be disregarded. Birds
moved and Farnell seconded that in judging exhibition classes
a two-minute interval be allowed at the beginning and at the
end of the period when the birds must be left in the coops.
Motion carried.

Moved by Pendleton and seconded by Barker that for the
production classes a two-minute interval be allowed at the
beginning of the period when the birds must be left in the
coops. Motion carried.

Moved by Farnell and seconded by Bonnetier that the Hotel
Chicago be used as headquarters for the poultry judging
contest in 1941. Motion carried.

Moved by Bonnetier and seconded by Alder that for 1940
in evaluating the market division eggs count one-half,
live market one-fourth, dressed market one-fourth and that
the market division count one-third in the total contest.

Adjourned.

F. M. Funk, Secretary

Intercollegiate Poultry Judging Contest, Chicago, Illinois

Coaches Meeting, 9 p.m., Nov. 30, 1940

Dr. L. E. Card Presiding. A list of donors of prizes was distributed to the coaches. Dr. Card announced that each coach should write a letter of thanks to Dr. F. N. Peters, Director of Research, Quaker Oats Company, Board of Trade Bldg, Chicago. It was suggested that each student who won a cash award should write two donors. "Chick" Phillips suggested each coach writing donors designated by Dr. Card should mention that they are writing as a representative of the 15 college teams entered in the contest.

Dr. Card called for suggestions for the market inspection trip and polled the coaches for their preferences as to places to go on the market trip.

Dr. Card asked if the production classes were satisfactory and the response of the coaches indicated that the basis of selection was satisfactory.

Moved by Parnell and seconded by Alder that the value of each division be the same in 1941 as in 1940. Motion carried.

Moved by Schnetzler and seconded by Parnell that the teams consist of three members and one or two alternates. Motion carried.

It was suggested by Scott that in 1941 the dressed market classes be judged in a cooler if such facilities could be obtained.

Moved by Parnell and seconded by Alder that the report of the rules committee be accepted with the above mentioned changes.

Moved by Wilson and seconded by Schnetzler that (if feasible) a class of White Plymouth Rock males be included in the contest. Motion carried.

✓ Moved by Penquite and seconded by Schnetzler that a committee be appointed to develop plans for introducing the selection of breeding stock into the contest. Motion carried.

Moved by Parnell and seconded by Alder that the meeting adjourn.

E. M. Funk, Secretary

Intercollegiate Football Contest, Chicago, Illinois

Cowden Meeting, 9 p.m., Nov. 30, 1940

Dr. L. E. Card, President, a list of donors of prizes was distributed to the coaches. Dr. Card announced that each coach should write a letter of thanks to Dr. L. E. Card, Director of Research, United States Company, Board of Trade, Chicago. It was suggested that each student who won a cash award should write two donors. "Click" Williams suggested each coach writing donors suggested by Dr. Card should mention that they are writing as a representative of the 15 college teams entered in the contest.

Dr. Card called for suggestions for the market inspection trip and polled the coaches for their preference as to places to go on the market trip.

Dr. Card asked if the production classes were satisfactory and the response of the coaches indicated that the basis of selection was satisfactory.

Moved by Parnell and seconded by Alder that the value of each division be the same in 1941 as in 1940. Motion carried.

Moved by Schnetzler and seconded by Parnell that the teams consist of three members and one or two alternates. Motion carried.

It was suggested by Scott that in 1941 the dressed market classes be judged in a cooler if such facilities could be obtained.

Moved by Parnell and seconded by Alder that the report of the rules committee be accepted with the above mentioned changes.

Moved by Wilson and seconded by Schnetzler that (if feasible) a class of White Plymouth Rock males be included in the contest. Motion carried.

Moved by Pennington and seconded by Schnetzler that a committee be appointed to develop plans for introducing the selection of breeding stock into the contest. Motion carried.

Moved by Parnell and seconded by Alder that the meeting adjourn.

R. M. Rank, Secretary

SCHEDULE FOR MARKET TOUR -- COLLEGE POULTRY JUDGING TEAMS

Friday, November 29th, 1940

Assemble at Hotel Chicagoan-----7:40 A.M.

First Stop

The Peter Fox Sons Company, 1122 W. Fulton Market.-----8:00 A.M.

(Wholesale turkey house)

Take Madison Street Car west getting off at Racine Avenue. Walk back east one block then walk four blocks north. (Will cross the Randolph Street market district on way north) Contact Mr. Peter Fox, Jr.

Second Stop

Chicago Poultry Board, 854 Fulton Market.-----8:30 A.M.

Walk three blocks east along Fulton Market. Contact Mr. J. Cohen.

Third Stop

Sherman Hotel, Randolph & Clark Streets.-----10:00 A.M.

Walk one block south and take Lake Street Car east to Clark Street. Walk one block south on Clark to Hotel Sherman entrance. Contact Mr. Roberts at Bell Captain's desk.

Fourth Stop

Chicago Mercantile Exchange, 110 North Franklin Street-----10:40 A. M.

Walk west on Randolph Street from Sherman Hotel to Franklin and then south one block. Contact Mr. Lloyd S. Tenny.

Fifth Stop

Poultry and Egg National Board, Room 1206 Mercantile Exchange

Building-----11:10 A.M.
Contact Mr. Homer Huntington.

Sixth Stop

Chicago Board of Trade, 141 W. Jackson Blvd.-----11:45 A.M.

Walk four blocks south on Franklin and two blocks east on Jackson. Contact Public Relations Dept.

Seventh Stop

Swift & Company, U.S. Yards, Administration Building 1:00 P.M.

Swift & Company will provide complimentary luncheon and a short program. Contact Mr. W. J. Wilson.


To get to Swift & Company take South Side Elevated to Indiana Avenue and change to Stock Yards Car; get off at Swift Station.

The tour will be under the direction of Professor E. M. Funk of the University of Missouri and Dr. H. M. Scott of Kansas State College. The tour was arranged by Mr. E. B. Heaton of the Institute of American Poultry Industries.

TO THE
FRUIT AND VEGETABLE SHIPPERS AND RECEIVERS
FROM THE
CHICAGO PRODUCE TERMINAL CO.



Interior view of Auction House Building, 800 feet long, 100 feet wide, where 145 carloads of fruit can be displayed at one time.



View of section of team tracks showing ample concrete driveways where 800 cars of perishable freight can be inspected and unloaded at one time.

The Chicago Produce Terminal, owned and operated jointly by the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe and Illinois Central Railroads, is located at 27th Street and Ashland Avenue, Chicago.

THE TERMINAL HAS THE FOLLOWING FACILITIES:

AUCTION HOUSE:	For the handling of all fruits sold at auction.
TEAM TRACK:	For the handling of fruits and vegetables requiring delivery direct from car.
POTATO YARD:	Consisting of hold and inspection tracks, sales tracks, team tracks, covered platform for rehandling, and office building for potato dealers.
WATERMELON YARD:	Consisting of sales tracks, inspection tracks and office building for use of dealers.
HOLD AND INSPECTION YARD:	} For all cars requiring inspection or cars on which disposition has not been given.
INSPECTION PLATFORMS:	
	For making convenient doorway inspections.

The Chicago Produce Terminal, which represents a total investment of approximately \$12,000,000, is strictly modern in all respects and offers the most adequate facilities for the prompt and satisfactory handling of fresh fruits and vegetables, whether in packages or in bulk.

The constant increasing heavy business indicates the Terminal's advantages, some of which are outlined in the following paragraphs, are appreciated by the shippers and receivers:

CONCENTRATION—By having all cars consigned to one compact yard in the heart of Chicago, instead of various yards located in different parts of the Chicago district, eliminates the necessity for the receiver communicating with or making a trip to more than one yard. Inspection can be conveniently handled in the minimum time and immediate disposition of the shipment ordered. Buyers can be notified more quickly and trading completed in shorter time.

RECONSIGNMENTS—By reason of all railroads having direct connection with the Chicago Produce Terminal with their own power at 31st Street and Western Avenue, reconsignments can be accomplished late in the day and the cars moved out of Chicago the same evening. Another important arrangement which is in effect is diversions filed with the Chicago Produce Terminal by 10:30 A. M., Standard Time, will make connections with early afternoon trains of important Eastern lines, thereby assuring a saving in time in the movement of cars.

The Chicago Produce Terminal is capable of handling all perishable fruits and vegetables moving to or through Chicago. There is plenty of room for expansion, and provision will be made for increasing tonnage as the need arises.

Chicago's leading carlot receivers have approved the Chicago Produce Terminal plan of handling their perishable freight—thereby assuring themselves of greater convenience and time-saving by concentration of their cars in this great Terminal.

The South Water Market headquarters of the city's produce trade, is only a short distance from the Chicago Produce Terminal.

The Produce Terminal is also conveniently located to the South State Street and Randolph Street Markets.

JOINT AGENCY—The Chicago Produce Terminal Company maintains a joint agency, presided over by Agent E. L. Kemp, to which the following roads are parties:

A. T. & S. F.	C. C. C. & St. L.	I. C. R. R.	Soo Line
B. & O.	C. & A.	Grand Trunk	N. Y. C.
C. B. & Q.	C. & E. I.	I. H. B.	Pennsylvania
C. G. W.	C. & N. W.	M. C.	Pere Marquette
C. M. St. P. & P.	C. & O.	Monon	Wabash
C. R. I. & P.	Erie	N. K. P.	

This means that the billing for the cars will be in the hands of one centrally located agency and can be promptly handled after the cars are reconsigned for beyond or for deliveries within the Terminal. It adds considerably to the convenience of the receiver in being able to pay his freight charges at one station.

Advantages of prompt delivery from the hold yard to the team tracks or auction house requiring not to exceed thirty minutes to handle the car to these deliveries. The Chicago Produce Terminal for the past six years of its operation has developed highly trained Operating, Supervising and Switching forces, whose sole job is handling of fruit and vegetable traffic and who are fully alive to the importance of quick handling. Plenty of power and force is available to give superior service.

The Chicago Produce Terminal is open to all Produce receivers and handles shipments from all railroads on a switching basis. It is strategically located and all Chicago trunk lines move shipments to and from it with their own locomotives. This is the first universal produce terminal constructed in any large city in the country, having been in operation since November, 1925.

The above information regarding facilities of the Chicago Produce Terminal is furnished to acquaint other shippers with the situation, anticipating that they, also, will desire to make use of these extensive facilities, not only for Chicago deliveries, but for their through shipments which they may desire held in Chicago Produce Terminal Company's hold and concentration yard for possible inspection and for reconsignment.

Copies of the Chicago Produce Terminal Company's Local Freight Tariff No. 1 E (I. C. C. No. 6) effective November 20, 1931, and current supplements, are obtainable through the General Freight Offices of the Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway and the Illinois Central Railroad at Chicago.

SHIPPERS AND RECEIVERS

In order to avail themselves of these facilities and secure advantage of concentration and fast diversion service, should bill all their cars of fruits and vegetables destined Chicago, or diverted enroute to Chicago:

Care of

CHICAGO PRODUCE TERMINAL

Last Word in Railroad Perishable Facilities

WE APPRECIATE YOUR PATRONAGE

Address Inquiries to

CHICAGO PRODUCE TERMINAL COMPANY

2700 South Ashland Avenue, Chicago, Illinois

R. W. Swartz, Superintendent

CHICAGO PRODUCE TERMINAL

OPEN TO ALL RAILROADS, SHIPPERS AND RECEIVERS

COMPLETE FACILITIES AND SERVICES COMPRISE

PUBLIC TEAM TRACKS:

For delivery of packages and bulk fruits and vegetables.

POTATO YARD:

For the exclusive use of potato dealers.

AUCTION HOUSE:

For delivery of all fruit for auction.

WATERMELON YARD:


Consisting of sales tracks, inspection tracks and office building for use of dealers.

CONCENTRATION, HOLD and INSPECTION YARDS:

For concentration of fruits and vegetables at one terminal with real economies to trade. For holding, inspection, icing and re-conignment.

CLASSIFICATION YARDS:

For prompt receipt and classification; also quick direct delivery to Chicago Trunk Lines.



This mammoth and modern Terminal has been constructed by the Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe and Illinois Central railroads in harmonious collaboration with the Chicago receivers and shippers of the country.

These lines are vitally interested in its success and assure shippers, receivers and distributors of efficient and whole-hearted co-operation on business billed in care of the Chicago Produce Terminal.

DONORS OF TROPHIES, MEDALS, AND CASH
TWENTY-FIRST MIDWEST INTERCOLLEGIATE POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS, NOVEMBER 30, 1940

Donors of Trophies and Medals

- Challenge Trophy - Institute of American Poultry Industries
110 North Franklin Street
Chicago, Illinois
(E. B. Heaton, Managing Director)
- Permanent Trophy for Winning Team - ✓ Purina Mills
Ralston Purina Company
St. Louis, Missouri
(Earl A. Sindecuse, Director
Educational Service Division)
- Trophy for Best Team in Exhibition - ✓ Allied Mills, Inc.
Board of Trade Building
Chicago, Illinois
(A. G. Philips, Vice President)
- Trophy for Best Team in Production - ✓ Poultry Tribune
Mt. Morris, Illinois
(J. W. Watt, Publisher)
- Trophy for Best Team in Market Products - ✓ Cudahy Packing Company
211 North LaSalle Street
Chicago, Illinois
(D. R. Long, Produce Department)
- Medals for Exhibition Judging - ✓ The G. E. Conkey Company
Cleveland, Ohio
(R. O. Bate, Advertising Manager)
- Medals for Production Judging - ✓ American Poultry Journal
536 South Clark Street
Chicago, Illinois
(Ralston R. Hannas, Editor)
- Medals for Market Products Judging - ✓ Hales and Hunter Company
166 West Jackson Boulevard
Chicago, Illinois
(L. G. Neel, Manager
Poultry Mash Department)
- Electric Clocks - ✓ Makomb Steel Products Company
Macomb, Illinois
(D. B. Tolley, Sales Manager)

✓ = letters for 1941 contest
sent 10-17-41

DONORS OF TROPHIES, MEDALS, AND CASH
TWENTY-FIRST MIDWEST INTERCOLLEGIATE POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS, NOVEMBER 30, 1940

Donors of Trophies and Medals

Challenge Trophy - Institute of American Poultry Industries
110 North Franklin Street
Chicago, Illinois
(E. B. Heaton, Managing Director)

Permanent Trophy for Winning Team - Purina Mills
Ralston Purina Company
St. Louis, Missouri
(Earl A. Sindhause, Director
Educational Service Division)

Trophy for Best Team in Exhibition - Allied Mills, Inc.
Board of Trade Building
Chicago, Illinois
(A. G. Phillips, Vice President)

Trophy for Best Team in Production - Poultry Tribune
Mt. Morris, Illinois
(J. W. Watt, Publisher)

Trophy for Best Team in Market Products - Cudahy Packing Company
211 North LaSalle Street
Chicago, Illinois
(D. R. Long, Produce Department)

Medals for Exhibition Judging - The G. E. Conkey Company
Cleveland, Ohio
(R. O. Bate, Advertising Manager)

Medals for Production Judging - American Poultry Journal
536 South Clark Street
Chicago, Illinois
(Ralston R. Hannas, Editor)

Medals for Market Products Judging - Hayes and Hunter Company
166 West Jackson Boulevard
Chicago, Illinois
(L. G. Neel, Manager
Poultry Mash Department)

Electric Clocks - Macomb Steel Products Company
Macomb, Illinois
(D. B. Tolley, Sales Manager)

Donors of Cash

- 9 - ✓ ~~American Butter Company~~ *Corophyl Laboratories, Inc.
Greenbuck Division*
2438 Broadway
Kansas City, Missouri
(~~M. M. Bowman~~) *Bruce Varney*
- 1 - ✓ American Dry Milk Institute, Inc.
Chicago, Illinois *221 N. La Salle St
Feed Service*
(C. W. Sievert)
- 2 - ✓ Anderson Box Company
700 West Morris Street
Indianapolis, Indiana
(Norb Schaefer, General Manager)
- 3 - ✓ Arcady Farms Milling Company
223 West Jackson Boulevard
Chicago, Illinois
(W. D. Walker, ~~Vice~~ President)
- 4 - ✓ Barker Poultry Equipment Company
Ottumwa, Iowa
(Harry E. Drews)
- 5 - ✓ The Borden Company *H. A. Bittenbender, Dir.
Paul. & An. Nutr. Special Products Div.*
350 Madison Avenue
New York, New York
(C. F. Kieser)
- 6 - ✓ Brower Manufacturing Company
209-215 North Third Street
Quincy, Illinois
(Paul W. Silvey, Advertising Manager)
- 7 - ✓ Buckeye Incubator Company
Springfield, Ohio
(A. R. Hill, President)
- 8 - ✓ Carus Chemical Company
1375 Eighth Street
LaSalle, Illinois
(C. A. Mazzuchelli)
- ✓ Consolidated Products Company
Danville, Illinois
(Dan Lewis, President)
- ✓ Cugley Incubator Company
White Pigeon, Michigan
(A. L. Eckerle, Assistant General Manager)
- ✓ The Cyclone Manufacturing Company
Urbana, Indiana
(E. C. Faust, Vice President)

✓ = letter sent for
1941 contest
10-30+31-41

Donors of Cash

The Cyclone Manufacturing Company
Urbana, Indiana
(E. C. Faust, Vice President)

(A. L. Eckert, Assistant General Manager)
White Pigeon, Michigan
Gugley Incubator Company

(Dan Lewis, President)
Danville, Illinois
Consolidated Products Company

(G. A. Mazzuchelli)
LaSalle, Illinois
1375 Eighth Street
Carra Chemical Company

(A. R. Hill, President)
Springfield, Ohio
Buckeye Incubator Company

(Paul W. Silvey, Advertising Manager)
Quincy, Illinois
209-215 North Third Street
Brower Manufacturing Company

(G. F. Kieser)
New York, New York
350 Madison Avenue
The Borden Company

(Harry E. Drews)
Ottumwa, Iowa
Barber Poultry Equipment Company

(W. D. Walker, Vice President)
Chicago, Illinois
223 West Jackson Boulevard
Arcady Farms Milling Company

(Norb Schaefer, General Manager)
Indianapolis, Indiana
700 West Morris Street
Anderson Box Company

(C. W. Sievert)
Chicago, Illinois
American Dry Milk Institute, Inc.

(M. M. Bowman)
Kansas City, Missouri
2438 Broadway
American Butter Company

*Copyrighted by the
American Butter Company*

- ✓ Godchaux Sugars, Inc.
New Orleans, Louisiana
(Walter Godchaux, Vice President)
- ✓ Hubbard Milling Company
Mankato, Minnesota *(E. J. Cashman)*
- ✓ James Manufacturing Company
Fort Atkinson, Wisconsin
(B. F. Zaffke, Manager, Poultry Division)
- ✓ Kraft Cheese Company
(Ward Milk Products Division)
500 Peshtigo Court
Chicago, Illinois
(C. M. Peterson, General Manager)
- ✓ Masonite Corporation
111 West Washington Street
Chicago, Illinois
(H. M. Ward)
- ✓ McMillen Feed Mills, Inc.
300 Old First National Bank Building
Fort Wayne, Indiana
(R. P. O'Brien, Vice President)
- ✓ Mid-West Metal Products Company
Muncie, Indiana
(Earl F. Smith, President)
- 2 ✓ Nutrena Mills, Inc.
Kansas City, Kansas
(O. M. Straube, President)
- ✓ Roy G. Miller, Inc.
Mommouth, Illinois
(Roy G. Miller, President)
- ✓ The National Ideal Company
914 Summit Street
Toledo, Ohio *Out*
(W. L. Krapp, President)
(Joint donation from National Ideal Company and Warner Brooder Company)
- ✓ The Oakes Manufacturing Company
Tipton, Indiana
(George A. Spencer, Sales Manager)
- ✓ Oyster Shell Products Corporation
3615 Olive Street
St. Louis, Missouri
(W. J. Westerman)

- ✓ Godchaux Sugars, Inc.
New Orleans, Louisiana
(Walter Godchaux, Vice President)
- ✓ Hubbard Milling Company
Minnetonka, Minnesota
(J. B. Hubbard, President)
- ✓ James Manufacturing Company
Fort Atkinson, Wisconsin
(B. F. Zeffke, Manager, Poultry Division)
- ✓ Kraft Cheese Company
(Ward Milk Products Division)
500 Residing Court
Chicago, Illinois
(C. M. Peterson, General Manager)
- ✓ Masonite Corporation
111 West Washington Street
Chicago, Illinois
(H. M. Ward)
- ✓ McMillen Feed Mills, Inc.
300 Old First National Bank Building
Fort Wayne, Indiana
(R. P. O'Brien, Vice President)
- ✓ Mid-West Metal Products Company
Muncie, Indiana
(Earl F. Smith, President)
- ✓ Nutrena Mills, Inc.
Kansas City, Kansas
(O. M. Strube, President)
- ✓ Roy G. Miller, Inc.
Morton, Illinois
(Roy G. Miller, President)
- ✓ The National Ideal Company
914 Summit Street
Toledo, Ohio
(W. L. Kripp, President)
(Joint donation from National Ideal Company and
Warner Brooder Company)
- ✓ The Oakes Manufacturing Company
Tipton, Indiana
(George A. Spencer, Sales Manager)
- ✓ Oyster Shell Products Corporation
3015 Olive Street
St. Louis, Missouri
(W. J. Westerman)

- ✓ Perfection Egg Grader Company, Inc.
P. O. Box 406
Capac, Michigan
(G. E. Drawe)
- ✓ Robbins Incubator Company
Denver, Colorado
(David M. Vaughan, Sales Manager)
- ✓ Stone Mountain Grit Company, Inc.
Lithonia, Georgia
(Charles L. Davidson, President)
- ✓ Tobacco By-Products & Chemical Corporation
Louisville, Kentucky
(George F. Leonard, Vice President & Sales Manager)
- ✓ Wabash Fibre Box Company
Terre Haute, Indiana
(~~Kent Lenzen~~) *A.C. Moore*
- ✓ National Band and Tag Company
Newport, Kentucky
(Oliver J. Haas) *Out*

- ✓ - Perfection Egg Grader Company, Inc.
P. O. Box 406
Gapac, Michigan
(G. E. Drawe)
- ✓ - Robbins Incubator Company
Denver, Colorado
(David M. Vaughan, Sales Manager)
- ✓ - Stone Mountain Grit Company, Inc.
Lithonia, Georgia
(Charles L. Davidson, President)
- ✓ - Tobacco By-Products & Chemical Corporation
Louisville, Kentucky
(George F. Leonard, Vice President & Sales Manager)
- ✓ - Wabash Fibre Box Company
Terre Haute, Indiana
(Kent Hansen) *(D.L. Hansen)*
- ✓ - National Band and Tag Company
Newport, Kentucky
(*James H. ...*)

RECEIVED

NOV 22 1940

ANIMAL HUSBANDRY

AMENDMENTS

These rules may be amended by a two-third vote of the coaches present at a regular meeting which shall be held annually following the banquet held at the close of the contest. They may also be amended by a two-thirds vote by mail of the institutions to be represented in any contest, provided such vote is completed in time to be announced to all competing institutions at least thirty days prior to the contest.

1. Conduct the contest according to the Rules and Regulations now in Force.

Yes. No. (Circle your choice)

2. Conduct the contest according to the Rules and Regulations now in force adopting the amendments suggested by the Revision Committee.

Yes. No. (Circle your choice)

Mail to: Robert Penquite
Poultry Department
Oklahoma A. & M. College
Stillwater, Oklahoma

POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST
Rules and Regulations now in force

Name

Midwest Intercollegiate Poultry Judging Contest

Place and Date

Chicago, Illinois, on the Saturday after Thanksgiving, unless decided otherwise by a two-thirds vote of the institutions directly concerned.

Organization of the Contest

There shall be three divisions of the contest, and they shall have equal weight in determining all-around scores for individual and team placings. These divisions shall consist of the following:

- a) Exhibition poultry. Five rings of birds to be judged on the basis of exhibition quality in accordance with the Standard of Perfection. If suitable birds can be obtained, these rings shall consist of White Leghorn cockerels, ~~light~~ Barred Plymouth Rock pullets, Rhode Island Red cockerels, Rhode Island Red pullets, and White Wyandotte pullets.
- b) Production poultry. Five rings of yearling hens to be judged for egg production. If suitable birds can be obtained, there shall be two rings of White Leghorns and the other three rings one each of either Rhode Island Reds, White Wyandottes, White Plymouth Rocks, or Barred Plymouth Rocks.
- c) Market poultry and eggs. Two rings of live poultry and three rings of dressed poultry to be judged on the basis of market quality. The live poultry shall consist of heavy fowl and heavy roasters. The rings of dressed poultry shall be selected from heavy fowl, light fowl, heavy roasters, and light roasters. There shall be 50 eggs to be placed individually by candling. These eggs shall be divided into four classes, "U. S. Extras", "U. S. Standards", "U. S. Trades", and "Inedibles", according to the United States Standards of quality for individual eggs, except that size, shape, cleanliness, shell texture, and mobility of air cell shall not be considered.

Method of Determining Awards

Contestants' placings shall be scored on a basis of 100 for agreement with the official placing and a deduction of 15 points for inversion of any two birds. All pairs shall be considered to be "normal" unless designated as "close" or "very close" in the official placings. Close pairs shall be subject to a deduction of 10 points, and very close pairs 5 points, provided they are simply inverted and are not separated by another bird. In the latter case the regular 15-point cut shall apply.

Highway Intercollegiate Poultry Judging Contest

Place and Date

Chicago, Illinois, on the Saturday after Thanksgiving, unless decided otherwise by a two-thirds vote of the institutions directly concerned.

Organization of the Contest

There shall be three divisions of the contest, and they shall have equal weight in determining all-around scores for individuals. These divisions shall consist of the following:

a) Exhibition Poultry. Five rings of birds to be judged on the basis of exhibition quality in accordance with the Standard of Perfection. It suitable birds can be obtained, these rings shall consist of White Leghorn cockerels, light Bantam, Plymouth Rock pullets, Rhode Island Red cockerels, and Game Fowls.

b) Production Poultry. Five rings of yearling hens to be judged for egg production. It suitable birds can be obtained, there shall be five rings of White Leghorns and the other three rings one each of either Rhode Island Reds, White Wyandottes, White Plymouth Rocks, or Bantam.

c) Market Poultry and Eggs. Two rings of live poultry and three rings of dressed poultry to be judged on the basis of market quality. The live poultry shall consist of heavy fowl and heavy roasters. The rings of dressed poultry shall be selected from heavy fowl, light fowl, heavy roasters, and light roasters. There shall be 30 eggs to be judged individually by canning. These eggs shall be divided into four classes: "U. S. Extra", "U. S. Standard", "U. S. First", and "Medicine", according to the United States Standard of Quality for individual eggs, except that size, shape, cleanliness, shell texture, and mobility of air cell shall not be considered.

Method of Determining Results

Contestants' places shall be scored on a basis of 100 for agreement with the official placing and a deduction of 15 points for invasion of any two birds. All pairs shall be considered to be "normal" unless designated as "close" or "very close" in the official placings. Those pairs shall be subject to a deduction of 10 points, and very close pairs 5 points, provided they are simply inverted and are not separated by egg-laying. In the latter case the regular 15-point one shall apply.

The exhibition rings shall be placed by a committee of three coaches, whose decision shall be final.

The production rings shall be placed in the order of total egg production, including all eggs from the time of first egg until shipped to contest. Each ring shall have been selected to conform with the following specifications insofar as possible.

- a) The four birds shall be hatched at about the same time, preferably within a range of two weeks.
- b) All four birds shall have started to lay within a range of one month.
- c) All four birds shall have been given similar rations and housing conditions.
- d) Each pair shall differ by a minimum of 20 eggs and preferably 25 eggs, in total egg production.
- e) In the selection of hens, no attempt is to be made to choose "placeable" rings. Official placings are to be in the order of actual egg records, without regard to the appearance or handling quality of the birds so long as all are in good physical condition.

The rings of market poultry shall be placed by the judging committee already mentioned, any close pairs to be indicated by the committee in accordance with their findings.

The market eggs shall be selected by a competent egg grader, and classified according to the U. S. Standards of quality for individual eggs. The eggs in each lot shall be numbered from 1 to 50, and each contestant shall indicate the grade in which he believes each egg should fall. Five points shall be deducted for each egg placed one grade above or below its correct classification, and ten points for each egg placed two grades above or below, as well as for "Trades" classed as "Inedibles" or "Inedible" eggs classed as "Trades"

The grades made by each contestant on market poultry and on market eggs shall be averaged to determine that contestant's score in the market products division of the contest. A perfect all-around individual score for the entire contest will, therefore, be 1500, and a perfect all-round team score will be 4500.

Tie scores shall be broken by the following considerations, in the order given:

- a) The largest number of 100 scores.
- b) The fewest scores of 55 or below.
- c) The largest number of top birds correctly placed.
- d) The largest number of bottom birds correctly placed.

The exhibition shall be placed by a committee of three members whose decision shall be final.

The production range shall be placed in the order of total egg production including all eggs from the time of first egg until hatched to contest. Each egg shall have been selected to conform with the following restrictions insofar as possible:

- a) The 1 or 2 birds shall be hatched at about the same time, preferably within a range of two weeks.
- b) All four birds shall have started to lay within a range of one month.
- c) All four birds shall have been given similar treatment and housing conditions.
- d) Each pair shall differ by a minimum of 25 eggs and preferably 50 eggs, in total egg production.
- e) In the selection of names, no attempt is to be made to choose "plausible" titles. Official placings are to be in the order of actual egg records, without regard to the appearance or handling quality of the birds so long as all are in good physical condition.

The range of market poultry shall be placed by the judging committee already mentioned, any close pairs to be indicated by the committee in accordance with their findings.

The market eggs shall be selected by a contestant egg trader, and classified according to the U.S. standards of quality for individual eggs. The eggs in each lot shall be numbered from 1 to 50, and each contestant shall indicate the grade in which he believes each egg should fall. Five points shall be deducted for each egg placed one grade above or below its correct classification, and ten points for each egg placed two grades above or below, as well as for "Tremas" classed as "Inedibles" or "Inedible" eggs classed as "Tremas".

The grades made by each contestant on market poultry and on market eggs shall be averaged to determine that contestant's score in the market products division of the contest. A perfect all-around individual score for the entire contest will, therefore, be 1500, and a perfect all-round team score will be 4500.

The scores shall be broken by the following considerations, in the order given:

- a) The largest number of 100 scores.
- b) The lowest scores of 25 or below.
- c) The largest number of top birds correctly placed.
- d) The largest number of bottom birds correctly placed.

Eligibility of Contestants

Each team shall consist of three students and one alternate. Membership on the team is open to any student regularly enrolled in the four year course of the institution who has been in attendance at the institution he represents for at least one full year and who at the time of the competition is regularly enrolled in the college where he has completed one year's work and whose work in his classes is of a passing grade. No student is eligible to compete more than one school year. The instructor or coach in charge of each team shall be responsible for the eligibility and credentials of that team.

Contest Procedure

The contest will commence at 8:00 a.m. on the date chosen. Contestants will be allowed 12 minutes for completing the judging of each ring and one hour for judging the eggs. A warning will be given two minutes before the end of each 12-minute period.

Each contestant shall be required to write his placings on a card which will be provided. He shall also indicate on the card his name, his team, and the class of birds being judged. The card shall be handed to the monitor at the end of the 12-minute period as the student shifts to the next ring.

After the contest has started no student judge shall be permitted to communicate with any person except the monitor in charge of his group.

As placing cards are turned in by contestants at the close of each 12-minute period they shall be delivered to the grading committee for scoring and tabulating. No persons other than the members of the grading committee and the manager of the contest shall have access to any of the scores or placings until they have been prepared for public announcement.

Committees

The manager of the contest shall appoint the following committee of coaches or other college representatives, whose duties shall be as indicated:

- 1) A judging committee of three members who shall make the official placings of the exhibition and market poultry rings. The membership of this committee shall rotate from year to year, the general plan being for one member to be replaced each year, and for each new member to serve for a three-year term.
- 2) A committee of monitors who shall have supervision of the contestants during the contest period. It shall be their duty to see that no communication takes place between contestants or between contestants and outside persons, except in the presence of a monitor or the contest manager, and then only for unusual reasons. The chairman of this committee shall designate one member to act as time-keeper. He shall also arrange for delivery

Eligibility of Contestants

Each team shall consist of three students and one alternate. Membership on the team is open to any student regularly enrolled in the four year course of the institution who has been in attendance at the institution for at least one full year and who at the time of the competition is regularly enrolled in the college where he has completed one year's work and whose work in his classes is of a passing grade. No student is eligible to compete more than one school year. The instructor or coach in charge of each team shall be responsible for the eligibility and credentials of that team.

Contest Procedures

The contest will commence at 8:00 a.m. on the date chosen. Contestants will be allowed 15 minutes for completing the judging of each ring and one hour for judging the eggs. A warning will be given two minutes before the end of each 15-minute period.

Each contestant shall be required to write his placings on a card which will be provided. He shall also indicate on the card his name, his team, and the class of birds being judged. The card shall be handed to the monitor at the end of the 15-minute period as the student enters to the next ring.

After the contest has started no student judge shall be permitted to communicate with any person except the monitor in charge of his group.

As placing cards are turned in by contestants at the close of each 15-minute period they shall be delivered to the grading committee for scoring and tabulating. No person other than the members of the grading committee and the manager of the contest shall have access to any of the scores or placings until they have been prepared for public announcement.

Committees

The manager of the contest shall appoint the following committees of coaches or other college representatives, whose duties shall be as indicated:

- 1) A judging committee of three members who shall make the official placings of the exhibition and market poultry rings. The members of this committee shall rotate from year to year. The general plan being for one member to be replaced each year, and for each new member to serve for a three-year term.
- 2) A committee of monitors who shall have supervision of the contestants during the contest period. It shall be their duty to see that no communication takes place between contestants or between contestants and outside persons, except in the presence of a monitor or the contest manager, and then only for unusual reasons. The chairman of this committee shall designate one member to act as time-keeper. He shall also arrange for delivery

of the placing cards to the grading committee as they are turned in from time to time during the contest.

- 3) An egg committee of two members who shall prepare the several lots of eggs and the candling equipment for use by the contestants.
- 4) A grading committee of three members who shall score each placing card, and tabulate the individual and team scores by divisions and for the contest as a whole. This committee shall consider its proceedings as "closed" to all persons except the contest manager until such time as the results are ready for public announcement.
- 5) A committee on arrangements consisting of the contest manager and as many others as may seem necessary or desirable, to make all arrangements for the contest, including the exact place, necessary tables and coops, rings of poultry, market eggs, prizes, headquarters hotel for the teams, the market inspection trip on the day preceding the contest, and the banquet following the contest.

Amendments

These rules may be amended by a two-third vote of the coaches present at a regular meeting which shall be held annually following the banquet held at the close of the contest. They may also be amended by a two-thirds vote by mail of the institutions to be represented in any contest, provided such vote is completed in time to be announced to all competing institutions at least thirty days prior to the contest.

of the placing cards to the grading committee as they are turned in from time to time during the contest.

3) An egg committee of two members who shall prepare the several lots of eggs and the candler equipment for use by the contestants.

4) A grading committee of three members who shall score each placing card, and tabulate the individual and team scores by divisions and for the contest as a whole. This committee shall consider the proceedings as "closed" to all persons except the contest manager until such time as the results are ready for public announcement.

5) A committee on arrangements consisting of the contest manager and as many others as may seem necessary or desirable, to make all arrangements for the contest, including the exact place, necessary tables and coops, rings of poultry, market eggs, prizes, headquarters hotel for the teams, the market inspection trip on the day preceding the contest, and the banquet following the contest.

Amendments

These rules may be amended by a two-third vote of the coaches present at a regular meeting which shall be held annually following the banquet held at the close of the contest. They may also be amended by a two-thirds vote by mail of the institutions to be represented in any contest, provided such vote is completed in time to be announced to all competing institutions at least thirty days prior to the contest.

Revised, October 29, 1940

Midwest Intercollegiate Judging Contest
Poultry Rules and Regulations

Name:

Midwest Intercollegiate Poultry Judging Contest

Place and Date

Chicago, Illinois, on the Saturday after Thanksgiving, unless decided otherwise by a two-thirds vote of the institutions directly concerned.

Organization of the contest

There shall be five divisions of the contest. These divisions shall consist of the following.

I. Exhibition Poultry

Five rings of birds to be judged on the basis of exhibition quality in accordance with the Standard of Perfection. If suitable birds can be obtained, these rings shall consist of White Leghorn cockerels, ~~Light~~ Barred Plymouth Rock pullets, Rhode Island Red pullets, Rhode Island Red cockerels, and White Wyandotte pullets.

Official Placing

The exhibition rings shall be placed by a committee of three coaches whose decision shall be final.

II. Production Poultry

Five rings of yearling hens to be judged for egg production. If suitable birds can be obtained, there shall be two rings of White Leghorns and the other three rings, one each of either Rhode Island Red, White Wyandottes, White Plymouth Rocks or Barred Plymouth Rocks.

Official Placing

The production rings shall be placed in the order of total egg production, including all eggs from the time of first egg until shipped to contest. Each ring shall have been selected to conform with the following specifications insofar as possible.

(a) The four birds shall be hatched at about the same time, preferably within a range of two weeks.

(b) All four birds shall have started to lay within a range of one month.

Revised, October 29, 1940

Midwest Intercollegiate Judging Contest
Poultry Rules and Regulations

Name:

Midwest Intercollegiate Poultry Judging Contest

Place and Date

Chicago, Illinois, on the Saturday after Thanksgiving, unless decided otherwise by a two-thirds vote of the institutions directly concerned.

Organization of the contest

There shall be five divisions of the contest. These divisions shall consist of the following:

I. Exhibition Poultry

Five rings of birds to be judged on the basis of exhibition quality in accordance with the Standard of Perfection. If suitable birds can be obtained, these rings shall consist of White Leghorns, Rhode Island Red cockerels, Light Barring Plymouth Rock pullets, Rhode Island Red pullets, Rhode Island Red cockerels, and White Wyandotte pullets.

Official Placement

The exhibition rings shall be placed by a committee of three members whose decision shall be final.

II. Production Poultry

Five rings of yearling hens to be judged for egg production. If suitable birds can be obtained, there shall be two rings of White Leghorns and the other three rings, one each of either Rhode Island Red, White Wyandottes, White Plymouth Rocks or Barring Plymouth Rocks.

Official Placement

The production rings shall be placed in the order of total egg production, including all eggs from the time of first egg until shipped to market. Each ring shall have been selected to conform with the following specifications insofar as possible.

(a) The four birds shall be hatched at about the same time, preferably within a range of two weeks.

(c) All four birds shall have started to lay within a range of one month.

(c) All four birds shall have been given similar rations and housing conditions.

(d) Production classes should be selected with a relative difference in production of 15 to 20 per cent and with a minimum production of 125 eggs.

(e) Six birds shall be sent to Chicago so that the committee can select placeable classes of four birds.

(f) In the selection of hens, no attempt is to be made to choose "Placeable" rings. Official placings are to be in the order of actual egg records without regard to the appearance or handling quality of the birds so long as all are in good physical condition.

III. Market Eggs

There shall be 50 eggs to be placed individually by candling; the eggs may be either brown or white. These shall be divided into four classes, "U. S. Extras", "U. S. Standards", "U. S. Trades", and "Inedibles" according to the United States Standards of Quality for Individual Eggs except that size, shape, cleanliness, shell texture, and mobility of air wells shall not be considered.

IV. Live Market Poultry

20 market birds, heavy fowls or heavy roasters, to be graded individually according to the U. S. Standards for Live Poultry as set up by the Agricultural Marketing Service.

V. Dressed Market Poultry

20 market birds to be graded individually according to the U. S. Standards for Dressed Poultry as set up by the Agricultural Marketing Service.

Proposed Explanation for Market Poultry (Live and Dressed)

1. Pin feathers

A bird is not considered "pinny" if pins are of sufficient length to be removed by the adhesive method of picking. Two or three small pins on the breast may be permissible but no ink spot should be showing.

2. Color and condition of comb

The color and condition of the comb is merely used as an indication of a bird's health; a bird does not have to have a bright red comb for U. S. Grade A.

(c) All four birds shall have been given similar ration and housing conditions.

(d) Protection classes should be selected with a relative difference in production of 15 to 20 per cent and with a minimum production of 125 eggs.

(e) Six birds shall be sent to Chicago so that the committee can select possible classes of four birds.

(f) In the selection of hens, no attempt is to be made to choose "placeable" types. Official placings are to be in the order of actual egg records without regard to the appearance or handling quality of the birds as long as all are in good physical condition.

XII. Market Eggs

There shall be 50 eggs to be placed individually by condition; the eggs may be either brown or white. These shall be divided into four classes, "U. S. Extra", "U. S. Standard", "U. S. Trade", and "Inedible" according to the United States Standards of Quality for Individual Eggs except that size, shape, cleanliness, shell texture, and mobility of air cells shall not be considered.

IV. Live Market Poultry

50 market birds, heavy fowls or heavy turkeys, to be marketed individually according to the U. S. Standards for Live Poultry as set up by the National Marketing Service.

V. Dressed Market Poultry

50 market birds to be marketed individually according to the U. S. Standards for Dressed Poultry as set up by the National Marketing Service.

Proposed Examination for Market Poultry (Live and Dressed)

1. Placings

A bird is not considered "pinny" if pins are of sufficient length to be removed by the adhesive method of picking. Two or three small pins on the breast may be permissible but no ink spot should be showing.

2. Color and condition of comb

The color and condition of the comb is merely used as an indication of a bird's health; a bird does not have to have a bright red comb for U. S. Grade A.

3. Skeletal deformities

Slightly dented or crooked keels are not considered as sufficient justification for the elimination of otherwise good birds from Grade B.

4. Official Placing

The market poultry shall be placed by a judging committee; any doubtful birds shall be eliminated from the contest.

Value of divisions of the contest

A perfect score in exhibition judging shall be	500
A perfect score in production judging shall be	500
A perfect score in egg candling shall be	500
A perfect score in grading live market birds shall be	250
A perfect score in grading dressed market birds shall be	250
Possible individual (Total)	2000
Possible team (Total)	6000

The scores shall be broken by the following consideration in the order given:

- (a) The largest number of 100 scores
- (b) The fewest scores of 55 or below
- (c) The largest number of top birds correctly placed
- (d) The largest number of bottom birds correctly placed

Methods of Grading the Various Divisions of the Contest

Exhibition and Production Classes

Contestants' placings shall be scored on a basis of 100 for agreement with the official placing and a deduction of 15 points for inversion of any two birds. All pairs shall be considered to be "normal" unless designated as "close" or "very close" in the official placings. "Close" pairs shall be subject to a deduction of 10 points and "very close" pairs 5 points, provided they are simply inverted and are not separated by another bird. In the latter case the regular 15 point cut shall apply.

Market Poultry and Eggs

Five points will be deducted for each egg or bird placed one grade above or below its correct classification and ten points for each egg or bird placed two grades above or below as well as for "Trades" classed as "Inedibles" and "C" birds classed as "Rejects" or "Inedible" eggs classed as "Trades" or "Rejects" classed as "C" Grade.

3. Skeletal deformities

Slightly bent or crooked limbs are not considered as sufficient justification for the elimination of otherwise good birds from Grade B

4. Official Placing

The market poultry shall be placed by a judging committee; any doubtful birds shall be eliminated from the contest.

Value of divisions of the contest

A perfect score in exhibition judging shall be 500
A perfect score in production judging shall be 500
A perfect score in egg candling shall be 500
A perfect score in grading live market birds shall be 250
A perfect score in grading dressed market birds shall be 250
Possible individual (Total) 2000
Possible team (Total) 8000

The scores shall be broken by the following consideration in the order given:

- (a) The largest number of 100 scores
- (b) The lowest scores of 25 or below
- (c) The largest number of top birds correctly placed
- (d) The largest number of bottom birds correctly placed

Method of Grading the Various Divisions of the Contest

Exhibition and Production Classes

Contestants' placings shall be scored on a basis of 100 for agreement with the official placing and a deduction of 15 points for inversion of any two birds. All pairs shall be considered to be "normal" unless designated as "close" or "very close" in the official placings. Pairs shall be subject to a deduction of 10 points and "very close" pairs 5 points, provided they are simply inverted and are not separated by another bird. In the latter case the regular 15 point cut shall apply.

Market Poultry and Eggs

Five points will be deducted for each egg or bird placed one grade above or below its correct classification and ten points for each egg or bird placed two grades above or below as for "Tresas" classed as "Inedibles" and "2" birds classed as "Rejects" or "Inedibles" eggs classed as "Tresas" or "Rejects" classed as "C" grade.

Eligibility of Contestants

Each team shall consist of three students and one alternate. Membership on the team is open to any student regularly enrolled in the four-year course of the institution who has been in attendance at the institution he represents for at least one full year and who at the time of the competition is regularly enrolled in the college where he has completed one year's work and whose work in his classes is of a passing grade. No student is eligible to compete more than one school year. The instructor or coach in charge of each team shall be responsible for the eligibility and credentials of that team.

Contest Procedure

The contest will commence at 8:00 a.m. on the date chosen. Contestants will be allowed 12 minutes for completing the judging of each ring in exhibition and production poultry, one hour for judging the eggs, and 30 minutes each for live market poultry and dressed market poultry. A warning will be given two minutes before the end of each period.

Each contestant shall be required to write his placings on a card which will be provided. He shall also indicate on the card his name, his team, and the class being judged. The card shall be handed to the monitor at the end of the period as the student shifts to the next ring or contest.

After the contest has started no student judge shall be permitted to communicate with any person except the monitor in charge of his group.

As placing cards are turned in by contestants they shall be delivered to the grading committee for scoring and tabulating. No persons other than the members of the grading committee and the manager of the contest shall have access to any of the scores or placings until they have been prepared for public announcement.

Committees

The manager of the contest shall appoint the following committee of coaches or other college representatives whose duties shall be as indicated:

- (1) a judging committee of three members who shall make the official placings of the exhibition and market poultry. The membership of this committee shall rotate from year to year, the general plan being for one member to be replaced each year and for each new member to serve for a three-year term.
- (2) An egg committee of two members who shall prepare the several lots of eggs and the candling equipment for use by the contestants.
- (3) A committee of monitors who shall have supervision of the contestants during the contest period. It shall be their duty to see

Eligibility of Contestants

Each team shall consist of three students and one alternate. Membership on the team is open to any student regularly enrolled in the four-year course of the institution who has been in attendance at the institution for at least one full year and who at the time of the competition is regularly enrolled in the college where he has completed one year's work and whose work in his classes is of a passing grade. No student is eligible to compete more than one school year. The instructor or coach in charge of each team shall be responsible for the eligibility and credentials of that team.

Contest Procedure

The contest will commence at 8:00 a.m. on the date chosen. Contestants will be allowed 15 minutes for completing the judging of each ring in exhibition and production, 30 minutes for judging the eggs, and 30 minutes each for live market poultry and dressed market poultry. A warning will be given two minutes before the end of each period. Each contestant shall be required to write his placings on a card which will be provided. He shall also indicate on the card his name, his team, and the class being judged. The card shall be handed to the monitor at the end of the period as the student shifts to the next ring or contest. After the contest has started no student judge shall be permitted to communicate with any person except the monitor in charge of his group. As placing cards are turned in by contestants they shall be delivered to the grading committee for scoring and tabulating. No persons other than the members of the grading committee and the manager of the contest shall have access to any of the scores or placings until they have been prepared for public announcement.

Committees

The manager of the contest shall appoint the following committees of coaches or other college representatives whose duties shall be as indicated:

- (1) A judging committee of three members who shall make the official placings of the exhibition and market poultry. The membership of this committee shall rotate from year to year, the general plan being for one member to be replaced each year and for each new member to serve for a three-year term.
- (2) An egg committee of two members who shall prepare the overall lots of eggs and the candling equipment for use by the contestants.
- (3) A committee of monitors who shall have supervision of the contestants during the contest period. It shall be their duty to see

that no communication takes place between contestants or between contestants and outside persons except in the presence of a monitor or the contest manager and then only for unusual reasons. The chairman of this committee shall designate one member to act as time-keeper. He shall also arrange for delivery of the placing cards to the grading committee as they are turned in from time to time during the contest.

(4) A grading committee of three members who shall score each placing card and tabulate the individual and team scores by divisions and for the contest as a whole. This committee shall consider its proceedings as "closed" to all persons except the contest manager until such time as the results are ready for public announcement.

(5) A committee on arrangements consisting of the contest manager and as many others as may seem necessary or desirable to make all arrangements for the contest including the exact place, necessary tables and coops, rings of poultry, market eggs, prizes, headquarters hotel for the teams, the market inspection trip on the day preceding the contest and the banquet following the contest.

Amendments

These rules may be amended by a two-third vote of the coaches present at a regular meeting which shall be held annually following the banquet held at the close of the contest. They may also be amended by a two-thirds vote by mail of the institutions to be represented in any contest provided such vote is completed in time to be announced to all competing institutions at least thirty days prior to the contest.

that no communication takes place between contestants or between contestants and outside persons except in the presence of a monitor on the contest manager and then only for unusual reasons. The chairman of this committee shall designate one member to act as time-keeper. He shall also arrange for delivery of the placing cards to the grading committee as they are turned in from time to time during the contest.

(4) A grading committee of three members who shall score each placing card and tabulate the individual and team scores by divisions and for the contest as a whole. This committee shall consider the proceedings as "closed" to all persons except the contest manager until such time as the results are ready for public announcement.

(5) A committee on arrangements consisting of the contest manager and as many others as may seem necessary or desirable to make all arrangements for the contest including the exact place, necessary tables and coops, rings of poultry, market eggs, prizes, headquarters hotel for the teams, the market inspection trip on the day preceding the contest and the banquet following the contest.

Amendments

These rules may be amended by a two-third vote of the coaches present at a regular meeting which shall be held annually following the banquet held at the close of the contest. They may also be amended by a two-thirds vote by mail of the institutions to be represented in any contest provided such vote is completed in time to be announced to all competing institutions at least thirty days prior to the contest.

94b
Midwest Intercollegiate Judging Contest

Poultry Rules and Regulations

Name:

Midwest Intercollegiate Poultry Judging Contest

Place and Date:

Chicago, Illinois, on the Saturday after Thanksgiving, unless decided otherwise by a two-thirds vote of the institutions directly concerned.

Organization of the contest:

There shall be five divisions of the contest. These divisions shall consist of the following:

I. Exhibition Poultry

Five rings of birds to be judged on the basis of exhibition quality in accordance with the Standard of Perfection. If suitable birds can be obtained, these rings shall consist of White Leghorn cockerels, Light Barred Plymouth Rock pullets, Rhode Island Red pullets, Rhode Island Red cockerels, and White Wyandotte pullets.

Official Placing

The exhibition rings shall be placed by a committee of three coaches whose decision shall be final.

II. Production Poultry

Five rings of yearling hens to be judged for egg production. If suitable birds can be obtained, there shall be two rings of White Leghorns and the other three rings, one each of either Rhode Island Red, White Wyandottes, White Plymouth Rocks or

Barred Plymouth Rocks

Official Placing

The production rings shall be placed in the order of total egg production, including all eggs from the time of first egg until shipped to contest. Each ring shall have been selected to conform with the following specifications insofar as possible:

- (a) The four birds shall be hatched at about the same time, preferably within a range of two weeks.
- (b) All four birds shall have started to lay within a range of one month.
- (c) All four birds shall have been given similar rations and housing conditions.
- (d) Each pair shall differ by a minimum of 20 eggs and preferably 25 eggs in total egg production.
- (e) Production classes should be selected with a relative difference in production of 15 to 20 per cent and with a minimum production of 125 eggs.
- (f) Six birds shall be sent to Chicago so that the committee can select placeable classes of four birds.
- (g) In the selection of hens, no attempt is to be made to choose "placeable" rings. Official placings are to be in the order of actual egg records without regard to the appearance or handling quality of the birds so long as all are in good physical condition.

III. Market Eggs

There shall be 50 eggs to be placed individually by candling; the eggs may be either brown or white. These shall be divided into four classes, "U. S. Extras", "U. S. Standards", "U. S. Trades", and "Inedibles" according to the United States Standards of Quality for Individual Eggs except that size, shape, cleanliness, shell texture, and mobility of air cells shall not be considered.

IV. Live Market Poultry

Ten market birds, heavy fowls or heavy roasters, to be graded individually according to the U. S. Standards for Live Poultry as set up by the Agricultural Marketing Service.

V. Dressed Market Poultry

Ten market birds to be graded individually according to the U. S. Standards for Dressed Poultry as set up by the Agricultural Marketing Service.

Proposed Explanation for Market Poultry (Live and Dressed)

1. Pin feathers

A bird is not considered "pinny" if pins are of sufficient length to be removed by the adhesive method of picking. Two or three small pins on the breast may be permissible but no ink spot should be showing.

2. Color and condition of comb

The color and condition of the comb is merely used as an

indication of a bird's health; a bird does not have to have a bright red comb for U. S. Grade A.

3. Skeletal deformities

Slightly dented or crooked keels are not considered as sufficient justification for the elimination of otherwise good birds from Grade B.

4. Color and condition of skin

Poorly bled birds as evidenced by a pink or reddish condition along the feather tracts should under no conditions be placed in Grade A. Birds showing only slight evidences of poor bleeding may be placed in Grade B and all others in Grade C.

5. Official Placing

The market poultry shall be placed by a judging committee; any doubtful birds shall be eliminated from the contest.

Value of divisions of the contest

A perfect score in exhibition judging shall be 500

A perfect score in production judging shall be 500

A perfect score in egg candling shall be 500

A perfect score in grading live market birds shall be 250

A perfect score in grading dressed market birds shall be 250

Possible individual (Total) 2000

Possible team (Total) 6000

Tie scores shall be broken by the following considerations in the order given:

- (a) The largest number of 100 scores
- (b) The fewest scores of 55 or below
- (c) The largest number of top birds correctly placed
- (d) The largest number of bottom birds correctly placed

Methods of Grading the Various Divisions of the Contest

Exhibition and Production Classes

Contestants' placings shall be scored on a basis of 100 for agreement with the official placing and a deduction of 15 points for inversion of any two birds. All pairs shall be considered to be "normal" unless designated as "close" or "very close" in the official placings. "Close" pairs shall be subject to a deduction of 10 points and "very close" pairs 5 points, provided they are simply inverted and are not separated by another bird. In the latter case the regular 15-point cut shall apply.

Market Poultry and Eggs

Five points will be deducted for each egg or bird placed one grade above or below its correct classification and ten points for each egg or bird placed two grades above or below as well as for "Trades" classed as "Inedibles" and "C" birds classed as "Rejects" or "Inedible" eggs classed as "Trades" or "Rejects" classed as "C" Grade.

Eligibility of Contestants

Each team shall consist of three students and one alternate.

Membership on the team is open to any student regularly enrolled in the four-year course of the institution who has been in attendance at the institution he represents for at least one full year and who at the time of the competition is regularly enrolled in the college where he has completed one year's work and whose work in his classes is of a passing grade. No student is eligible to compete more than one school year. The instructor or coach in charge of each team shall be responsible for the eligibility and credentials of that team.

Contest Procedure

The contest will commence at 8:00 a.m. on the date chosen. Contestants will be allowed 12 minutes for completing the judging of each ring in exhibition and production poultry, one hour for judging the eggs, and 30 minutes each for live market poultry and dressed market poultry. A warning will be given two minutes before the end of each period.

Each contestant shall be required to write his placings on a card which will be provided. He shall also indicate on the card his name, his team, and the class being judged. The card shall be handed to the monitor at the end of the period as the student shifts to the next ring or contest.

After the contest has started no student judge shall be permitted to communicate with any person except the monitor in charge of his group.

As placing cards are turned in by contestants they shall be delivered to the grading committee for scoring and tabulating.

No persons other than the members of the grading committee and the manager of the contest shall have access to any of the scores or placings until they have been prepared for public announcement.

Committees

The manager of the contest shall appoint the following committee of coaches or other college representatives whose duties shall be as indicated:

X (1) A judging committee of three members who shall make the official placings of the exhibition and market poultry. The membership of this committee shall rotate from year to year, the general plan being for one member to be replaced each year and for each new member to serve for a three-year term.

(2) An egg committee of two members who shall prepare the several lots of eggs and the candling equipment for use by the contestants.

(3) A committee of monitors who shall have supervision of the contestants during the contest period. It shall be their duty to see that no communication takes place between contestants or between contestants and outside persons except in the presence of a monitor or the contest manager and then only for unusual reasons. The chairman of this committee shall designate one member to act as time-keeper. He shall also arrange for delivery of the placing cards to the grading committee as they are turned in from time to time during the contest.

(4) A grading committee of three members who shall score each placing card and tabulate the individual and team scores by divisions and for the contest as a whole. This committee shall consider its proceedings as "closed" to all persons except the contest manager until such time as the results are ready for public announcement.

(5) A committee on arrangements consisting of the contest manager and as many others as may seem necessary or desirable to make all arrangements for the contest including the exact place, necessary tables and coops, rings of poultry, market eggs, prizes, headquarters, hotel for the teams, the market inspection trip on the day preceding the contest, and the banquet following the contest.

Amendments

These rules may be amended by a two-third vote of the coaches present at a regular meeting which shall be held annually following the banquet held at the close of the contest. They may also be amended by a two-thirds vote by mail of the institutions to be represented in any contest provided such vote is completed in time to be announced to all competing institutions at least thirty days prior to the contest.

Recommendations submitted in 1940.

The committee appointed at the last coaches' meeting December 2, 1939, to consider changes in the contest has the following suggestions to make for this year's contest.

Live Market Poultry

Twenty market birds, heavy fowls or heavy roasters, to be graded individually according to the U. S. Standards for live poultry as set up by the Agricultural Marketing Service.

Dressed Market Poultry

Twenty market birds to be graded individually according to the U. S. Standards for Dressed Poultry as set up by the Agricultural Marketing Service.

Proposed Explanation for Market Poultry

1. Pin feathers. A bird is not considered "pinny" if pins are of sufficient length to be removed by the adhesive method of picking. Two or three small pins on the breast may be permissible but no ink spot should be showing.

2. Color and condition of comb. The color and condition of the comb is merely used as an indication of a bird's health; a bird does not have to have a bright red comb for U. S. Grade A.

3. Skeletal deformities. Slightly dented or crooked keels are not considered as sufficient justification for the elimination of otherwise good birds from Grade B.

The Production Classes

Production classes should be selected with a relative difference in production of 15 to 20 per cent and with a minimum production of 125 eggs.

Six birds shall be sent to Chicago so that the committee can select placeable classes of four birds.

These are all the changes that the committee thinks should be made this year.

Enclosed you will find a copy of the Rules and Regulations to be followed this year with the suggested changes mentioned above.

Also find enclosed the Revised Rules and Regulations to be voted on at the coaches' meeting at the close of the banquet. Please go over these Rules and Regulations and be prepared to discuss them at the coaches' meeting. Write in any changes that you think should be made and bring the copy with you to the meeting.

For your convenience the committee has underscored all places where the Rules and Regulations have been changed.

We are sorry that this material is late but the committee has been in conference by correspondence since early last summer.

Vote on the enclosed amendments and mail to the committee at your earliest convenience as the committee needs the material in order for Dr. Card to complete his contest arrangements.

Yours truly,

Robert Penquite
Committee: T. H. Canfield
E. M. Funk

RP:bz
301
Encs.

FINAL PLACINGS - TWENTY-FIRST ANNUAL INTERCOLLEGIATE POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST

Chicago, November 30, 1940

1. Kansas State College, Manhattan, Kansas 3940.0
(W. H. Winner, R. Morrison, H. Carnahan)
(H. M. Scott, Coach)
2. Purdue University, Lafayette, Indiana 3886.6
(P. C. Smyth, E. J. Morthland, G. L. Searcy)
(E. E. Schnetzler, Coach)
3. University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois 3847.6
(G. G. Zachgo, K. C. Berry, J. C. McQuitty)
(T. B. Avery, Coach)
4. Texas A. and M. College, College Station, Texas 3770.6
(F. C. Price, D. Demke, J. Wilkerson)
(E. D. Parnell, Coach)
5. University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska 3749.9
(M. Boone, R. Rupp, L. Clark)
(H. E. Alder, Coach)
6. University of Minnesota, St. Paul, Minnesota 3749.5
(O. Paulson, D. Sonstegard, R. McDonald)
(T. H. Canfield, Coach)
7. University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri 3738.6
(H. L. Patrick, C. Lemar, R. Devenport)
(E. M. Funk, Coach)
8. University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 3670.5
(J. Tryon, G. Richardson, Q. Miller)
(Harry Embleton, Coach)
9. South Dakota State College of Agriculture, Brookings, S. Dakota 3660.5
(D. E. Wells, C. Thomas, R. Lauster)
(W. O. Wilson, Coach)
10. University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, Arkansas 3641.8
(O. S. Hall, H. A. Etheridge, C. L. McNiece)
(R. M. Smith, Coach)
11. Pennsylvania State College, State College, Pennsylvania 3538.5
(J. H. Weicksel, D. W. Hostetler, F. A. Slezak)
(P. H. Margolf, Coach)
12. Oklahoma A. and M. College, Stillwater, Oklahoma 3524.8
(W. C. Tallant, L. Wann, J. Hooper)
(R. Penquite, Coach)

- | | | |
|-----|--|--------|
| 13. | Michigan State College, East Lansing, Michigan
(L. R. Champion, G. Vicary, G. McNeilly)
(F. N. Barrett, Coach) | 3440.4 |
| 14. | Mississippi State College, State College, Mississippi
(C. C. Nason, O. B. Blakney, J. M. Wilson)
(G. R. Sipe, Coach) | 3271.2 |
| 15. | University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin
(R. Dennee, R. Keen, B. Newton)
(C. E. Holmes, Coach) | 3210.6 |

TEAM PLACINGS AND SCORES IN THE THREE DIVISIONS

<u>Exhibition</u>		<u>Production</u>		<u>Market Products</u>	
1.	Kansas	1320	Kansas	1440	Minnesota 1289.5
2.	Illinois	1260	Nebraska	1425	Mississippi 1266.2
3.	Purdue	1260	Texas	1405	Purdue 1261.6
4.	Arizona	1210	Oklahoma	1385	South Dakota 1230.5
5.	Nebraska	1205	Michigan	1380	Illinois 1222.6
6.	Arkansas	1175	Missouri	1375	Texas 1210.6
7.	Missouri	1160	Arizona	1375	Missouri 1203.6
8.	Texas	1155	Illinois	1365	Kansas 1180.0
9.	Minnesota	1140	Purdue	1365	Arkansas 1176.8
10.	South Dakota	1110	Pennsylvania	1335	Pennsylvania 1158.5
11.	Pennsylvania	1045	South Dakota	1320	Oklahoma 1154.8
12.	Wisconsin	1020	Minnesota	1320	Nebraska 1119.9
13.	Mississippi	1005	Arkansas	1290	Michigan 1095.4
14.	Oklahoma	985	Wisconsin	1195	Arizona 1085.5
15.	Michigan	965	Mississippi	1000	Wisconsin 995.6

FIFTEEN HIGH INDIVIDUALS

1. W. H. Winner, Kansas	1367.5	9. D. Demke, Texas	1286.9
2. K. C. Berry, Illinois	1320	10. D. Sonstegard, Minnesota	1285.6
3. L. Clark, Nebraska	1319.3	11. J. C. McQuitty, Illinois	1283.8
4. E. J. Morthland, Purdue	1304.3	12. R. Devenport, Missouri	1280.6
5. C. L. McNiece, Arkansas	1303.7	13. G. L. Searcy, Purdue	1278.7
6. P. C. Smyth, Purdue	1303.6	14. H. Carnahan, Kansas	1276.9
7. R. Morrison, Kansas	1295.6	15. O. B. Blakney, Mississippi	1270.6
8. F. C. Price, Texas	1292.5		

WINNING INDIVIDUALS

Exhibition

1. W. H. Winner, Kansas	485
2. C. L. McNiece, Arkansas	455
3. L. Clark, Nebraska	450
4. Q. Miller, Arizona	445
5. J. C. McQuitty, Illinois	440

Production

1. O. S. Hall, Arkansas	495
2. J. Tryon, Arizona	485
3. R. Devenport, Missouri	480
4. G. Vicary, Michigan	480
5. B. Rupp, Nebraska	480

Market Products

1. D. Sonstegard, Minnesota	445.6
2. C. C. Nason, Mississippi	440.6
3. G. L. Searcy, Purdue	438.7
4. O. B. Blakney, Mississippi	425.6
5. (R. McDonald, Minnesota	423.8
(J. C. McQuitty, Illinois	423.8

LECs

12-3-40

AH1115

TEAM PLACINGS

Market Products 1500 Points

1.	Kansas	3940
2.	Purdue	3886.6
3.	Illinois	3847.6
4.	Texas	3770.6
5.	Nebraska	3749.9
6.	Minnesota	3749.5
7.	Missouri	3738.6
8.	Arizona	3670.5
9.	South Dakota	3660.5
10.	Arkansas	3641.8
11.	Pennsylvania	3538.5
12.	Oklahoma	3524.8
13.	Michigan	3440.4
14.	Mississippi	3271.2
15.	Wisconsin	3210.6

Market Products 3000 Points

1.	Purdue	5148.2
2.	Kansas	5120
3.	Illinois	5070
4.	Minnesota	5039
5.	Texas	4981.2
6.	Missouri	4942.2
7.	South Dakota	4891
8.	Nebraska	4869.8
9.	Arkansas	4818.6
10.	Arizona	4756
11.	Pennsylvania	4697
12.	Oklahoma	4679.4
13.	Michigan	4535.8
14.	Mississippi	4437.4
15.	Wisconsin	4206.2

INDIVIDUAL PLACINGS

Market Products 500 Points

1.	Winner, Kansas	1367.5
2.	Berry, Illinois	1320
3.	Clark, Nebraska	1319.3
4.	Morthland, Purdue	1304.3
5.	McNiece, Arkansas	1303.7
6.	Smyth, Purdue	1303.6
7.	Morrison, Kansas	1295.6
8.	Price, Texas	1292.5
9.	Demke, Texas	1286.9
10.	Sonstegard, Minnesota	1285.6
11.	McQuitty, Illinois	1283.8
12.	Devenport, Missouri	1280.6
13.	Searcy, Purdue	1278.7
14.	Carnahan, Kansas	1276.9
15.	Blakney, Mississippi	1270.6

Market Products 1000 Points

1.	Winner, Kansas	1770
2.	Berry, Illinois	1735
3.	Sonstegard, Minnesota	1731.2
4.	Clark, Nebraska	1723.6
5.	Morthland, Purdue	1723.6
6.	Searcy, Purdue	1717.4
7.	McQuitty, Illinois	1707.6
8.	Smyth, Purdue	1707.2
9.	McNiece, Arkansas	1697.4
10.	Blakney, Mississippi	1696.2
11.	Price, Texas	1695
12.	Demke, Texas	1688.8
13.	Carnahan, Kansas	1678.8
14.	Morrison, Kansas	1671.2
15.	Devenport, Missouri	1656.2

IECs

12-18-40

Team	Name of contestant	Exhibition		Production		Mkt. Prod.		Grand total	Final pl.
		Total	Pl.	Total	Pl.	Total	Pl.		
KANSAS	Winner, W. H.	485	1	480	-	402.5	-	1367.5	1
	Morrison, R.	440	6	480	6	375.6	-	1295.6	7
	Carnahan, H.	395	-	480	6	401.9	-	1276.9	14
	Team	1320	1	1440	1	1180.0	8	3940.0	1
PURDUE	Smyth, P. C.	435	7	465	-	403.6	-	1303.6	6
	Morthland, E. J.	420	10	465	-	419.3	9	1304.3	4
	Searcy, G. L.	405	-	435	-	438.7	3	1278.7	13
	Team	1260	3	1365	9	1261.6	3	3886.6	2
ILLINOIS	Zachgo, G. G.	395	-	465	-	383.8	-	1243.8	-
	Berry, K. C.	425	9	480	7	415.0	-	1320.0	2
	McQuitty, J. C.	440	5	420	-	423.8	5	1283.8	11
	Team	1260	2	1365	8	1222.6	5	3847.6	3
TEXAS	Price, F. C.	410	-	480	7	402.5	-	1292.5	8
	Demke, D.	415	-	470	-	401.9	-	1286.9	9
	Wilkerson, J.	330	-	455	-	406.2	-	1191.2	-
	Team	1155	8	1405	3	1210.6	6	3770.6	4
NEBRASKA	Boone, M.	375	-	480	7	356.9	-	1211.9	-
	Rupp, R.	380	-	480	5	358.7	-	1218.7	-
	Clark, L.	450	3	465	-	404.3	-	1319.3	3
	Team	1205	5	1425	2	1119.9	12	3749.9	5
MINNESOTA	Paulson, O.	385	-	420	-	420.1	7	1225.1	-
	Sonstegard, D.	375	-	465	-	445.6	1	1285.6	10
	McDonald, R.	380	-	435	-	423.8	5	1238.8	-
	Team	1140	9	1320	12	1289.5	1	3749.5	6
MISSOURI	Patrick, H. L.	415	-	425	-	415.6	10	1255.6	-
	Lemar, C.	320	-	470	-	412.4	-	1202.4	-
	Devenport, R.	425	8	480	3	375.6	-	1280.6	12
	Team	1160	7	1375	6	1203.6	7	3738.6	7
ARIZONA	Tryon, J.	395	-	485	2	360.0	-	1240.0	-
	Richardson, G.	370	-	440	-	379.3	-	1189.3	-
	Miller, Q.	445	4	450	-	346.2	-	1241.2	-
	Team	1210	4	1375	7	1085.5	14	3670.5	8
S. DAKOTA	Wells, D. E.	380	-	435	-	406.1	-	1221.1	-
	Thomas, C.	380	-	435	-	405.0	-	1220.0	-
	Lauster, R.	350	-	450	-	419.4	8	1219.4	-
	Team	1110	10	1320	11	1230.5	4	3660.5	9
ARKANSAS	Hall, O. S.	315	-	495	1	382.5	-	1192.5	-
	Etheridge, H. A.	405	-	340	-	400.6	-	1145.6	-
	McNiece, C. L.	455	2	455	-	393.7	-	1303.7	5
	Team	1175	6	1290	13	1176.8	9	3641.8	10
PENNSYLVANIA	Weicksel, J. H.	350	-	450	-	373.6	-	1173.6	-
	Hostetler, D. W.	370	-	405	-	379.3	-	1154.3	-
	Slezak, F. A.	325	-	480	6	405.6	-	1210.6	-
	Team	1045	11	1335	10	1158.5	10	3538.5	11
OKLAHOMA	Tallant, W. B.	390	-	470	-	409.3	-	1269.3	-
	Wann, L.	290	-	480	7	407.4	-	1177.4	-
	Hooper, J.	305	-	435	-	338.1	-	1078.1	-
	Team	985	14	1385	4	1154.8	11	3524.8	12
MICHIGAN	Champion, L. R.	280	-	465	-	364.9	-	1109.9	-
	Vicary, G.	350	-	480	4	407.4	-	1237.4	-
	McNeilly, G.	335	-	435	-	323.1	-	1093.1	-
	Team	965	15	1380	5	1095.4	13	3440.4	13
MISSISSIPPI	Nason, C. C.	295	-	325	-	440.6	2	1060.6	-
	Blakney, O. B.	405	-	440	-	425.6	4	1270.6	-
	Wilson, J. M.	305	-	235	-	400.0	-	940.0	-
	Team	1005	13	1000	15	1266.2	2	3271.2	14
WISCONSIN	Dennee, R.	290	-	365	-	391.9	-	1046.9	-
	Keen, R.	350	-	420	-	288.7	-	1058.7	-
	Newton, B.	380	-	410	-	315.0	-	1105.0	-
	Team	1020	12	1195	14	995.6	15	3210.6	15

Team	Name of contestant	W.Wyan. pullets	W.Leg. cks.	R.I.Red cks.	R.I.Red pullets	B.P.R. pullets	B.P.R.	W.Leg.	R.I.Red	W.Leg.	W.P.R.	Live market	Dressed market	Egg
KANSAS	Winner	100	100	85	100	100	100	100	85	100	95	103.1	109.4	190
	Morrison	85	100	85	100	70	85	100	100	100	95	100	103.1	172
	Carnahan	85	70	85	100	55	85	100	100	100	95	109.4	100	192
PURDUE	Smyth	70	85	100	85	95	85	100	100	85	95	96.8	96.8	210
	Morthland	85	70	85	100	80	85	100	85	100	95	100	96.8	222
	Searcy	100	40	85	85	95	85	85	70	100	95	103.1	103.1	232
ILLINOIS	Zachgo	60	70	70	100	95	85	100	85	100	95	106.3	100	177
	Berry	85	55	85	100	100	85	100	100	100	95	109.4	103.1	202
	McQuitty	70	70	100	100	100	85	100	85	55	95	106.3	100	217
TEXAS	Price	100	85	85	55	85	100	85	100	100	95	106.3	93.7	202
	Demke	90	100	100	70	55	85	100	85	100	100	109.4	87.5	205
	Wilkerson	85	40	85	40	80	85	100	70	100	100	90.6	103.1	212
NEBRASKA	Boone	70	100	85	40	80	85	100	100	100	95	103.1	106.3	147
	Rupp	70	70	70	85	85	85	100	100	100	95	93.7	87.5	177
	Clark	100	100	85	85	80	85	85	100	100	95	90.6	93.7	220
MINNESOTA	Paulson	60	85	70	70	100	70	85	70	100	95	106.3	106.3	207
	Sonstegard	70	70	55	85	95	70	100	100	100	95	112.5	115.6	217
	McDonald	60	70	85	85	80	70	100	85	85	95	100	106.3	217
MISSOURI	Patrick	90	70	85	85	85	85	70	70	100	100	96.8	106.3	212
	Lemar	70	55	55	70	70	70	100	100	100	100	90.6	96.8	225
	Devenport	100	100	55	85	85	85	100	100	100	95	100	103.1	172
ARIZONA	Tryon	85	100	85	85	40	100	100	85	100	100	81.2	106.3	172
	Richardson	60	85	55	85	85	70	85	85	100	100	96.8	100	182
	Miller	90	85	100	85	85	85	85	100	100	80	56.2	100	190

Team	Name of contestant	W.Wyan. pullets	W.Leg. cks.	R.I.Red cks.	R.I.Red pullets	B.P.R. pullets	B.P.R.	W.Leg.	R.I.Red	W.Leg.	W.P.R.	Live market	Dressed market	Egg
S. DAKOTA	Wells	100	70	70	70	70	85	55	100	100	95	96.8	96.8	212
	Thomas	60	85	85	70	80	70	100	100	70	95	109.4	103.1	192
	Lauster	70	70	100	70	40	70	100	100	85	95	100	109.4	210
ARKANSAS	Hall	70	55	40	70	80	100	100	100	100	95	106.3	93.7	182
	Etheridge	100	85	55	85	80	70	0	100	70	100	96.8	106.3	197
	McNiece	70	85	100	100	100	70	100	100	85	100	93.7	100	200
PENNSYLVANIA	Weicksel	100	70	55	55	70	70	100	100	85	95	96.8	96.8	180
	Hostetler	60	40	85	85	100	70	40	100	100	95	84.3	87.5	207
	Slezak	90	40	85	40	70	85	100	100	100	95	100	103.1	202
OKLAHOMA	Tallant	100	55	55	85	95	70	100	100	100	100	96.8	100	212
	Wann	55	85	55	85	10	85	100	100	100	95	96.8	103.1	207
	Hooper	40	55	85	85	40	70	85	100	100	80	100	103.1	135
MICHIGAN	Champion	70	85	0	85	40	85	85	100	100	95	81.2	93.7	190
	Vicary	70	70	55	70	85	85	100	100	100	95	93.7	93.7	220
	McNeilly	70	25	85	70	85	70	85	85	100	95	78.1	87.5	157
MISSISSIPPI	Nason	60	70	55	70	40	55	0	85	100	85	115.6	100	225
	Blakney	100	85	70	70	80	85	70	100	100	85	103.1	100	222
	Wilson	60	55	55	85	50	25	0	85	70	55	109.4	90.6	200
WISCONSIN	Dennee	100	40	40	40	70	70	55	55	85	100	109.4	100	184
	Keen	60	40	100	55	95	85	100	70	70	95	103.1	90.6	95
	Newton	85	70	55	85	85	85	85	70	85	85	87.5	100	127

22nd ANNUAL INTERCOLLEGIATE POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST

Chicago, Illinois - November 28-29, 1941

Institution

University of Missouri
University of Connecticut
Texas A & M College
University of Minnesota
Purdue University
Oklahoma A & M College
Ohio State University
University of Illinois
Michigan State College
University of Nebraska
University of Arkansas
Kansas State College
Mississippi State College
Pennsylvania State College
University of Wisconsin

Coach

Professor E. M. Funk
Professor T. B. Avery
Professor A. G. Warren
Professor T. H. Canfield
Professor C. S. Shaffner
Professor C. A. Roberts
Professor G. F. Godfrey
Professor E. P. Singsen
Professor F. N. Barrett
Professor H. E. Alder
Professor R. M. Smith
Professor A. E. Schumacher
Professor G. R. Sipe
Professor P. H. Margolf
Professor M. Rosenberg

University of Missouri

Left to Right: M. R. Irwin, Coach; Paul Tinsley; Harold Biellier;
Clarence Meinert; Noel Hall; E. M. Funk, Coach



Twenty-second Midwest Intercollegiate

Poultry Judging Contest

1941

Packet contains:

1. Instructions to coaches and contestants from Contest Manager, Dr. L. E. Card, University of Illinois.
2. Minutes of meeting of the coaches November 26, 1941.
3. Minutes of meeting of the coaches November 29, 1941.
4. List of donors of trophies, medals and cash.

Make-up of Contest:

Exhibition Judging - Five four-bird rings

Rhode Island Red Cockerels
Rhode Island Red Pullets
Barred Plymouth Rock Pullets
White Wyandotte Pullets
White Leghorn Cockerels

Production Judging - Five four-bird rings

White Plymouth Rock Yearling Hens
Rhode Island Red Yearling Hens
White Leghorn Yearling Hens
Barred Plymouth Rock Yearling Hens
White Leghorn Yearling Hens

Market Products

Live Market Fowl - 20 market birds to be graded according to U. S. Standards

Dressed Poultry - 25 roasters and 25 fowl to be graded according to U.S. Standards

Eggs - 50 eggs to be graded according to U.S. Standards of Quality for Individual Eggs

Each division given equal weight in the official placings.

November 17, 1941

To Coaches of Poultry Judging Teams:

Since mailing my letter of November 5, Wisconsin has entered a team, bringing the total number of teams to fifteen. A few changes in coaching personnel have occurred and therefore there are a few changes in team numbers. The revised order follows:

- | | | | |
|-------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------|
| 1. H. E. Alder | - Nebraska | 9. M. Rosenberg | - Wisconsin |
| 2. T. B. Avery | - Connecticut | 10. A. E. Schumacher | - Kansas |
| 3. F. N. Barrett | - Michigan | 11. C. S. Shaffner | - Purdue |
| 4. T. H. Canfield | - Minnesota | 12. E. P. Singen | - Illinois |
| 5. E. M. Funk | - Missouri | 13. G. R. Sipe | - Mississippi |
| 6. Geo. Godfrey | - Ohio | 14. R. M. Smith | - Arkansas |
| 7. P. H. Margolf | - Pennsylvania | 15. A. G. Warren | - Texas |
| 8. C. A. Roberts | - Oklahoma | | |

Contestants will be numbered from 1 to 45, those from Team 1 being 1, 16 and 31; those from Team 2 being 2, 17 and 32, and so on to Team 15 which will be 15, 30 and 45. The enclosed instruction sheets indicate where each contestant will be working at each hour during the contest. Please take this into account in assigning numbers to the members of your team. There is enclosed a form for indicating the names of each of your team members, and the number of tickets you will want for the banquet. Please fill this out, make a copy for yourself, and bring the original with you to Chicago so you can hand it to me the first thing Friday morning before the start of the tour.

Also enclosed, for the information of your team, are three slips showing where each contestant is to be at each hour of his judging schedule.

The market inspection trip will start from Hotel Chicagoan. Assemble in the lobby at 7:30 a.m. Copies of the schedule will be left for you at the desk. Be sure to ask for mail when you register. A complimentary luncheon has been arranged for Friday noon.

Since the judging of dressed poultry will require spending better than an hour in a cold storage room at 32° F., all team members and monitors should be warmly dressed.

The contest Saturday will be on the eighth floor of the Board of Trade Building instead of the third floor as previously announced. Take the elevator at the street level. Go to Room 840.

The banquet will be held in the Board of Trade Grill Room, in the basement of the building, at 6:45 p.m. The cost will be \$1.35 per plate. Alternates and guests will be welcome, but we need to know on Friday how many there will be. Each coach will be assessed one dollar to take care of our special guests.

Very truly yours,

Contest Manager

L. E. Card
enc
8

November 17, 1941

To Coaches of Poultry Judging Teams:

Since mailing my letter of November 2, Wisconsin has entered a team, bringing the total number of teams to fifteen. A few changes in coaching personnel have occurred and therefore there are a few changes in team numbers. The revised order follows:

1. H. E. Alder - Nebraska	9. E. Rosenburg - Wisconsin
2. T. B. Avery - Connecticut	10. A. E. Schmeckel - Kansas
3. F. W. Barstow - Michigan	11. C. S. Spafford - Purdue
4. T. W. Gault - Minnesota	12. R. F. Sington - Illinois
5. R. W. Foss - Missouri	13. G. A. Sipe - Wisconsin
6. Geo. Godfrey - Ohio	14. R. E. Smith - Arkansas
7. E. H. Harpold - Pennsylvania	15. A. G. Wilson - Texas
8. C. A. Roberts - Oklahoma	

Contestants will be numbered from 1 to 45, those from Team 1 being 1 to 15 and 31; those from Team 2 being 16 to 30, and so on to Team 15 which will be 31 to 45. The enclosed instruction sheets indicate where each contestant will be working at each hour during the contest. Please take this into account in assigning numbers to the members of your team. There is enclosed a form for indicating the names of each of your team members, and the number of tickets you will want for the contest. Please fill this out, make a copy for yourself, and bring the original with you to Chicago so you can hand it to me the first thing Friday morning before the start of the tour.

Also enclosed, for the information of your team, are three signs showing where each contestant is to be at each hour of the judging schedule.

The market inspection trip will start from Hotel Outspan. Assemble in the lobby at 7:30 a.m. Copies of the schedule will be left for you at the desk. Be sure to ask for call when you register. A complimentary luncheon has been arranged for Friday noon.

Since the judging of dressed poultry will require spending better than an hour in a cold storage room at 32° F., all team members and spectators should be warmly dressed.

The contest Saturday will be on the eighth floor of the Board of Trade Building instead of the third floor as previously announced. Take the elevator to the eighth level. Go to Room 840.

The banquet will be held in the Board of Trade Grill Room in the basement of the building at 5:45 p.m. The cost will be \$1.75 per plate. Alismates and guests will be welcome, but we need to know on Friday how many there will be. Each coach will be assessed one dollar to take care of our special guests.

Very truly yours,

Conrad Koenig

L. E. Card
enc
3

RECEIVED

DEC 5 1941

Coaches Meeting- Chicago- November 29, 1941 ANIMAL HUSBANDRY

Dr. Card passed out a list of donors of prizes so the coaches would know to whom to write letters of appreciation. Dr. Kent suggested that each coach write Clarence Henry, Public Relations Department, Board of Trade Bldg., Chicago, expressing their appreciation of the facilities supplied by Board of Trade for the contest. Dr. Card asked for suggestions and comments on the contest.

The coaches voted that for the 1942 contest the dressed birds consist of 20 roasters, 20 fowl and 10 turkeys. Canfield moved and Singen seconded that in judging dressed poultry the contestants spend 10 minutes at the beginning and also at the end of the period studying the birds.

Motion was made by Sipe and seconded by Schumacher that next year "no grade" poultry be omitted and only A, B, C, and reject poultry be included.

Moved by Canfield and seconded by Alder that the market grading committee write the A. M. S. about changing the specifications for dressed poultry to conform to actual grading work.

Dr. Card agreed to see or write Kempers about new specifications for dressed poultry for next year's contest.

Sipe moved that the coaches give a rising vote of thanks to Dr. Card for his splendid services to the contest.

Adjourned.

E. M. Funk, Secretary

Coaches Meeting- 7:30 p.m. Nov. 26, 1941

Dr. Card called the meeting to order. Alder moved and Avery seconded motion that in judging ~~the~~ 50 dressed birds the contestants be allowed $1\frac{1}{2}$ minutes on the first two birds and 1 minute on all other birds. Carried.

Dr. Card announced that the dressed birds would be shipped in barrels by truck from an Illinois Swift plant. Mr. Kempers would grade the birds (25 fowl and 25 roasters). There will be 20 live market birds to grade (10 fowl and 10 roasters).

The coaches voted to assign values of 40, 40, 20 to eggs, dressed poultry and live poultry, respectively.

Adjourned.

E. M. Funk, Secretary

TENTATIVE MARKET TOUR SCHEDULE
(Judging Team Coaches in Charge)
Friday, November 28, 1941

- 7:30 A. M. Leave Hotel Chicagoan.
 Walk three blocks north and take Lake Street Surface
 Car west to Racine Avenue and walk one block north.
- 8:00 A. M. Fulton Market.
 Visiting along street with dealers - walking east
 to the 800 block.
- 8:30 A. M. Chicago Poultry Board - 854 Fulton Market.
 Contact Mr. Joe Cohen.
- 9:30 A. M. Leave Fulton Market - Take Lake Street car east to
 Wells Street and walk south one block to Bismarck
 Hotel.
- 10:00 A. M. Eitel Bakery (Bismarck Hotel - Go to coffee shop in
 basement).
- 10:30 A. M. Walk south on LaSalle Street to Jackson Blvd - Board
 of Trade Building.
- 10:40 A. M. Chicago Board of Trade - contact Public Relations
 Department.
 Walk north on LaSalle Street to Washington Street
 and two blocks west to 308 West Washington Street.
- 11:30 A. M. Chicago Mercantile Exchange - contact Mr. Lloyd S.
 Tenny.
- 12:05 P. M. Walk one block north and two blocks east to Sherman
 Hotel.
- 12:15 P. M. Luncheon at Hotel Sherman.
 Compliments of College Inn Food Products Company -
 Mr. Ernest Byfield, President.
- 1:30 P. M. Go one block north - take Lake Street Surface Car to
 Morgan Street and walk one block north to Fulton
 Market.
- 1:45 P. M. Fulton Market Cold Storage Company - Mr. Vallee
 Appel, President.
 (See egg-breaking and storage facilities)
- 2:30 P. M. Judging Dressed Poultry - Dr. L. E. Card. (At Fulton
 Market Cold Storage)

NOTE -This tour was arranged by Dr. L. E. Card, University of Illinois
and E. B. Heaton, Institute of American Poultry Industries.

NOTE - This tour was arranged by Dr. L. E. Cargill, University of Illinois and E. S. Henson, Institute of American Poultry Industries.

2:30 P. M. Labeling Dressed Poultry - Dr. L. E. Cargill. (At Fulton Market Cold Storage)

(See egg-breaking and storage facilities)

1:45 P. M. Fulton Market Cold Storage Company - Mr. Vallee Appel, President.

1:30 P. M. Go one block north - take Lake Street surface car to Morgan Street and walk one block north to Fulton Market.

12:15 P. M. Lunch at Hotel Sherman. Representative of College Inn Food Products Company - Mr. Thomas Byfield, President.

12:05 P. M. Walk one block north and two blocks east to Sherman Hotel.

11:30 A. M. Chicago Mercantile Exchange - contact Mr. Lloyd S. Tenny.

Walk north on LaSalle Street to Washington Street and two blocks west to 308 West Washington Street.

10:40 A. M. Chicago Board of Trade - contact Public Relations Department.

10:30 A. M. Walk south on LaSalle Street to Jackson Blvd - Board of Trade Building.

10:00 A. M. Eitel Bakery (Bismarck Hotel - Go to coffee shop in basement).

9:30 A. M. Leave Fulton Market - take Lake Street car east to White Street and walk south one block to Bismarck Hotel.

8:30 A. M. Chicago Poultry Board - 854 Fulton Market. Contact Mr. Joe Cohen.

8:00 A. M. Visiting along street with dealers - walking east to the 800 block.

7:30 A. M. Leave Hotel Chicagoan. Walk three blocks north and take Lake Street surface car west to Madison Avenue and walk one block north.

TENTATIVE MARKET TOUR SCHEDULE (Leading Team Coaches in Charge) Friday, November 28, 1941

DONORS OF TROPHIES, MEDALS, AND CASH
TWENTY-SECOND MIDWEST INTERCOLLEGIATE POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS, NOVEMBER 29, 1941

Donors of Trophies and Medals

- Challenge Trophy - Institute of American Poultry Industries
110 North Franklin Street
Chicago, Illinois
✓(E. B. Heaton, Managing Director)
- Permanent Trophy for Winning Team - Purina Mills
Ralston Purina Company
St. Louis, Missouri
✓(Earl A. Sindecuse, Director
Educational Service Division)
- Trophy for Best Team in Exhibition - Allied Mills, Inc.
Board of Trade Building
Chicago, Illinois
✓(A. G. Philips, Vice-President)
- Trophy for Best Team in Production - Poultry Tribune
Mt. Morris, Illinois
✓(J. W. Watt, Publisher)
- Trophy for Best Team in Market Products - Cudahy Packing Company
211 North LaSalle Street
Chicago, Illinois
✓(D. R. Long, Produce Department)
- Medals for Exhibition Judging - The G. E. Conkey Company
Cleveland, Ohio
✓(R. O. Bate, Advertising Manager)
- Medals for Production Judging - American Poultry Journal
536 South Clark Street
Chicago, Illinois
✓(Ralston R. Hannas, Editor)
- Medals for Market Products Judging - Hales and Hunter Company
166 West Jackson Boulevard
Chicago, Illinois
✓(L. G. Neel, Manager
Poultry Mash Department)
- Electric Clocks - Makomb Steel Products Company
Macomb, Illinois
✓(D. B. Tolley, Sales Manager)

DONORS OF TROPHIES, MEDALS, AND CASH
 TWENTY-SECOND MIDWEST INTERCOLLEGIATE POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST
 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS, NOVEMBER 29, 1941

Donors of Trophies and Medals

Challenge Trophy - Institute of American Poultry Industries
 110 North Franklin Street
 Chicago, Illinois
 (E. B. Heston, Managing Director)

Permanent Trophy for Winning Team - Purina Mills
 Ralston Purina Company
 St. Louis, Missouri
 (Earl A. Stinebaugh, Director
 Educational Service Division)

Trophy for Best Team in Exhibition - Allied Mills, Inc.
 Board of Trade Building
 Chicago, Illinois
 (A. G. Phillips, Vice-President)

Trophy for Best Team in Production - Poultry Tribune
 Mt. Morris, Illinois
 (J. W. Watt, Publisher)

Trophy for Best Team in Market Products - Cudahy Packing Company
 211 North LaSalle Street
 Chicago, Illinois
 (D. R. Long, Produce Department)

Medals for Exhibition Judging - The G. E. Conkey Company
 Cleveland, Ohio
 (R. O. Bates, Advertising Manager)

Medals for Production Judging - American Poultry Journal
 536 South Clark Street
 Chicago, Illinois
 (Ralston R. Hannas, Editor)

Medals for Market Products Judging - Haies and Hunter Company
 166 West Jackson Boulevard
 Chicago, Illinois
 (L. G. Neel, Manager
 Poultry Mash Department)

Electric Clocks - Makamp Steel Products Company
 Macomb, Illinois
 (D. B. Tolley, Sales Manager)

Donors of Cash

- ✓ American Dry Milk Institute, Inc.
221 North LaSalle Street
Chicago, Illinois
(C. W. Sievert, Feed Service)
- ✓ Anderson Box Company
700 West Morris Street
Indianapolis, Indiana
(Norb Schaefer, General Manager)
- ✓ Arcady Farms Milling Company
223 West Jackson Boulevard
Chicago, Illinois
(W. D. Walker, President)
- ✓ Barker Poultry Equipment Company
Ottumwa, Iowa
(Harry E. Drews)
- ✓ The Borden Company
350 Madison Avenue
New York, New York
(H. A. Bittenbender, Director,
Poultry & Animal Nutrition Special Products Div.)
- ✓ Brower Manufacturing Company
209-215 North Third Street
Quincy, Illinois
(Paul W. Silvey, Advertising Manager)
- ✓ Buckeye Incubator Company
Springfield, Ohio
(A. R. Hill, President)
- ✓ Carus Chemical Company
1375 Eighth Street
LaSalle, Illinois
(C. A. Mazzuchelli)
- ✓ Cerophyl Laboratories, Inc.
(Greenmelk Division)
2438 Broadway
Kansas City, Missouri
(Bruce Varney)
- ✓ Consolidated Products Company
Danville, Illinois
(Dan Lewis, President)
- ✓ Cugley Incubator Company
White Pigeon, Michigan
(A. L. Eckerle, Assistant General Manager)
- ✓ The Cyclone Manufacturing Company
Urbana, Indiana
(E. C. Faust, Vice-President)

Donors of Cash

- The Cyclone Manufacturing Company
Urbana, Indiana
(E. C. Faust, Vice-President)
- (A. L. Eckerle, Assistant General Manager)
White Pigeon, Michigan
Cagley Incubator Company
- (Dan Lewis, President)
Danville, Illinois
Consolidated Products Company
- (Bruce Varney)
Kansas City, Missouri
2438 Broadway
(Greenmilk Division)
Ceropny Laboratories, Inc.
- (C. A. Mazzuchelli)
LaSalle, Illinois
1375 Eighth Street
Carne Chemical Company
- (A. R. Hill, President)
Springfield, Ohio
Brooke Incubator Company
- (Paul W. Stivey, Advertising Manager)
Quincy, Illinois
209-215 North Third Street
Brower Manufacturing Company
- Poultry & Animal Nutrition Special Products Div.)
(H. A. Bittenbender, Director,
New York, New York
350 Madison Avenue
The Borden Company
- (Harry E. Drews)
Ottumwa, Iowa
Barker Poultry Equipment Company
- (W. D. Walker, President)
Chicago, Illinois
223 West Jackson Boulevard
Arcady Farms Milling Company
- (Norp Schaefer, General Manager)
Indianapolis, Indiana
700 West Morris Street
Anderson Box Company
- (G. W. Stever, Feed Service)
Chicago, Illinois
221 North LaSalle Street
American Dry Milk Institute, Inc.

- ✓ Godchaux Sugars, Inc.
New Orleans, Louisiana
(Walter Godchaux, Vice-President)
- ✓ Hubbard Milling Company
Mankato, Minnesota
(E. T. Cashman)
- ✓ James Manufacturing Company
Fort Atkinson, Wisconsin
(B. F. Zaffke, Manager, Poultry Div.)
- ✓ Kraft Cheese Company
(Ward Milk Products Division)
500 Peshtigo Court
Chicago, Illinois
(C. M. Peterson, General Manager)
- ✓ Masonite Corporation
111 West Washington Street
Chicago, Illinois
(H. M. Ward)
- ✓ McMillen Feed Mills, Inc.
300 Old First Bank Building
Ft. Wayne, Indiana
(Robt. P. O'Brien, Vice-President)
- ✓ Mid-West Metal Products Company
Muncie, Indiana
(Earl F. Smith, President)
- ✓ Nutrena Mills, Inc.
Kansas City, Kansas
(O. M. Straube, President)
- ✓ Roy G. Miller, Inc.
Monmouth, Illinois
(Roy G. Miller, President)
- ✓ The Oakes Manufacturing Company
Tipton, Indiana
(George A. Spencer, Sales Manager)
- ✓ Oyster Shell Products Corporation
3615 Olive Street
St. Louis, Missouri
(W. J. Westerman)
- ✓ Perfection Egg Grader Company, Inc.
P. O. Box 406
Capac, Michigan
(G. E. Drawe)
- ✓ Robbins Incubator Company
Denver, Colorado
(David M. Vaughan, Sales Manager)

Godolux Sugars, Inc.
New Orleans, Louisiana
(Walter Godolux, Vice-President)

Hubbard Milling Company
Mankato, Minnesota
(E. T. Cashman)
James Manufacturing Company
Port Atkinson, Wisconsin
(B. F. Saffke, Manager, Poultry Div.)

Kraft Cheese Company
(Ward Milk Products Division)
500 Peachtree Court
Chicago, Illinois
(C. M. Peterson, General Manager)

Masonite Corporation
111 West Washington Street
Chicago, Illinois
(H. M. Ward)

McMillan Feed Mills, Inc.
300 Old First Bank Building
Ft. Wayne, Indiana
(Robt. P. O'Brien, Vice-President)

Mid-West Metal Products Company
Muncie, Indiana
(Earl P. Smith, President)

Nutrena Mills, Inc.
Kansas City, Kansas
(O. M. Straube, President)

Roy G. Miller, Inc.
Monmouth, Illinois
(Roy G. Miller, President)

The Oakes Manufacturing Company
Tipton, Indiana
(George A. Spencer, Sales Manager)

Oyster Shell Products Corporation
3015 Olive Street
St. Louis, Missouri
(W. J. Westerman)

Perfection Egg Grader Company, Inc.
P. O. Box 406
Capac, Michigan
(G. E. Drawe)

Robbins Incubator Company
Denver, Colorado
(David M. Vaughan, Sales Manager)

✓ Stone Mountain Grit Company, Inc.
Lithonia, Georgia
(Charles L. Davidson, President)

✓ Tobacco By-Products & Chemical Corporation
Louisville, Kentucky
(George F. Leonard, Vice-President & Sales Mgr.)

✓ Wabash Fibre Box Company
Terre Haute, Indiana
(A. C. Moore)

✓ Letter written
11-5-42

LECs
11-21-41

Stone Mountain Grit Company, Inc.
Atlanta, Georgia
(Charles L. Davidson, President)

Tobacco By-Products & Chemical Corporation
Louisville, Kentucky
(George F. Leonard, Vice-President & Sales Mgr.)

Wabash Fibre Box Company
Terre Haute, Indiana
(A. C. Moore)

11-21-41
LRCs

INTERCOLLEGIATE POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST
Chicago - November 28-29, 1941

Information for Contestants

Place and Time: Judging of dressed poultry will take place on Friday afternoon, at the conclusion of the market inspection trip, at the Fulton Market Cold Storage, 1000 West Fulton.

Judging of Eggs, Live Market Poultry, and Exhibition and Production rings, will take place on Saturday, beginning at 7:45 a.m., in the Board of Trade Building, 141 West Jackson Blvd. (Jackson Blvd. at La Salle Street). Take the elevator to the eighth floor and report at Room 840.

Order of Judging: All contestants will work together when judging Dressed Market Poultry. Report to Professor Funk for instructions.

In the judging on Saturday, the following schedule will apply to all teams.

Period	Contestants No. 1-15	Contestants No. 16-30	Contestants No. 31-45
8:00 - 10:00	Exhibition & production	Idle	Market products
10:00 - 12:00	Market products	Exhibition & production	Idle
12:00 - 2:00	Idle	Market products	Exhibition & production

Team numbers will be determined in advance and sent to each coach. Contestant's number and position on his team is determined by his coach. When judging exhibition and production rings, the men from Teams 1 to 5 and 11 to 15 will work on exhibition during the first hour and on production during the second hour. Men from Teams 6 to 10 will do just the reverse. All exhibition rings will be judged before going to production, or vice versa. Each contestant will have 12 minutes to judge each ring and record his placing. During the first two minutes of each period, all birds must be left in the coops. A warning will be given by the monitor two minutes before the end of each period. Be sure to record the ring number, the kind of birds, your name and number, and your team, on every card you turn in, and be ready to shift promptly.

When judging market products, the men from Teams 1 to 8 will work on eggs during the first hour and on live market poultry during the second hour. Men from Teams 9 to 15 will reverse this order. It usually has not been possible to provide a separate lot of eggs for each contestant. Remember that rough handling of the eggs will penalize your own team-mates. Please handle eggs as carefully as possible.

Every contestant is expected to attend the banquet at 6:45 p.m. in the Board of Trade Grill Room. Results will be announced and the awards made at that time.

INTERCOLLEGIATE POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST Chicago - November 28-29, 1941

Information for Contestants

Place and Time: Judging of dressed poultry will take place on Friday afternoon, at the conclusion of the market inspection trip, at the Fulton Market Cold Storage, 1000 West Fulton.

Judging of Eggs, Live Market Poultry, and Exhibition and Production rings, will take place on Saturday, beginning at 7:45 a.m., in the Board of Trade Building, 141 West Jackson Blvd. at La Salle Street. Take the elevator to the eighth floor and report at Room 840.

Order of Judging: All contestants will work together when judging Dressed Market Poultry. Report to Professor Funk for instructions.

In the judging on Saturday, the following schedule will apply to all teams.

Period	Contestants No. 1-15	Contestants No. 16-30	Contestants No. 31-45
8:00 - 10:00	Exhibition & production	Idle	Market products
10:00 - 12:00	Market products	Exhibition & production	Idle
12:00 - 2:00	Idle	Market products	Exhibition & production

Team numbers will be determined in advance and sent to each coach. Contestant's number and position on his team is determined by his coach. When judging exhibition and production rings, the men from Teams 1 to 5 and 11 to 15 will work on exhibition during the first hour and on production during the second hour. Men from Teams 6 to 10 will do just the reverse. All exhibition rings will be judged before going to production, or vice versa. Each contestant will have 15 minutes to judge each ring and record his placing. During the first two minutes of each period, all birds must be left in the cages. A warning will be given by the monitor two minutes before the end of each period. Be sure to record the ring number, the kind of birds, your name and number, and your team, on every card you turn in, and be ready to shift promptly.

When judging market products, the men from Teams 1 to 8 will work on eggs during the first hour and on live market poultry during the second hour. Men from Teams 9 to 15 will reverse this order. It usually has not been possible to provide a separate lot of eggs for each contestant. Remember that rough handling of the eggs will penalize your own team-mates. Please handle eggs as carefully as possible.

Every contestant is expected to attend the banquet at 6:45 p.m. in the Board of Trade Grill Room. Results will be announced and the awards made at that time.

11-14-41
ALBES

FINAL PLACINGS - TWENTY-SECOND ANNUAL INTERCOLLEGIATE POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST

Chicago, November 28-29, 1941

- | | |
|--|------|
| 1. University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri
(P. Tinsley, H. Biellier, N. Hall)
(E. M. Funk, Coach) | 3728 |
| 2. University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut
(J. Gracewski, L. Brown, L. Katz)
(T. B. Avery, Coach) | 3609 |
| 3. Texas A. and M. College, College Station, Texas
(W. Ballmann, R. K. Whitfill, E. M. Schuyler)
(A. G. Warren, Coach) | 3599 |
| 4. University of Minnesota, St. Paul, Minnesota
(C. Milbrath, B. Sonstegard, R. Mannigel)
(T. H. Canfield, Coach) | 3566 |
| 5. Purdue University, Lafayette, Indiana
(R. R. Arvidson, J. L. Ramp, C. Reed)
(C. S. Shaffner, Coach) | 3534 |
| 6. Oklahoma A. and M. College, Stillwater, Oklahoma
(D. Lawson, C. R. Williams, V. Essary)
(C. A. Roberts, Coach) | 3496 |
| 7. Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio
(J. W. Wyne, R. G. Buss, J. W. Slack)
(G. F. Godfrey, Coach) | 3477 |
| 8. University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois
(W. V. Peterson, R. H. Elliott, J. F. Cummins)
(E. P. Singsen, Coach) | 3474 |
| 9. Michigan State College, East Lansing, Michigan
(H. Geerlings, L. Dawson, Wm. Aho)
(F. N. Barrett, Coach) | 3465 |
| 10. University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska
(D. Free, J. Burgess, R. Earl)
(H. E. Alder, Coach) | 3447 |
| 11. University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, Arkansas
(J. F. Savage, B. B. Dunlop, T. G. Gordon)
(R. M. Smith, Coach) | 3437 |
| 12. Kansas State College, Manhattan, Kansas
(T. Levin, E. Buss, D. McWilliams)
(A. E. Schumacher, Coach) | 3404 |

13. Mississippi State College, State College, Mississippi 3385
 (W. C. Haynes, H. L. Russell, W. C. Walker)
 (G. R. Sipe, Coach)
14. Pennsylvania State College, State College, Pennsylvania 3358
 (G. Leader, Jr., R. Mann, T. F. Smith)
 (P. H. Margolf, Coach)
15. University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 3144
 (C. Busse, A. Guthrie, I. J. Mork)
 (M. Rosenberg, Coach)

TEAM PLACINGS AND SCORES IN THE THREE DIVISIONS

<u>Exhibition</u>		<u>Production</u>		<u>Market Products</u>	
1. Texas	1275	Missouri	1290	Connecticut	1304
2. Missouri	1205	Michigan	1245	Ohio	1252
3. Minnesota	1190	Ohio	1235	Minnesota	1241
4. Connecticut	1125	Purdue	1225	Mississippi	1240
5. Purdue	1100	Nebraska	1225	Missouri	1233
6. Kansas	1085	Oklahoma	1185	Kansas	1229
7. Oklahoma	1085	Connecticut	1180	Oklahoma	1226
8. Illinois	1080	Arkansas	1180	Illinois	1214
9. Arkansas	1080	Illinois	1180	Texas	1214
10. Pennsylvania	1055	Pennsylvania	1140	Nebraska	1212
11. Mississippi	1035	Minnesota	1135	Purdue	1209
12. Michigan	1015	Mississippi	1110	Michigan	1205
13. Nebraska	1010	Texas	1110	Arkansas	1177
14. Ohio	990	Kansas	1090	Wisconsin	1169
15. Wisconsin	930	Wisconsin	1045	Pennsylvania	1163

13. Mississippi State College, State College, Mississippi
(W. C. Hayes, H. L. Russell, W. C. Walker)
(G. R. Stipe, Coach) 3385
14. Pennsylvania State College, State College, Pennsylvania
(G. Leffer, Jr., E. Mann, T. F. Smith)
(T. H. Margolf, Coach) 3358
15. University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin
(G. Basse, A. Guthrie, L. J. Mork)
(M. Rosenbarger, Coach) 3144

TEAM PLACINGS AND SCORES IN THE THREE DIVISIONS

<u>Market Production</u>		<u>Production</u>		<u>Exhibition</u>	
1304	Connecticut	1290	Missouri	1275	1. Texas
1292	Ohio	1245	Michigan	1205	2. Missouri
1241	Minnesota	1235	Ohio	1190	3. Minnesota
1240	Mississippi	1225	Purdue	1185	4. Connecticut
1235	Missouri	1225	Nebraska	1100	5. Purdue
1229	Kansas	1185	Oklahoma	1085	6. Kansas
1226	Oklahoma	1180	Connecticut	1085	7. Oklahoma
1214	Illinois	1180	Arkansas	1080	8. Illinois
1214	Texas	1180	Illinois	1080	9. Arkansas
1212	Nebraska	1140	Pennsylvania	1055	10. Pennsylvania
1209	Purdue	1135	Minnesota	1035	11. Mississippi
1205	Michigan	1110	Mississippi	1015	12. Michigan
1177	Arkansas	1110	Texas	1010	13. Nebraska
1169	Wisconsin	1090	Kansas	990	14. Ohio
1163	Pennsylvania	1045	Wisconsin	930	15. Wisconsin

FIFTEEN HIGH INDIVIDUALS - ENTIRE CONTEST

1. W. Ballmann, Texas	1291	9. D. Lawson, Oklahoma	1230
2. (P. Tinsley, Missouri	1266	10. N. Hall, Missouri	1222
(J. Gracewski, Connecticut	1266	11. J. W. Slack, Ohio	1221
4. D. Free, Nebraska	1243	12. L. Brown, Connecticut	1208
5. H. Biellier, Missouri	1240	13. H. L. Russell, Mississippi	1205
6. R. Mannigel, Minnesota	1239	14. C. Milbrath, Minnesota	1189
7. T. Levin, Kansas	1238	15. J. L. Ramp, Purdue	1186
8. L. Dawson, Michigan	1237		

WINNING INDIVIDUALS - BY DIVISIONS

Exhibition

1. W. Ballmann, Texas	480
2. T. Levin, Kansas	425
3. W. C. Haynes, Mississippi	420
4. A. Guthrie, Wisconsin	420
5. H. Biellier, Missouri	410

Production

1. J. Wyne, Ohio	450
2. P. Tinsley, Missouri	440
3. R. Earl, Nebraska	435
4. R. Mann, Pennsylvania	430
5. H. Biellier, Missouri	425

Market Products

1. J. Gracewski, Connecticut	456
2. T. Levin, Kansas	438
3. R. K. Whitfill, Texas	435
4. R. Mannigel, Minnesota	434
5. L. Katz, Connecticut	430

Eggs

1. J. Gracewski, Connecticut	192
2. L. Katz, Connecticut	184

Dressed Poultry

1. (C. R. Williams, Oklahoma	174
(J. F. Cummins, Illinois	174

Live Poultry

1. (W. V. Peterson, Illinois	96
(J. Burgess, Nebraska	96

Live Poultry

96	1.	W. V. Peterson, Illinois
96	2.	J. Burgess, Nebraska

Dressed Poultry

174	1.	G. R. Williams, Oklahoma
174	2.	J. T. Cummings, Illinois

Eggs

184	1.	J. Gracowski, Connecticut
184	2.	L. Katz, Connecticut

Market Products

450	1.	J. Gracowski, Connecticut
454	2.	T. Levin, Kansas
454	3.	R. K. Whitfill, Texas
454	4.	R. Mannig, Minnesota
450	5.	L. Katz, Connecticut

Production

450	1.	J. Wynne, Ohio
450	2.	P. Tinsley, Missouri
450	3.	R. Earl, Nebraska
450	4.	R. Mann, Pennsylvania
450	5.	H. Bleiler, Missouri

Exhibition

480	1.	W. Ballmann, Texas
480	2.	T. Levin, Kansas
480	3.	W. C. Haynes, Mississippi
480	4.	A. Guthrie, Wisconsin
480	5.	H. Bleiler, Missouri

WINNING INDIVIDUALS - BY DIVISIONS

FIFTEEN HIGH INDIVIDUALS - ENTIRE CONTEST

1270	1.	W. Ballmann, Texas
1270	2.	P. Tinsley, Missouri
1271	3.	J. Gracowski, Connecticut
1271	4.	D. Free, Nebraska
1271	5.	H. Bleiler, Missouri
1271	6.	R. Mannig, Minnesota
1271	7.	T. Levin, Kansas
1271	8.	L. Dawson, Michigan
1271	9.	D. Lawson, Oklahoma
1271	10.	N. Hall, Missouri
1271	11.	J. W. Black, Ohio
1271	12.	L. Brown, Connecticut
1271	13.	H. L. Russell, Mississippi
1271	14.	C. Milbrath, Minnesota
1271	15.	J. L. Kemp, Purdue

COMBINED SCORES OF THREE DIVISIONS

Team	Name of contestant	Exhibition		Production		Wrt. Prod.		Grand total	Final pl.
		Total	Pl.	Total	Pl.	Total	Pl.		
MISSOURI	Tinsley, P.	400	8	440	2	426	8	1266	2
	Biellier, H.	410	5	425	5	405		1240	5
	Hall, W.	395		425	6	402		1222	10
	Team	1205	2	1290	1	1233	5	3728	1
CONNECTICUT	Gracowski, J.	400	8	410		456	1	1266	2
	Brown, L.	365		425	6	418	10	1208	12
	Katz, L.	360		345		430	5	1135	
	Team	1125	4	1180	7	1304	1	3609	2
TEXAS	Ballmann, W.	480	1	410		401		1291	1
	Whitfill, R. E.	400	8	330		435	3	1165	
	Schuyler, E. W.	395		370		378		1143	
	Team	1275	1	1110	13	1214	9	3599	3
MINNESOTA	Milbrath, C.	400	8	385		404		1189	14
	Sonstegard, B.	405	7	330		403		1138	
	Mannigel, R.	385		420		434	4	1239	6
	Team	1190	3	1135	11	1241	3	3566	4
PURDUE	Arvidson, E. B.	365		395		411		1171	
	Ramp, J. L.	355		415		416		1186	15
	Reed, C.	380		415		382		1177	
	Team	1100	5	1225	4	1209	11	3534	5
OKLAHOMA	Lawson, D.	395		420		415		1230	9
	Williams, C. P.	345		390		388		1123	
	Essary, V.	345		375		423		1143	
	Team	1085	7	1185	6	1226	7	3496	6
OHIO	Wynne, J. W.	305		450	1	412		1167	
	Buss, R. G.	290		370		429	6	1089	
	Slack, J. W.	395		415		411		1221	11
	Team	990	14	1235	3	1252	2	3477	7
ILLINOIS	Peterson, W. V.	365		380		392		1137	
	Elliott, R. H.	350		395		420		1165	
	Cummins, J. F.	365		405		402		1172	
	Team	1080	8	1180	9	1214	8	3474	8
MICHIGAN	Geerlings, H.	370		425	6	371		1166	
	Dawson, L.	405	6	425	6	407		1237	8
	Aho, Wm.	240		395		427	7	1062	
	Team	1015	12	1245	2	1205	12	3465	9
NEBRASKA	Free, D.	395		425	6	423		1243	4
	Burgess, J.	305		365		368		1038	
	Earl, R.	310		435	3	421		1166	
	Team	1010	13	1225	5	1212	10	3447	10
ARKANSAS	Savage, J. E.	380		410		379		1169	
	Dunlop, B. B.	380		410		385		1175	
	Gordon, T. G.	320		360		413		1093	
	Team	1080	9	1180	8	1177	13	3437	11
KANSAS	Levin, T.	425	2	375		438	2	1238	7
	Buss, E.	300		380		405		1085	
	McWilliams, D.	360		335		386		1081	
	Team	1085	6	1090	14	1229	6	3404	12
MISSISSIPPI	Haynes, W. C.	420	3	345		415		1180	
	Russell, H. L.	370		410		425	9	1205	13
	Walker, W. C.	245		355		400		1000	
	Team	1035	11	1110	12	1240	4	3385	13
PENNSYLVANIA	Leader, G., Jr.	330		365		387		1082	
	Mann, R.	345		430	4	371		1146	
	Smith, T. F.	380		345		405		1130	
	Team	1055	10	1140	10	1163	15	3358	14
WISCONSIN	Busso, C.	230		260		397		887	
	Guthrie, A.	420	4	375		379		1174	
	Mork, I. J.	280		410		393		1083	
	Team	930	15	1045	15	1169	14	3144	15

COMBINED SCORES OF THREE DIVISIONS

State	Name of contestant	Exhibition Total Pts.	Production Total Pts.	Art Prod. Total Pts.	Grand Total	Final Pts.
INDIANA	Tinsley, P.	400	480	420	1300	3
	Weller, H.	410	425	405	1240	2
	Hall, W.	395	455	405	1255	10
MISSOURI	Team	1205	1390	1230	3725	1
	Greenawald, J.	400	410	420	1230	3
	Brown, L.	395	425	415	1235	12
NEBRASKA	Katz, L.	390	385	420	1195	2
	Team	1155	1180	1205	3540	5
	Belmann, W.	480	410	401	1291	1
TEXAS	Whitfill, E. W.	400	370	425	1195	1
	Conroy, E. W.	395	370	375	1140	3
	Team	1255	1110	1215	3580	14
MINNESOTA	Walsh, C.	400	385	405	1190	14
	Samuelson, B.	405	370	405	1180	6
	Marshall, R.	385	420	425	1230	4
WYOMING	Team	1190	1135	1215	3540	4
	Arvidson, R. B.	395	395	411	1181	12
	Hugh, J. L.	385	415	410	1190	12
ALABAMA	Reed, C.	390	415	385	1170	2
	Team	1190	1255	1200	3645	2
	Lawson, D.	395	420	415	1230	9
MISSISSIPPI	Williams, C. B.	385	390	398	1173	9
	Hester, V.	385	375	425	1185	6
	Team	1085	1185	1280	3550	6
OHIO	Wynn, J. W.	395	480	415	1290	11
	Boss, R. G.	390	370	425	1185	11
	Black, J. W.	395	415	411	1221	7
ILLINOIS	Team	990	1235	1255	3480	7
	Peterson, W. V.	395	380	395	1170	8
	Riffert, R. H.	390	395	420	1205	8
GEORGIA	Commins, J. F.	395	405	405	1205	8
	Team	1080	1180	1215	3475	8
	Georlman, H.	370	425	371	1166	8
NEBRASKA	Dawson, L.	405	425	401	1231	9
	Alco, Wm.	240	395	425	1060	9
	Team	1015	1215	1205	3435	4
NEBRASKA	Free, R.	395	425	425	1245	4
	Burgess, J.	395	395	405	1195	10
	Hart, W.	310	425	421	1156	10
KANSAS	Team	1010	1225	1215	3450	10
	Levins, J. F.	390	410	375	1175	11
	Langley, B. B.	390	410	395	1195	11
KANSAS	Gordon, T. G.	380	380	415	1175	11
	Team	1080	1180	1215	3475	11
	Levin, T.	425	395	420	1240	7
KANSAS	Joan, T.	390	385	405	1180	12
	William, D.	390	385	395	1170	12
	Team	1055	1080	1225	3360	12
NEBRASKA	Haynes, W. C.	420	415	425	1260	13
	Team	1055	1080	1225	3360	13
	Haynes, W. C.	420	415	425	1260	13

Team	Name of contestant	P.I.Red okls.	B.P.R. pullets	P.I.Red pullets	W.Leg. okls.	W.Wyan. pullets	W.P.R.	P.I.R.	W.Leg.	B.P.R.	W.Leg.	Live market	Dressed market	Eggs
MISSOURI	Tinsley	70	70	90	85	85	90	85	95	100	70	94	162	170
	Siellier	70	70	100	70	100	90	100	95	100	40	93	150	162
	Hall	70	40	100	85	100	90	55	95	100	85	94	164	144
CONNECTICUT	Gracowski	70	70	90	85	85	90	70	80	85	85	94	170	192
	Brown	55	95	90	55	70	90	85	80	100	70	90	160	168
	Katz	55	35	100	70	100	70	55	95	55	70	96	150	184
TEXAS	Ballmann	85	95	100	100	100	90	85	95	70	70	93	170	138
	Whitfill	85	100	90	100	25	85	40	80	70	55	93	172	170
	Schuyler	70	55	100	70	100	90	85	85	55	55	94	156	128
MINNESOTA	Milbrath	85	85	90	55	85	90	55	85	85	70	90	152	162
	Sonstegard	70	95	85	85	70	40	40	95	85	70	93	158	152
	Mannigel	85	85	90	55	70	70	70	95	100	85	94	162	178
PURDUE	Arvidson	70	70	70	85	70	90	55	80	85	85	93	144	174
	Kemp	55	85	60	85	70	90	70	100	85	70	92	164	160
	Reed	85	70	70	85	70	90	55	100	100	70	92	160	130
OKLAHOMA	Lawson	70	85	100	70	70	85	55	95	100	85	91	160	164
	Williams	70	65	70	85	55	85	40	95	100	70	96	174	118
	Essary	55	65	85	70	70	70	40	95	85	85	93	158	172
OHIO	Wyns	55	55	70	85	40	100	85	95	85	85	86	166	160
	Buss	40	10	70	100	70	90	40	85	85	70	91	168	170
	Slack	70	85	85	85	70	90	70	100	85	70	89	158	164
ILLINOIS	Peterson	70	55	100	70	70	90	55	80	85	70	96	148	148
	Elliott	55	55	85	85	70	90	70	95	85	55	94	160	166
	Cummins	70	40	100	70	85	85	55	80	100	85	94	174	134

[illegible]

Team	Name of contestant	E.I.Red ckls.	E.P.P. pullets	E.I.Red pullets	W.Leg. ckls.	W.Wyan. pullets	W.P.P.	E.I.R.	W.Leg.	B.P.P.	W.Leg.	Live market	Dressed market	Eggs
MICHIGAN	Geerlings	85	70	90	25	100	90	100	95	85	55	91	148	132
	Dawson	85	65	100	100	55	90	85	95	85	70	89	158	160
	Aho	85	10	90	0	55	90	70	80	100	55	95	166	166
NEBRASKA	Free	100	70	100	40	85	90	70	95	100	70	87	162	174
	Burgess	40	80	60	40	85	90	55	80	85	55	96	158	114
	Earl	70	55	60	25	100	100	55	95	100	85	95	156	170
ARKANSAS	Savage	40	100	70	85	85	90	70	80	100	70	89	156	134
	Dunlop	55	70	70	100	85	90	85	80	85	70	95	150	140
	Gordon	85	55	55	40	85	85	55	80	85	55	91	164	158
KANSAS	Levin	85	100	85	100	55	70	70	80	85	70	94	162	182
	Buss	85	65	70	25	55	70	70	85	85	70	91	152	162
	McWilliams	55	95	70	70	70	90	55	80	40	70	90	144	152
MISSISSIPPI	Haynes	70	95	100	85	70	85	40	95	85	40	95	150	170
	Russell	55	100	90	85	40	90	70	80	100	70	93	162	170
	Walker	40	40	85	55	25	90	55	85	100	25	92	150	158
PENNSYLVANIA	Leader	55	80	85	40	70	85	40	100	85	55	91	142	154
	Mann	40	35	85	85	100	90	55	100	100	85	93	170	108
	Smith	70	70	70	70	100	70	40	95	85	55	91	156	158
WISCONSIN	Busse	25	40	70	55	40	45	40	65	40	70	87	170	140
	Guthrie	85	80	85	100	70	100	70	95	85	25	87	152	140
	Mork	70	70	55	85	0	100	85	100	85	40	93	150	150

Contest Suspended for a Four-Year Period

For the years of 1942, 1943, 1944, and 1945, during a period of World War II, the contest was suspended.

Correspondence and the questionnaire relative to the discontinuance of the contest are enclosed in the packet.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS

*Poultry Judging Contest
Intercollegiate
1942*

April 20, 1942

To the Head of the Poultry Department or
the Coach of the Poultry Judging Team:

A letter from Professor Parnell of Texas raises some questions about the Midwest Intercollegiate Poultry Judging Contest. He is wondering whether we should make any change in plans under war conditions and perhaps whether the contest should be abandoned for the duration. I quote from his letter as follows:

"Our boys always look forward to these trips and the feeling is no different this year but we want to cooperate in doing the thing that will be most helpful to all concerned and to our Nation in time of war."

He also says that with the change in their teaching program, which now includes three full semesters a year, it is necessary to make somewhat more careful plans a little farther in advance than heretofore. I suspect that this same condition may hold true in a number of institutions and this letter is being sent merely as a preliminary inquiry to find out whether any or all of you have definite opinions regarding the contest which you would care to express at this time. I realize full well that some of us will not know until next fall whether we will have senior students available to make up a judging team, but I think it might be helpful to have an expression of opinion from each of you as to whether we should continue tentative plans for a contest in the fall of 1942.

Very truly yours,

Contest Manager

L. E. Card

(This letter sent to Sloan at Minn., Halpin at Wis., Wilson at S. Dak., Mussehl at Neb., Wilcke at Iowa, Kempster at Mo., Thompson at Okla., Payne at Kans., Embleton at Ariz., Sipe at Miss., Insko at Ky., Smith at Ark., Martin at Ind., Dakan at Ohio, Knandel at Pa., Card at Mich., and Scott at Conn.)

April 30, 1942

To the Head of the Poultry Department or
The Coach of the Poultry Judging Team:

A letter from Professor Farnelli of Texas raises some questions about the Midwest Intercollegiate Poultry Judging Contest. He is wondering whether we should make any change in plans under war conditions and perhaps whether the contest should be abandoned for the duration. I quote from his letter as follows:

"Our boys always look forward to these trips and the feeling is no different this year but we want to cooperate in doing the thing that will be most helpful to all concerned and to our Nation in time of war."

He also says that with the change in their teaching program, which now includes three full semesters a year, it is necessary to make somewhat more careful plans a little farther in advance than heretofore. I suspect that this same condition may hold true in a number of institutions and this letter is being sent merely as a preliminary inquiry to find out whether any or all of you have definite opinions regarding the contest which you would care to express at this time. I realize full well that some of us will not know until next fall whether we will have senior students available to make up a judging team, but I think it might be helpful to have an expression of opinion from each of you as to whether we should continue tentative plans for a contest in the fall of 1942.

Very truly yours,

Contest Manager

L. E. Card

(This letter sent to Sloan at Minn., Halpin at Wis., Wilson at S. Dak., Muesel at Neb., Wilkie at Iowa, Kempster at Mo., Thompson at Okla., Payne at Kans., Emberton at Ark., Sipe at Miss., Insko at Ky., Smith at Ark., Martin at Ind., Dakan at Ohio, Khandel at Pa., Card at Mich., and Scott at Conn.)

University of Illinois
Urbana, Illinois

Poultry Judging Contest
Intercollegiate
1942
September 25, 1942

To the Head of the Poultry Department or
the Coach of the Poultry Judging Team:

Now that the new school year is under way at most institutions, you are probably in a much better position than you were last spring to make a decision regarding a Midwest Intercollegiate Poultry Judging Contest in 1942. This letter is being sent for the purpose of ascertaining your wishes on that point, as well as to find out what schools would be likely to have judging teams if a contest is held. If you will answer the following questions and return this sheet promptly, I shall summarize the replies and send the results to all of you.

Very truly yours,

Contest Manager

1. Are you in favor of trying to hold a contest this year? _____
2. If a contest is held in Chicago about December 1, will you enter a team?
Yes _____ No _____ Uncertain _____
3. If the contest were shifted to some other place which would mean less travel for your team, would you be able to enter? _____
4. Would you be in favor of trying to find some other place which might be more convenient for the participating teams? _____

If your answer is yes, where would you suggest holding it?

5. The smallest number of teams in any previous contest has been six. Do you agree that we should cancel the contest this year if there are fewer than six institutions which can be represented? _____
6. Do you think some other number of teams should be made the minimum as a prerequisite to holding the contest? If so, please indicate by check mark in the proper space below.

7 _____ 8 _____ 9 _____ 10 _____

7. Please make any other comments you think pertinent to the 1942 contest.

L. E. Card This letter went to Sloan at Minn., Halpin at Wis., Wilson at S. Dak.,
R Mussehl at Neb., Wilcke at Iowa, Kempster at Mo., Thompson at Okla., Payne at
Kan., Embleton at Ariz., Sipe at Miss., Insko at Ky., Smith at Ark., Martin at
Ind., Dakan at Ohio, Kandel at Pa., Card at Mich., Scott at Conn. Parnell at Tex.

September 25, 1942

To the head of the Poetry Department or
the Council of the Poetry Reading Series:

Now that the new school year is under way at most institutions, you are probably in a much better position than you were last spring to take a decision regarding a future International Poetry Reading Series in 1943. This letter is being sent for the purpose of assisting you when on that point, as well as to find out what schools would be likely to have judging teams if a contest is held. If you will answer the following questions and return this sheet promptly, I shall summarize the replies and send the results to all of you.

Very truly yours,

Constance Langford

1. Are you in favor of trying to hold a contest this year?
2. If a contest is held in Chicago about December 1, will you enter a team?
Yes _____ No _____
3. If the contest were shifted to some other place which would mean less travel for your team, would you be able to enter?
Yes _____ No _____
4. Would you be in favor of trying to find some other place which might be more convenient for the participating teams?
If your answer is yes, where would you suggest holding it?

5. The smallest number of teams in any previous contest has been six. Do you agree that we should cancel this year if there are fewer than six institutions which can be represented?

6. Do you think some other number of teams should be made the minimum as a prerequisite to holding the contest? If so, please indicate by check mark in the proper space below.
7 _____ 8 _____ 9 _____ 10 _____
7. Please note any other comments you think pertinent to the 1943 contest.

1. CONG. This letter went to Sloan at Minn., Halpin at Wis., Wilson at S. Dak., Russell at Neb., Miller at Iowa, Kemper at Mo., Thompson at Ark., Evans at Kan., Emberson at Ark., Stone at Miss., Insko at Ky., Smith at Ark., Farnin at Ind., Lekan at Ohio, Kennel at Pa., Gerd at Mich., Scott at Conn., Farnell at Tex.

University of Illinois
Urbana, Illinois

October 7, 1942

To Coaches of Poultry Judging Teams:

This is to inform you that there will be no Midwest Intercollegiate Poultry Judging Contest in 1942.

The question "Are you in favor of trying to hold a contest this year?" brought "No" votes from all but four institutions. One of the "Yes" votes was not very enthusiastic, and two of the "No" votes were qualified by a statement that if the majority voted for a contest they would try to take part.

The following information and comments may be of interest.

1. The eastern contest has been cancelled for the duration.
2. The 4-H Club national contest has been cancelled. This would result in decidedly increased expenses for our contest, as well as possible difficulty in securing a suitable place. It is easier to get co-operation for two contests than for one.
3. Gasoline rationing would have made it necessary for all participating teams to travel by train or bus, a definite financial handicap for some.
4. Hotel accommodations in Chicago are at a premium and it might have been difficult to find quarters for the teams. This point was not investigated in view of your vote.
5. Gasoline rationing would have made it necessary to ship coops and other equipment by freight, at increased cost, with the added possibility of delay and inconvenience in arranging the physical setup for the contest.
6. It is probable that we would have encountered criticism in soliciting prize money under existing conditions. This may perhaps be turned into further good will by a suitable letter written to those concerns which for several years past have contributed liberally. Your contest manager will endeavor to send such a letter to all contributors.
7. Since several institutions would definitely be prevented from sending teams because of local administrative policies, it is perhaps better for all to postpone the next contest till after the war is over.

Very truly yours,

Contest Manager

L. E. Card
sg

23rd NATIONAL INTERCOLLEGIATE POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST

Lafayette, Indiana - December 13 & 14, 1946

Institution

University of Missouri
Kansas State College
Iowa State College
Louisiana State University
University of Illinois
Purdue University

Coach

Professor E. M. Funk
Professor T. B. Avery
Professor Robert Penquite
Dr. O. E. Goff
Professor L. E. Card
Dr. B. B. Bohren

University of Missouri Winning Team

Front row: A. J. Shaw; J. P. McGinnis; R. F. Wilcox
Back row: E. M. Funk, Coach; E. B. LeGrande



23rd Midwest Intercollegiate Poultry Judging Contest

Purdue University

December, 1946

Packet contains:

1. Correspondence relative to holding contest at Purdue University.
2. Information for contestants from contest manager, Dr. E. E. Schnetzler, DeKalb Agricultural Association.
3. Minutes of meeting of coaches, December 13, 1946.
4. Minutes of meeting of coaches, December 14, 1946.
5. Rules and Regulations.
6. List of donors of trophies, medals and cash.

Make-up of Contest:

Exhibition Judging - Five four-bird rings

Rhode Island Red Pullets
Rhode Island Red Cockerels
White Leghorn Cockerels
Barred Plymouth Rock Pullets
New Hampshire Pullets

Production Judging - Five four-bird rings

White Leghorn Yearling Hens
White Leghorn Yearling Hens
Barred Plymouth Rock Yearling Hens
White Plymouth Rock Yearling Hens
White Plymouth Rock Yearling Hens

Market Products

Live Market Fowl - Two four-bird rings

Twenty Five Fowl and 25 Dressed Roasters to be graded according to the U. S. Grades

Market Eggs - Fifty eggs graded according to the U.S. Standards of Quality for Individual Eggs

RECEIVED

NOV 6 1946

ANIMAL HUSBANDRY

IOWA STATE COLLEGE
OF AGRICULTURE AND MECHANIC ARTS
AMES, IOWA

DEPARTMENT OF POULTRY HUSBANDRY

November 4, 1946

To: Poultry Judging Coaches:

I received a telephone call today from Dr. Martin, Purdue University, LaFayette, Indiana. He suggested that the poultry judging contest be held at Purdue University, December 13 and 14, 1946. The poultrymen of Indiana are willing to furnish the birds and the contest could be held in the Poultry Judging Pavilion at Purdue. Prizes would be offered and the coaches would make plans for the contest next year. Hotel reservations to accommodate team members and coaches can be arranged.

They will conduct the contest if five teams indicate their willingness to compete. This is the last chance many men who served in the armed forces will have to make a college poultry judging team.

If your institution can send a team to Purdue, wire or write Robert Penquite, Poultry Department, Iowa State College, Ames, Iowa.

Yours truly,

Robert Penquite

Robert Penquite, Chairman.

P.S. Let us know by Saturday, November 9, 1946.

RP:jc

Telegram

Illinois will send a team to Purdue

L. E. C.

11/7/46

7-4858

PURDUE UNIVERSITY
AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION
LAFAYETTE, INDIANA

DEPARTMENT OF POULTRY HUSBANDRY

November 16, 1946

①

To Intercollegiate Judging Team Coaches:


Everything is set for the Intercollegiate Judging Contest to be held here at Purdue, December 13-14, 1946. We are assured of eight competing teams. We understand that yours is one of them. Consequently, I am giving you the following instructions.

Write the Fowler Hotel, Lafayette, Indiana, attention, J. R. Higgins, immediately regarding reservations for the nights of December 13 and 14. Their rates run from \$1.50 to \$2.75 per person for a double room; \$2.25 being the lowest rate with bath. We are putting the coaches together, two to a room with twin beds, at \$3.00 each. The Lahr Hotel will take care of any overflow from the Fowler, as they are under the same management.

Dr. E. E. Schnetzler, of the DeKalb Agricultural Association, has consented to serve as manager of the contest and his decisions will be final. I have, with the approval of our Dean and Dr. Schnetzler, recommended to Professor Funk that we consider those ^{graduate} students eligible who are regularly enrolled in the college and eligible under your rules for Varsity Athletics. This applies only to the 1946 contest.

The opening meeting will be Friday, December 13, at 7:30 p.m. at the Boultry Building.

Respectfully,


J. Holmes Martin
Head of Department

JHM:afb

IOWA STATE COLLEGE
OF AGRICULTURE AND MECHANIC ARTS
AMES, IOWA

DEPARTMENT OF POULTRY HUSBANDRY

November 15, 1946

Dr. L. E. Card,
Poultry Department,
University of Illinois,
Urbana, Illinois.

Dear Sir:

After Purdue offered to sponsor the 1946 Poultry Judging Contest, letters were mailed to all universities and colleges that had expressed a desire to compete this year.

Missouri, Georgia, Illinois, Kansas, Louisiana, Arkansas, Purdue and Iowa will send a team to Purdue December 13 and 14.

Enclosed find a copy of the last contest rules and regulations. These will be followed unless you receive notices of changes. Professor E. M. Funk, University of Missouri, will take charge of the eligibility rule that reads "membership on a team is open to any student regularly enrolled in the four year courses of the institution, who has been in attendance at the institution for at least one full year."

Several regularly enrolled students were in the armed forces and cannot comply with this requirement. If the committee can furnish further information, please feel free to write me at any time.

Very truly yours,

Robert Penquite

Robert Penquite, Chairman,
Poultry Judging Contest Committee.

RP:je

INTERCOLLEGIATE JUDGING CONTEST

December 14, 1946

INFORMATION FOR CONTESTANTSPlace: Pavilion of Poultry Building, Purdue University, Lafayette, Indiana.Time: Report promptly at 7:45 a.m., December 14, for instructions. Judging will start at 8:00 a.m. and continue till 2:00 p.m.Order of Judging: The following schedule will apply:

Period	Contestant No. 1	Contestant No. 2	Contestant No. 3
8:00-10:00	Exhibition and Production	Idle	Market Products
10:00-12:00	Market Products	Exhibition and Production	Idle
12:00- 2:00	Idle	Market Products	Exhibition and Production

Team number is determined by drawing at coaches meeting. Contestant's number is assigned by his coach. All contestants will start on the class of exhibition or production corresponding to their team numbers. For instance, a contestant of Team 3 will start on Class 3; a contestant of Team 6 will start on Class 6, etc.

Each contestant will have 12 minutes to judge each class and make his placing. During the first two minutes of each period, all birds must be left in the coops. A warning will be given by the monitor two minutes before the end of each period. Be sure to record the class number, the kind of birds, your name and number, and your team on each card you turn in; and be ready to shift promptly. Shift from left to right, placing all classes within each group (exhibition or production) before starting on the other group.

When grading market products, contestants of Teams 1 to 4 will start on poultry, and contestants of Teams 5 to 8 on eggs. One hour will be allotted for grading the eggs and one hour for grading the poultry.

Members of each team will grade the same lot of eggs. Please remember that rough handling of the eggs will penalize your own teammates.

The dressed market poultry should not be handled except by the shanks or the head wrappers.

Every contestant is expected to attend the banquet. Results will be announced and the awards made at that time. Your coach will have information on the time and place of the banquet.

Minutes of Coaches' Meeting
Intercollegiate Poultry Judging Contest
Lafayette, Indiana--December 13, 1946

Meeting called to order by contest manager Dr. E. E. Schnetzler at 8:15 p.m. Seven coaches and four visitors were present.

Funk nominated Avery for secretary of the meeting. Penquite moved the nominations cease.

Dr. Schnetzler passed out team numbers and explained the order in which the contestants would judge. There was some discussion on the time to be allotted for the judging of the various market classes. Moved by Dr. Card and seconded by Avery that one and one-half hours be given to the judging of eggs and dressed poultry, and that the time be divided between the two as the contestant sees fit.

Dr. Schnetzler announced the names of the members of the two judging committees as follows: Market products committee--Canfield, chairman, Bohren and Marble; production and exhibition committee--Penquite, chairman, Funk and Avery.

Canfield reported that market eggs were graded as in the past, except that free air cells were graded down. Goss suggested that in the grading of dressed birds, stags be disregarded.

The meeting adjourned at 9 p.m.

T. B. Avery, Secretary.

Coaches' Meeting, December 14, 1946

Meeting called to order by Dr. Schnetzler at 9 p.m. Those present were: T. H. Canfield, D. R. Marble, A. G. Phillips, J. H. Martin, E. M. Funk, R. Penquite, O. E. Goff, B. B. Bohren, E. E. Schnetzler, and T. B. Avery.

Dr. Schnetzler called for suggestions from the group. Moved by Canfield and seconded by Goff that next year's contest be held on the Monday and Tuesday before Thanksgiving; that is, November 24 and 25, 1947. Motion carried.


There was a general discussion on how to set up next year's contest if twenty or more teams compete.

Moved by Funk and seconded by Canfield that next year the exhibition portion of the contest be called breed selection, and that only three classes be judged purely for exhibition qualities; those classes to be R.I. Red males, Leghorn males, and White Rock females. White Wyandottes, Barred Rocks, or New Hampshires are not to be used as exhibition classes. In place of the two classes being omitted from exhibition judging will be 10 males and 10 females that will be selected as to their qualifications for R.O.P. approval. The rules of the National Plan are to be followed. White Leghorns and New Hampshires and/or Barred Plymouth Rocks will be used. Motion carried.

Funk moved and Bohren seconded that two classes of live market birds be substituted for the present live market grades of poultry. Motion carried.

There was discussion on where to obtain satisfactory exhibition birds for the contest. It was agreed that each school represented would attempt to have one outstanding breed they could furnish. Purdue and Missouri agreed on Rhode Island Red males; Minnesota and Illinois on Leghorn males; and Kansas on White Rock females.

The meeting adjourned at 11:15 p.m.


T. B. Avery, Secretary.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST
Rules and Regulations now in Force

Name

Midwest Intercollegiate Poultry Judging Contest

Place and Date

Chicago, Illinois, on the Saturday after Thanksgiving, unless decided otherwise by a two-thirds vote of the institutions directly concerned.

Organization of the Contest

There shall be three divisions of the contest, and they shall have equal weight in determining all-around scores for individual and team placings. These divisions shall consist of the following:

- a) Exhibition poultry. Five rings of birds to be judged on the basis of exhibition quality in accordance with the Standard of Perfection. If suitable birds can be obtained, these rings shall consist of White Leghorn cockerels, Light Barred Plymouth Rock pullets, Rhode Island Red cockerels, Rhode Island Red pullets, and White Wyandotte pullets.
- b) Production poultry. Five rings of yearling hens to be judged for egg production. If suitable birds can be obtained, there shall be two rings of White Leghorns and the other three rings one each of either Rhode Island Reds, White Wyandottes, White Plymouth Rocks, or Barred Plymouth Rocks.
- c) Market poultry and eggs. Two rings of live poultry and three rings of dressed poultry to be judged on the basis of market quality. The live poultry shall consist of heavy fowl and heavy roasters. The rings of dressed poultry shall be selected from heavy fowl, light fowl, heavy roasters, and light roasters. There shall be 50 eggs to be placed individually by candling. These eggs shall be divided into four classes, "U. S. Extras", "U. S. Standards", "U. S. Trades", and "Inedibles", according to the United States Standards of quality for individual eggs, except that size, shape, cleanliness, shell texture, and mobility of air cell shall not be considered.

Method of Determining Awards

Contestants' placings shall be scored on a basis of 100 for agreement with the official placing and a deduction of 15 points for inversion of any two birds. All pairs shall be considered to be "normal" unless designated as "close" or "very close" in the official placings. Close pairs shall be subject to a deduction of 10 points, and very close pairs 5 points, provided they are simply inverted and are not separated by another bird. In the latter case the regular 15-point cut shall apply.

The exhibition rings shall be placed by a committee of three coaches, whose decision shall be final.

The production rings shall be placed in the order of total egg production, including all eggs from the time of first egg until shipped to contest. Each ring shall have been selected to conform with the following specifications insofar as possible.

- a) The four birds shall be hatched at about the same time, preferably within a range of two weeks.
- b) All four birds shall have started to lay within a range of one month.
- c) All four birds shall have been given similar rations and housing conditions.
- d) Each pair shall differ by a minimum of 20 eggs and preferably 25 eggs, in total egg production.
- e) In the selection of hens, no attempt is to be made to choose "placeable" rings. Official placings are to be in the order of actual egg records, without regard to the appearance or handling quality of the birds so long as all are in good Physical condition.

The rings of market poultry shall be placed by the judging committee already mentioned, any close pairs to be indicated by the committee in accordance with their findings.

The market eggs shall be selected by a competent egg grader, and classified according to the U. S. Standards of quality for individual eggs. The eggs in each lot shall be numbered from 1 to 50, and each contestant shall indicate the grade in which he believes each egg should fall. Five points shall be deducted for each egg placed one grade above or below its correct classification, and ten points for each egg placed two grades above or below, as well as for "Trades" classed as "Inedibles" or "Inedible" eggs classed as "Trades".

The grades made by each contestant on market poultry and on market eggs shall be averaged to determine that contestant's score in the market products division of the contest. A perfect all-around individual score for the entire contest will, therefore, be 1500, and a perfect all-round team score will be 4500.

The scores shall be broken by the following considerations, in the order given:

- a) The largest number of 100 scores.
- b) The fewest scores of 55 or below.
- c) The largest number of top birds correctly placed.
- d) The largest number of bottom birds correctly placed.

Eligibility of Contestants

Each team shall consist of three students and one alternate. Membership on the team is open to any student regularly enrolled in the four year course of the institution who has been in attendance at the institution he represents for at least one full year and who at the time of the competition is regularly enrolled in the college where he has completed one year's work and whose work in his classes is of a passing grade. No student is eligible to compete more than one school year. The instructor or coach in charge of each team shall be responsible for the eligibility and credentials of that team.

Contest Procedure

The contest will commence at 8:00 a.m. on the date chosen. Contestants will be allowed 12 minutes for completing the judging of each ring and one hour for judging the eggs. A warning will be given two minutes before the end of each 12-minute period.

Each contestant shall be required to write his placings on a card which will be provided. He shall also indicate on the card his name, his team, and the class of birds being judged. The card shall be handed to the monitor at the end of the 12-minute period as the student shifts to the next ring.

After the contest has started no student judge shall be permitted to communicate with any person except the monitor in charge of his group.

As placing cards are turned in by contestants at the close of each 12-minute period they shall be delivered to the grading committee for scoring and tabulating. No persons other than the members of the grading committee and the manager of the contest shall have access to any of the scores or placings until they have been prepared for public announcement.

Committees

The manager of the contest shall appoint the following committee of coaches or other college representatives, whose duties shall be as indicated:

- 1) A judging committee of three members who shall make the official placings of the exhibition and market poultry rings. The membership of this committee shall rotate from year to year, the general plan being for one member to be replaced each year, and for each new member to serve for a three-year term.
- 2) A committee of monitors who shall have supervision of the contestants during the contest period. It shall be their duty to see that no communication takes place between contestants or between contestants and outside persons, except in the presence of a monitor or the contest manager, and then only for unusual reasons. The chairman of this committee shall designate one member to act as time-keeper. He shall also arrange for delivery

of the placing cards to the grading committee as they are turned in from time to time during the contest.

- 3) An egg committee of two members who shall prepare the several lots of eggs and the candling equipment for use by the contestants.
- 4) A grading committee of three members who shall score each placing card, and tabulate the individual and team scores by divisions and for the contest as a whole. This committee shall consider its proceedings as "closed" to all persons except the contest manager until such time as the results are ready for public announcement.
- 5) A committee on arrangements consisting of the contest manager and as many others as may seem necessary or desirable, to make all arrangements for the contest, including the exact place, necessary tables and coops, rings of poultry, market eggs, prizes, headquarters hotel for the teams, the market inspection trip on the day preceding the contest, and the banquet following the contest.

Amendments

These rules may be amended by a two-third vote of the coaches present at a regular meeting which shall be held annually following the banquet held at the close of the contest. They may also be amended by a two-thirds vote by mail of the institutions to be represented in any contest, provided such vote is completed in time to be announced to all competing institutions at least thirty days prior to the contest.

Also find enclosed the Revised Rules and Regulations to be voted on at the coaches' meeting at the close of the banquet. Please go over these Rules and Regulations and be prepared to discuss them at the coaches' meeting. Write in any changes that you think should be made and bring the copy with you to the meeting.

For your convenience the committee has underscored all places where the Rules and Regulations have been changed.

We are sorry that this material is late but the committee has been in conference by correspondence since early last summer.

Vote on the enclosed amendments and mail to the committee at your earliest convenience as the committee needs the material in order for Dr. Card to complete his contest arrangements.

Yours truly,

Committee: Robert Penquite
T. H. Canfield
E. M. Funk

RP:bz
301
Encs.

DONORS OF TROPHIES, MEDALS AND CASH
TWENTY-THIRD MIDWEST INTERCOLLEGIATE POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST
PURDUE UNIVERSITY, DECEMBER 13 AND 14, 1946.

Donors of Trophies and Medals

- Trophy for All Around Judging - Ralston Purina Mills, Otis O. McIntosh, St. Louis, Mo.
- Trophy for Best Team in Exhibition - Allied Mills, A. G. Phillips, Board of Trade Bldg., Chicago, Ill.
- Trophy for Best Team in Production - Poultry Tribune, J. H. Forea, Mt. Morris, Ill.
- Trophy for Best Team in Market Products - Cudahy Packing Co., D. R. Long, Produce Dept., 211 N. LaSalle St., Chicago, Ill.
- Medals for Exhibition Judging - The G. E. Conkey Co., R. O. Bate, Adv. Mgr., Cleveland, Ohio
- Medals for Production Judging - American Poultry Journal, R. R. Hannas, 536 S. Clark St., Chicago, Ill.
- Medals for Products Judging - Hales & Hunter Company, L. G. Heel, 141 W. Jackson Blvd., Chicago 4, Ill.

Donors of Cash

- Anderson Box Company, Norb Schaefer, 700 W. Morris St., Indianapolis, Ind.
- Arcady Farms Milling Co., A. J. McLoughlin, 223 W. Jackson Blvd., Chicago 6, Ill.
- Borden Company, 350 Madison Avenue, New York 17, New York.
- Brower Manufacturing Co., B. J. Brower, Vice Pres., 209-215 N. 3rd St., Quincy, Ill.
- Carus Chemical Co., C. A. Mazzuchelli, 1375 Eighth Street, LaSalle, Ill.
- Cerophyl Laboratories, Inc., W. A. Donnelly, 2438 Broadway, Kansas City, Mo.
- Consolidated Products Co., S. C. Funk, 119 N. Washington, Danville, Ill.
- Cugley Incubator Co., J. Q. Neher, Sales Manager, White Pigeon, Michigan.
- Cyclone Manufacturing Co., E. C. Faust, Urbana, Indiana.
- DeKalb Agricultural Ass'n., T. H. Roberts, DeKalb, Ill.
- General Mills, Chas. Van Horssen, Vice Pres., 208 S. LaSalle St., Chicago 4, Ill.
- Godchaux Sugars, Inc., Walter Godchaux, Vice Pres. New Orleans 7, La.
- Hubbard Milling Co., Vernard E. Lundin, Mankato, Minn.
- James Manufacturing Company, B. F. Zaffke, Fort Atkinson, Wisconsin.
- Kraft Foods Company, J. J. Zima, 500 Peshtigo Court, Chicago 90, Illinois.
- Nutrena Mills, Inc., J. D. Armstrong, 44 Ewing St., Kansas City, 18, Kansas.

McMillen Feed Mills, H. W. McMillen, Fort Wayne, Indiana.

Roy G. Miller, Inc., Roy G. Miller, Monmouth, Illinois.

Oakes Manufacturing Company. George A. Spencer, Tipton, Indiana.

Oyster Shell Products Corporation, W. J. Westerman, 3615 Olive St., St. Louis, Mo.

Stone Mountain Grit Company, Chas. L. Davidson, Lithonia, Georgia.

Tobacco By-Products & Chemical Corporation, Geo. F. Leonard, Louisville 2, Ky.

Quaker Oats, O. B. Kent, Board of Trade Building, Chicago, Ill.

Vitality Mills, Walter N. Jones, Vic. Pres., Board of Trade Bldg., Chicago 4, Ill.

Wabash Fibre Box Co., A. C. Moore, Terre Haute, Indiana.

OFFICIAL SCORES AND PLACINGS
23rd INTERCOLLEGIATE POULTRY JUDGING
CONTEST

RECEIVED
DEC 18 1946
ANIMAL HUSBANDRY

Team	Name of contestant	Exhibition		Production		Mkt. Prod.		Grand Total	Final pl.
		Total	Pl.	Total	Pl.	Total	Pl.		
MISSOURI	Wilcox, Robert	410	T-4	425		410	T 10	1245	7
	McGinnis, James	455	1	485	1	414	8	1354	1
	Shaw, Arthur	410	T-4	470	T-3	434	2	1314	2
	Team	1275	(85) 1	1380	2	1258	2	3913	1
KANSAS STATE	Moore, Claude H.	395	T-7	395		453	1	1243	9
	McGinnis, Kenneth	425	3	440		430	5	1295	4
	Eaton, Richard C.	440	2	425		432	4	1297	3
	Team	1260	2	1260	5	1315	1	3835	2
IOWA STATE	Bayles, Howard	395	T-7	470	7	396		1261	5
	Carey, Wm. M.	380	T-10	410		392		1182	13
	Cook, Bruce	350		470	T-3	422	7	1242	10
	Team	1125	3	1350	3	1210	4	3685	3
LOUISIANA	Fitzmorris, A.	290		470	T-3	410	T 10	1170	14
	Maquin, C.J.	335		385		425	6	1145	15
	Whipp, A.	395	T-7	445	8	412	9	1252	6
	Team	1020	5	1300	4	1247	3	3567	4
ILLINOIS	Kobler, H.M.	290		440	9	394		1124	
	Heaton, K.D.	335		470	T-3	406		1211	12
	Metzger, R.J.	350		475	2	404		1229	11
	Team	975	6	1385	42.3 1	1204	5	3564	5
PURDUE	Echterling, C.J.	325		370		433	3	1128	
	Weinland, B.T.	410	6	430		404		1244	8
	Ebert, J.H.	380	10	440	10	319		1139	
	Team	1115	4	1240	6	1156	6	3511	6

Team	Name of Contestant	R.I.R. Pullets	R.I.R. Cockerels	Wh.Leg. Cockerels	B.Rock Pullets	N.Hamp. Pullets	Wh. Leg.	Wh. Leg.	B. Rock	Wh. Rock	Wh. Rock	Live Mkt.	Dressed Mkt.	Eg
MISSOURI	Robert Wilcox	100	70	85	55	100	100	85	70	85	85	80	148	182
	James McGinnis	100	85	100	70	100	100	85	100	100	100	88	148	178
	Arthur Shaw	85	55	100	70	100	100	85	85	100	100	83	163	188
KANSAS STATE	Claude H. Moore	85	85	85	70	70	55	100	85	70	85	88	175	190
	Kenneth McGinnis	100	70	55	100	100	100	85	85	85	85	90	150	190
	Richard C. Eaton	85	100	85	85	85	55	85	85	100	100	85	163	184
IOWA STATE	Howard Bayles	70	40	100	100	85	100	85	100	100	85	85	165	146
	Wm. M. Carey	55	55	85	85	100	85	85	85	85	70	80	140	172
	Bruce Cook	40	40	100	85	85	100	85	85	100	100	85	163	174
LOUISIANA	A. Fitzmorris	25	55	100	70	40	100	85	85	100	100	78	168	164
	C.J.Naquin	55	55	85	55	85	40	100	70	85	90	80	183	162
	A. Whipp	85	40	100	85	85	100	55	100	100	90	80	180	152
ILLINOIS	H.M.Kobler	70	55	85	40	40	100	85	85	100	70	83	153	158
	K.D.Eaton	70	40	70	85	70	100	85	85	100	100	80	160	166
	R.J.Metzger	40	70	85	85	70	100	85	100	100	90	80	150	174
PURDUE	C.J.Echterling	85	0	100	70	70	85	70	85	85	45	80	175	178
	B.T.Weinland	85	70	100	55	100	55	85	100	100	90	80	160	164
	J.A.Ebert	100	70	85	55	70	85	85	100	100	70	83	138	98

eggs = 24
 dressed mkt = 20
 live mkt = 1

24th NATIONAL INTERCOLLEGIATE POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST

Chicago, Illinois - December 2 & 3, 1947

Institution

University of Minnesota
Kansas State College
University of Arizona
Ohio State University
University of Missouri
University of Nebraska
Texas A & M College
South Dakota State College
University of Kentucky
Louisiana State University
University of Arkansas
University of Georgia
Purdue University
Oklahoma A & M College
University of Tennessee
Iowa State College
University of Illinois

Coach

Professor T. H. Canfield
Professor T. B. Avery
Professor Harry Embleton
Dr. G. F. Godfrey
Professor Q. B. Kinder
Professor I. L. Williams
Professor E. D. Parnell
Dr. D. G. Jones
Professor E. T. Wightman
Professor A. B. Watts
Professor M. R. McClung
Professor Edmund Hoffman
Professor E. G. Buss
Professor Cecil A. Roberts
Dr. O. E. Goff
Professor Robert Penquite
Dr. H. M. Scott

University of Minnesota Winning Team

Front row: Malcolm McLean; Charles Benrud; Jame H. Olson
Back row: T. H. Canfield, Coach; Richard Davis



24th Intercollegiate Poultry Judging Contest

Transportation Building, Chicago, Ill.

December, 1947

Packet Contains:

1. Minutes of meeting of coaches, December 2, 1947.
Dr. E. E. Schnetzler, Contest Manager, presiding.
2. Minutes of meeting of coaches, December 3, 1947.
3. Donors of trophies, medals and cash.

Make-up of Contest:

Production Judging - Five four-bird rings

White Leghorn Yearling Hens
Barred Plymouth Rock Yearling Hens
White Leghorn Yearling Hens
White Plymouth Rock Yearling Hens
New Hampshire Yearling Hens

Breed Selection

White Leghorn Exhibition Males - One four-bird ring
White Plymouth Rock Exhibition Males - One four-bird ring
Rhode Island Red Exhibition Males - One four-bird ring

R.O.P. Selection - Ten males and ten females of White Leghorns, New Hampshires, and/or Barred Plymouth Rocks to be examined and rejected or accepted as qualifying for R.O.P. (The R.O.P. Selection replaced two rings of Exhibition Poultry.)

Market Products

Live Market Fowl - One four-bird ring
Live Market Roasters - One four-bird ring
Dressed Poultry - Twenty-five fowl and 25 roasters
graded according to U. S. Grades
Market Eggs - Fifty eggs candled and graded according
to U.S. Standards of Quality for Individual Eggs

Dec. 2, 1947

Coaches meeting - Room 375 Chicagoan Hotel. Meeting called to order at 8:40 P. M. by Dr. E. E. Schnetzler, Manager of the Midwest Inter-collegiate Poultry Judging Contest.

Dr. Schnetzler called for a report from the chairmen of the various contest committees.

Tom Avery, chairman of the egg committee, reported that they had tried to eliminate all border line eggs but that due to a shortage from which to select a few might be included; that if there were blood spots or similar inclusions it was considered a loss or reject egg and not a "C" grade; that air cell movement should be considered but that every effort was made to eliminate eggs with irregular air cells.

H. M. Scott reported for the committee that placed the exhibition ring, the live market poultry and the production classes. He emphasized the point that the colleges should give more attention to the physical condition of the production hens when sending classes to the contest. He stated that the Red and Leghorn male exhibition rings were very acceptable birds but that the White Rock pullets were somewhat mediocre. The live market poultry were described as being of fair quality.

Tom Canfield, chairman of the committee on dressed market poultry and R. O. P. Selection, made his report. He stated that frozen fowl and roasters made up the class. He reported that most of the birds were well fleshed but that many abrasions, skin and flesh bruises were showing up as the birds thawed out. He stated that the committee was following the grade specifications as set up by the U. S. D. A. quite closely.

Mr. Canfield outlined the method used by the committee in setting up the R. O. P. birds in some detail since this type of work was being introduced to the contest for the first time. It is as follows:

The contestant was considered as acting in the capacity of an R. O. P. supervisor or inspector ready to appropriately leg band qualifying birds. Eye color or iris and pupil irregularities were to be ignored. Crooked keel bones

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
DEPARTMENT OF THE HISTORY OF ARTS
OFFICE OF THE CURATOR
1100 EAST 58TH STREET
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637
TEL: 773-936-5000
FAX: 773-936-5001
WWW.HA.UCHICAGO.EDU

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
DEPARTMENT OF THE HISTORY OF ARTS
OFFICE OF THE CURATOR
1100 EAST 58TH STREET
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637
TEL: 773-936-5000
FAX: 773-936-5001
WWW.HA.UCHICAGO.EDU

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
DEPARTMENT OF THE HISTORY OF ARTS
OFFICE OF THE CURATOR
1100 EAST 58TH STREET
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637
TEL: 773-936-5000
FAX: 773-936-5001
WWW.HA.UCHICAGO.EDU

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
DEPARTMENT OF THE HISTORY OF ARTS
OFFICE OF THE CURATOR
1100 EAST 58TH STREET
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637
TEL: 773-936-5000
FAX: 773-936-5001
WWW.HA.UCHICAGO.EDU

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
DEPARTMENT OF THE HISTORY OF ARTS
OFFICE OF THE CURATOR
1100 EAST 58TH STREET
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637
TEL: 773-936-5000
FAX: 773-936-5001
WWW.HA.UCHICAGO.EDU

need not be a factor since no birds were included that were more than very slightly deformed in this respect.

Cockerel Selection: Stapled to the number attached to each coop was a yellow card upon which was plainly written the following: The breed as (New Hampshire cockerel); age in months as (8 months old); the question "Does he qualify for R. O. P. approval?"

Pullet selection: Breeds as (White Leghorn pullet); age in months as (9 months old); body weight as (4.0 lbs.); the question "Does she qualify as and R. O. P. candidate?" (assuming she must be free of standard disqualifications and a reasonable representative of the breed).

Hen selection: Breed as (Barred Rock hen); age in months as (20 months old); egg production as (241 eggs); egg weight as (24.8); body weight as (5.5 lbs.); question "Does she qualify as an R. O. P. hen?"

Parnell moved that a wrong answer be cut double. Motion lost.

Goff reported for the monitor committee.

Penquite reported for the grading committee.

The following colleges with their respective coaches were in attendance:

<u>Name</u>	<u>School</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>School</u>
T. H. Canfield	Minnesota	A. B. Watts	Louisiana State
Tom Avery	Kansas	M. R. McClung	Arkansas
Harry Embleton	Arizona	Edmund Hoffman	Georgia
G. F. Godfrey	Ohio State	Edward G. Buss	Purdue
I. B. Kinder	Columbia	Cecil A. Roberts	Oklahoma
I. L. Williams	Lincoln, Neb.	O. E. Goff	Tenn.
E. D. Parnell	College Station, Texas	Robert Penquite	Iowa
D. G. Jones	Brookings, S. Dak.	H. M. Scott	Illinois
E. T. Wightman	Lexington, Ky.		

Meeting adjourned

(Signed) T. H. Canfield

1904 27216 1000 10000

1887

Minutes of Coaches Meeting Dec. 3, 1947

Dr. W. E. Schnetzler called the coaches meeting to order and asked for ideas that would improve future contests. Possible use of five bird classes was considered and after a full discussion it was voted to include five bird rings in all future contests.

Since birds go off type after being worked by a large number of students thus making it difficult for the last ones judging to place them correctly, it was voted that additional classes be provided in the future or that the birds used be given longer rest periods between judgments.

Some coaches wanted exhibition classes expanded to five rings as in the past, while others felt that they should be reduced further or eliminated entirely. After considerable discussion of prospective changes, it was finally voted to leave the classes as they are for another year, except for changes noted above.

Birds used in the dressed classes were not entirely satisfactory this year, coaches agreed, although much better than in some years past. A need was felt by most coaches for fresh dressed, and possibly dry picked carcasses. Two coaches volunteered to provide such birds in the future but no official action was taken. Mr. A. R. Shepard, Fulton Market and Cold Storage Company, Chicago, says that his Company will provide a well-lighted cooler for the contestants to use for grading work next year, and coaches voted to accept his offer. It was felt that the birds might be graded the day previous to live bird placings, possibly during the market tour, or in a night session preceeding the regular days judging. However, no official action was taken on the matter of judging classes of birds of of grading eggs at an evening session. In next years contest, skin abrasions will not be considered in the grading of carcasses, it was agreed.

Add a feed mixing mill visit and give us less of the Fulton Market, were suggestions for improving next years tour. These will be given to tour planners next year..... Thanks were extended to Dr. Schnetzler for his fine work with the contest..... The carry-in to this years contested was \$25.50, and to this was added \$682.95 in contributions, and the carry-out will likely be from \$35 to \$40, Dr. Schnetzler reported..... Our contest will be known in the future as the Collegiate Poultry Judging Contest, coaches decided.

Students winning cash and medal awards were urged to write the donors, and Dr. Schnetzler agreed to have the proper addresses in the hands of coaches within a week. Included in this list should be E. O. Johnson and R. W. J. Scott, Illinois Farm Supply, Room 1515 Transportation Building, Chicago, for the use of the building for this years contest.

There was some talk of moving a portion or all of the Collegiate Poultry Judging Contest to the University of Illinois, but no official action was taken.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned.

E. D. Parnell, Acting Secretary

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

1. The University of Chicago is a private, non-profit, research university. It was founded in 1837 and is one of the oldest and most prestigious universities in the United States.

2. The University of Chicago is a private, non-profit, research university. It was founded in 1837 and is one of the oldest and most prestigious universities in the United States.

3. The University of Chicago is a private, non-profit, research university. It was founded in 1837 and is one of the oldest and most prestigious universities in the United States.

4. The University of Chicago is a private, non-profit, research university. It was founded in 1837 and is one of the oldest and most prestigious universities in the United States.

5. The University of Chicago is a private, non-profit, research university. It was founded in 1837 and is one of the oldest and most prestigious universities in the United States.

6. The University of Chicago is a private, non-profit, research university. It was founded in 1837 and is one of the oldest and most prestigious universities in the United States.

7. The University of Chicago is a private, non-profit, research university. It was founded in 1837 and is one of the oldest and most prestigious universities in the United States.

8. The University of Chicago is a private, non-profit, research university. It was founded in 1837 and is one of the oldest and most prestigious universities in the United States.

9. The University of Chicago is a private, non-profit, research university. It was founded in 1837 and is one of the oldest and most prestigious universities in the United States.

DONORS OF TROPHIES, MEDALS AND CASH
TWENTY-FOURTH MIDWEST INTERCOLLEGIATE POULTRY JUDGING CONTEST
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS, DECEMBER 2 AND 3, 1947

Donors of Trophies and Medals

- Trophy for All Around Judging - Ralston Purina Mills, Otis O. McIntosh, St. Louis, Mo.
- Trophy for Best Team in Exhibition-Allied Mills, A.G. Phillips, Board of Trade Bldg.,
Chicago, Illinois.
- Trophy for Best Team in Production - Poultry Tribune, J. H. Florea, Mt. Morris, Ill.
- Trophy for Best Team in Market Products - Cudahy Packing Co., D.R. Long, Produce Dept.
211 N. LaSalle Street, Chicago, Ill.
- Lord Elgin Watch - High Individual All Around Judging - O.E. Droege, Swift & Co.,
Union Stock Yards, Chicago, Ill.
- Wittnauer Review Watch - 2nd Individual All Around Judging - T.H. Roberts, DeKalb
Hybrid Seed Co., DeKalb, Ill.
- Medals for Exhibition Judging - The G.E. Conkey Co., R.O. Bate, Adv. Mgr, Cleveland
Ohio
- Medals for Production Judging - American Poultry Journal, R.R. Hanna, 536 S. Clark St.
Chicago, Ill
- Medals for Products Judging - Hales & Hunter Company, L.G. Neel, 141 W. Jackson Blvd.
Chicago 4, Ill.

Donors of Cash

- Anderson Box Company, Norb Schaefer, 700 W. Morris St., Indianapolis, Ind.
- Arcady Farms Milling Co., A.J. McLoughlin, 223 W. Jackson Blvd., Chicago 6, Ill.
- Borden Company, Ralph P. Steves, 350 Madison Avenue, New York 17, New York.
- Brower Manufacturing Co., B.J. Brower, Vice Pres., 209-215 N 3rd St., Quincy, Ill
- Carus Chemical Co., C.A. Massuchelli, 1375 Eighth Street, LaSalle, Ill.
- Cerophyl Laboratories, Inc., W.A. Donnelly, 2438 Broadway, Kansas City, Mo.
- Consolidated Products Co., S.C. Funk, 119 N. Washington, Danville, Ill.
- Cyclone Manufacturing Co., E.C. Faust, Urbana, Indiana.
- General Mills, Chas. Van Horssen, Vice Pres., 208 S. LaSalle St., Chicago, 4, Ill.
- Godchaux Sugars, Inc., Walter Godchaux, Vice Pres. New Orleans 7, La.
- Hubbard Milling Co., Vernard E. Lundin, Menkato, Minn.
- James Manufacturing Company, B.F. Zaffke, Fort Atkinson, Wisconsin.
- Kraft Foods Company, J.J. Zima, 500 Peshtigo Court, Chicago 90, Illinois.

McMillen Feed Mills, H.W. McMillen, Fort Wayne, Indiana.

Oakes Manufacturing Company, George A. Spencer, Tipton, Indiana.

Oyster Shell Products Corporation, W J. Westerman, 3615 Olive St., St. Louis, Mo.

Swift & Company, O.E. Droege, Union Stock Yards, Chicago 9, Illinois

Tobacco By-Products & Chemical Corporation, Geo. F. Leonard, Louisville 2, Ky.

Quaker Oats, O.B. Kent, Board of Trade Building, Chicago, Illinois

Vitality Mills, Walter N. Jones, Vice Pres., Board of Trade Bldg., Chicago 4, Ill.

LOCATION AND ARRANGEMENTS

E.O. Johnston and W.J. Scott, Illinois Farm Supply, Transportation Building,
Room 1515, 608 S. Dearborn Street, Chicago, Ill.
Furnished space and facilities for holding contest

The Chicago Committee, L.G. Neel, Hales & Hunter, Chairman;
Cliff Carpenter, Institute of American Poultry Industries;
O.B. Kent, Quaker Oats Company; Ben Kropp, Swift & Co;
and H.H. Alp, Farm Bureau Federation. This committee made
all arrangements for the tour and banquet and obtained space
for holding Contest.

Institute of American Poultry Industries gave considerable financial assistance to
the Banquet.

COMBINED SCORES OF THREE DIVISIONS.

1947

Team	Name of contestant	Production		Exhibition		Mkt. Prod.		Grand Total	Final Pl.
		Total	Pl.	Total	Pl.	Total	Pl.		
MINNESOTA	Benrud, C.	395		425 (3rd tie)		377.5	1	1197.5	1
	Olson, J.	440		340		365	2	1145	3
	McLean, M.	455		370	7	355	3	1180	2
	Team	1290	6	1135	2	1097.5	1	3522.5	1
TEXAS	Greer, C.	425		365		300		1090	10
	Stockton, F.	440		375	10	312.5	6	1127.5	4
	Tays, H.	470	1	315		307.5	7	1092.5	8
	Team	1335	3	1055	8	920	2	3310	2
MISSOURI	Major, H.M.	365		360		302.5	9	1027.5	
	Patterson, W.	395		435	1	275		1105	6
	Smith, B.	380		405	6	340	5	1125	5
	Team	1140	13	1200	1	917.5	3	3257.5	3
ARKANSAS	Smith, O.R.	410		370		292.5		1072.5	13
	Wyott, A.J.	425		410	5	207.5		1042.5	
	Hammond, O.	410		320		302.5	9	1032.5	
	Team	1245	9	1100	5	802.5	4	3147.5	4
OKLAHOMA	Williams, C.	455	4	365		267.5		1087.5	11
	Guy, R.V.	410		315		210		935	
	Marion, R.H.	425		375		305	8	1105	7
	Team	1290	5	1055	9	782.5	6	3127.5	6
KANSAS	Gleue, F.	455	5	315		275		1045	
	Carleton, T.	425		375		257.5		1057.5	
	Dewhirst, V.	365		355		262.5		982.5	
	Team	1245	8	1045	10	795	5	3085	6
ILLINOIS	Fox, J.R.	470	1	370		220		1060	14
	Gilchrist, J.A.	440		360		210		1010	
	Byrne, D.F.	395		355		215		965	
	Team	1305	4	1085	6	645	10	3035	7
LOUISIANA	Willet, C.A.	455	6	295		342.5	4	1092.5	9
	Stewart, W.I.	395		320		117.5		832.5	
	Blackwell, W.D.	425		365		265		1055	
	Team	1275	7	980	15	725	7	2980	8
NEBRASKA	Burleigh, J.A.	410		425 (3rd tie)		240		1075	12
	Grabouski, P.	365		320		132.5		817.5	
	Dryer, R.	410		325		270		1005	
	Team	1185	11	1070	7	642.5	11	2897.5	9
KENTUCKY	Bogin, J.J.	455	8	340		122.5		917.5	
	Chaffin, W.T.	440	9	340		232.5		1012.5	
	Reed, R.R.	455		300		190		945	
	Team	1350	2	980	16	545	14	2875	10
IOWA	Davis, S.	425		265		212.5		902.5	
	Potter, L.	440		335		122.5		897.5	
	Penquite, W.	365		395	8	300	8	1060	15
	Team	1230	10	995	13	635	12	2860	11
ARIZONA	Denham, M.	425		335		235		995	
	McCartney, W.	365		355		185		905	
	Forsyth, B.	380		335		242.5		957.5	
	Team	1170	12	1025	11	662.5	9	2857.5	12
Team	contestant	Total	Pl.	Total	Pl.	Total	Pl.	Total	Pl.

Team	Name of contestant	Production	Exhibition	Mkt. Prod.	Grand Total	Final Pl.
MINNESOTA	Benard, G. Olson, M. McLean, M. Team	1290 440 440 440	1180 370 340 340	1097.5 377.5 (tie) 368 368	3827.5 1197.5 1148 1180	1
TEXAS	Tays, H. Stockton, F. Green, G. Team	1335 470 440 425	1085 315 375 368	950 307.5 312.5 200	3310 1092.5 1127.5 1090	2
MISSOURI	Smith, B. Patterson, W. Major, H.M. Team	1140 380 395 365	1200 405 435 380	917.5 340 375 302.5	3287.5 1125 1105 1027.5	3
ARKANSAS	Hammond, C. Woff, A.J. Smith, G.R. Team	1345 410 435 410	1100 320 410 370	802.5 308.5 307.5 322.5	3147.5 1082.5 1042.5 1072.5	4
OKLAHOMA	Merion, R.H. Guy, R.V. Williams, C. Team	1290 425 410 455	1085 375 315 365	787.5 305 310 327.5	3127.5 1105 935 1087.5	5
KANSAS	Devine, V. Carlson, T. Glene, F. Team	1345 365 435 455	1075 355 375 315	787.5 322.5 327.5 275	3035 982.5 1057.5 1045	6
ILLINOIS	Byrne, D.T. Fox, J.R. Albracht, J.A. Team	1205 395 440 470	1085 355 360 370	845 315 310 320	3035 965 1010 1080	7
LOUISIANA	Blackwell, W.D. Stewart, W.I. Willett, C.A. Team	1275 425 395 455	980 365 380 385	725 285 342.5 342.5	2980 1055 982.5 1082.5	8
NEBRASKA	Driver, R. Grubbs, F. Burleigh, J.A. Team	1165 410 365 410	1070 325 320 425 (tie)	842.5 370 122.5 240	3237.5 1005 817.5 1075	9
KENTUCKY	Reed, R.R. Chaffin, W.T. Regis, J.J. Team	1250 455 440 455	980 300 340 340	845 190 322.5 122.5	3275 945 1017.5 917.5	10
TEXAS	Greene, W. Stockton, L. Lyle, S. Team	1280 365 440 425	935 395 325 365	835 300 122.5 312.5	3280 1060 897.5 902.5	11
MINNESOTA	Benard, G. Olson, M. McLean, M. Team	1290 440 440 440	1180 370 340 340	1097.5 377.5 (tie) 368 368	3827.5 1197.5 1148 1180	12

1947

Team	Name of contestant	Production		Exhibition		Mkt.Prof.		Grand Total	Final Place	
		Total	Pl.	Total	Pl.	Total	Pl.			
OHIO	Nan, D.	410		365		202.5		977.5		
	Rood, K.	320		345		280		945		
	Hull, R.	350		305		220		875		
	Team	<u>1080</u>	16	<u>1015</u>	12	<u>702.5</u>	8	<u>2797.5</u>	15	13
SOUTH DAKOTA	Sorenson, L.	470	3	345		202.5		1017.5		
	Giles, M.	440		395	7	55		890		
	Larson, J.	440		375		35		850		
	Team	<u>1350</u>	1	<u>1115</u>	3	<u>292.5</u>	16	<u>2757.5</u>	14	14
PURDUE	Brown, F.	425	9	380	9	155		960		
	Stucky, R.	365		335		252.5		952.5		
	Lawler, J.	335		275		205		815		
	Team	<u>1125</u>	15	<u>990</u>	14	<u>612.5</u>	13	<u>2727.5</u>	15	15
GEORGIA	Westbrooke, H.	350		365		175		890		
	Poole, H.	350		310		97.5		757.5		
	Nix, G.	425		425	2	150		1000		
	Team	<u>1125</u>	14	<u>1100</u>	4	<u>422.5</u>	15	<u>2647.5</u>	16	16

Team	Name of contestant	Production Total P.I.	Exhibition Total P.I.	Wkt. Prod. Total P.I.	Grand Total	Final Place
OHIO	Neh, D.	410	385	303.5	977.5	
	Reed, K.	320	345	280	945	
	Hull, R.	380	305	230	915	
	Team	1080	1015	703.5	2797.5	15
SOUTH DAKOTA	Sorenson, L.	470	345	303.5	1017.5	
	Giles, W.	440	395	35	890	
	Larson, J.	440	375	35	880	
	Team	1350	1115	633.5	2497.5	14
BURLINGAME	Brown, F.	425	380	155	960	
	Stucky, R.	365	325	225.5	915.5	
	Lawler, J.	335	275	205	815	
	Team	1125	980	615.5	2720.5	13
GEORGIA	Westbrooke, H.	380	365	175	920	
	Boole, H.	350	310	97.5	757.5	
	Mix, G.	425	425	180	1000	
	Team	1155	1100	452.5	2657.5	12

1947

Team	Name of contestant	Prod. Leg.	Prod. Rocks	Prod. Leg.	Prod. W.R.	New Hamp. Prod.	W.Leg. Exhib.	W.Rock Exh.	R.I.Red Exh.	Market Fowl	Market Roasters	R.O.P. Sel.	Dressed Market	Eggs
MINNESOTA	Benrud, C.	55	85	100	85	70	70	100	85	70	85	170	130	170
	Olson, J.	85	70	100	100	85	85	40	85	100	70	130	115	165
	McLean, M.	100	85	100	85	85	85	55	70	100	70	160	135	135
TEXAS	Greer, C.	85	100	70	85	85	100	55	70	55	85	140	85	145
	Stockton, F.	85	100	100	85	70	100	55	100	85	100	120	85	135
	Tays, H.	100	100	100	85	85	100	0	85	85	70	130	105	125
MISSOURI	Major, H.M.	55	70	100	85	55	100	25	85	70	85	150	80	145
	Patterson, W.	85	100	70	70	70	85	70	100	55	85	180	40	165
	Smith, B.	85	85	85	85	40	70	55	100	70	70	180	95	175
ARKANSAS	Smith, O.R.	85	85	100	85	55	85	85	40	70	55	160	155	70
	Wyott, A.J.	85	85	100	100	55	70	70	100	40	85	170	160	-15
	Hammond, O.	100	85	100	70	55	55	55	40	100	55	170	150	75
OKLAHOMA	Williams, C.	85	100	100	100	70	70	70	55	70	85	170	115	75
	Guy, R.V.	85	85	100	70	70	55	55	55	85	25	150	65	90
	Marion, R.H.	85	85	100	70	85	85	55	85	85	85	150	100	120
KANSAS	Gleue, F.	100	100	100	85	70	55	70	70	100	100	120	55	120
	Carleton, T.	100	100	100	55	70	70	85	100	85	70	120	65	115
	Dewhirst, V.	70	70	85	85	55	55	85	85	85	70	130	65	120
ILLINOIS	Fox, J.R.	85	85	100	100	100	55	55	100	85	85	160	50	85
	Gilchrist, J.A.	85	85	100	100	70	55	70	85	70	70	150	75	65
	Byrne, D.F.	85	70	100	85	55	70	70	55	55	85	160	75	70
LOUISIANA	Willett, C.A.	85	100	100	85	85	25	55	85	100	85	130	85	165
	Stewart, W.I.	85	70	100	70	70	55	70	85	100	85	110	90	-65
	Blackwell, W.D.	85	85	100	85	70	70	70	85	100	70	140	65	115
NEBRASKA	Burleigh, J.A.	100	85	85	85	55	70	85	100	70	100	170	20	135
	Grabowski, P.	100	70	85	85	25	40	70	100	100	85	110	-5	45
	Dryer, R.	100	85	85	85	55	55	25	85	85	85	160	35	150

Team	Name of contestant	Production Total P.I.	Exhibition Total P.I.	Wt. from Total P.I.	Grand Total	Final Place
OHIO	Men, D.	410	368	303.8	977.5	
	Roos, E.	320	342	280	942	
	Hall, R.	350	306	220	876	
	Team	1080	1016	703.8	2797.8	18
SOUTH DAKOTA	Gorenson, L.	470	342	303.8	1017.5	
	Giles, W.	440	392	52	890	
	Larson, J.	440	372	32	860	
	Team	1350	1112	387.8	3767.5	14
IOWA	Brown, F.	422	380	122	920	
	Stucky, R.	392	322	222.8	937.5	
	Lawler, J.	322	272	202	812	
	Team	1136	974	547.6	3670.3	12
GEORGIA	Westbrooke, H.	380	362	172	890	
	Pool, H.	380	310	97.2	787.2	
	Mix, G.	422	422	180	1000	
	Team	1182	1094	451.2	3677.2	10

Team	Name of contestant	Prod. Leg.	Prod. Rocks	Prod. Leg.	Prod. W.R.	New Hamp. Prod.	W.Leg. Exh.	W.Rock Exh.	R.I.Red Exh.	Market Fowl	Market Roasters	R.O.P. Sel.	Dressed Eggs market
KENTUCKY	Begin, J.J.	85	85	100	85	100	70	40	70	85	10	160	15 65
	Chaffin, W.T.	85	100	100	100	55	40	55	85	70	85	160	115 40
	Reed, R.R.	85	100	100	85	85	55	40	85	100	70	120	85 20
IOWA	Davis, S.	85	85	100	85	70	40	55	100	85	70	70	95 40
	Potter, L.	70	100	100	85	85	55	70	100	85	70	110	100 -55
	Penquite, W.	85	25	70	100	85	55	70	100	85	55	170	180 50
ARIZONA	Denham, M.	70	85	100	85	85	70	70	85	70	70	110	45 120
	McCartney, W.	70	70	100	70	55	55	70	100	85	85	130	15 85
	Forsyth, B.	55	85	100	55	85	85	55	85	55	100	110	90 75
OHIO	Nan, D.	85	85	100	85	55	85	40	70	70	185	170	60 65
	Rood, K.	40	85	70	70	55	70	40	85	85	85	150	120 75
	Hull, R.	55	70	100	55	70	70	25	70	85	85	140	15 120
S.DAKOTA	Sorenson, L.	85	85	100	100	100	70	40	85	85	70	150	100 25
	Giles, M.	100	85	100	85	70	100	70	85	85	85	140	80 -110
	Larson, J.	85	100	100	85	70	85	70	100	70	70	120	80 -115
PURDUE	Brown, F.	100	85	100	85	55	70	70	100	55	85	140	125 -40
	Stucky, R.	85	85	70	85	40	70	55	100	55	70	110	125 65
	Lawler, J.	85	70	55	85	40	70	25	70	40	70	110	100 50
GEORGIA	Westbrooke, H.	25	70	100	85	70	55	100	70	100	70	140	75 15
	Poole, H.	100	55	25	85	85	55	55	70	25	40	130	155 -90
	Nix, G.	70	85	100	100	70	100	70	85	55	85	170	100 -20

